



Original Article

Diagnostic Accuracy of Contrast-Enhanced FLAIR MRI in the Diagnosis of Meningitis, Keeping CSF Analysis as the Gold Standard

Aymen Shahab^{1,2}, Faria Maqsood^{2*}, Mehreen Khan², Ayesha Waheed², Nayab Motasim² and Shanza Ijaz²¹Department of Radiology, Shahab General Hospital, Peshawar, Pakistan²Department of Radiology, Hayatabad Medical Complex, Peshawar, Pakistan

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Meningitis, CE-FLAIR MRI, Cerebrospinal Fluid, Diagnostic Accuracy, Sensitivity, Specificity

How to Cite:

Shahab, A., Maqsood, F., Khan, M., Waheed, A., Motasim, N., & Ijaz, S. (2026). Diagnostic Accuracy of Contrast-Enhanced FLAIR MRI in the Diagnosis of Meningitis, Keeping CSF Analysis as the Gold Standard: Contrast-Enhanced FLAIR MRI in Meningitis, Keeping CSF as the Gold Standard. *Pakistan BioMedical Journal*, 9(9), 03-09. <https://doi.org/10.54393/pbmj.v9i9.1422>

***Corresponding Author:**

Faria Maqsood

Department of Radiology, Hayatabad Medical Complex, Peshawar, Pakistan
drfariamaqsood.92@gmail.com

Received Date: 2nd August, 20261st Revision Received: 18th September, 2026Acceptance Date: 23rd September, 2026Published Date: 30th September, 2026

ABSTRACT

Meningitis is a potentially life-threatening inflammation of the meninges that requires prompt diagnosis to reduce neurological complications and mortality. Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) analysis remains the reference standard, but contrast-enhanced fluid-attenuated inversion recovery magnetic resonance imaging (CE-FLAIR MRI) may improve detection of meningeal enhancement and complement CSF assessment. **Objective:** To determine the diagnostic accuracy of CE-FLAIR MRI for meningitis using CSF analysis as the reference standard. **Methods:** This cross-sectional diagnostic accuracy study included 103 patients with suspected meningitis at MTI/Hayatabad Medical Complex, Peshawar, from May to November 2022. All participants underwent CE-FLAIR MRI and CSF analysis. Diagnostic performance was assessed using a 2 × 2 contingency table, and sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), and overall accuracy were calculated with 95% confidence intervals. **Results:** Among 103 patients, 61 were CSF-positive, and 42 were CSF-negative. CE-FLAIR MRI identified 55 true-positive, 34 true-negative, 8 false-positive, and 6 false-negative cases. Sensitivity was 90.2% (95% CI: 80.2–95.4), specificity 81.0% (66.7–90.0), PPV 87.3% (76.9–93.4), NPV 85.0% (70.9–92.9), and overall accuracy 86.4% (78.5–91.7). CE-FLAIR MRI showed a strong association with CSF-confirmed meningitis (Cramer's V = 0.717, p<0.001). **Conclusions:** CE-FLAIR MRI showed good diagnostic performance and may serve as a useful non-invasive adjunct to CSF analysis in suspected meningitis; however, it should not replace CSF assessment where lumbar puncture is feasible.

INTRODUCTION

Meningitis is an acute or subacute inflammation of the meninges and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) spaces caused by bacterial, viral, tuberculous, fungal, or other infectious agents. Delayed diagnosis may result in seizures, hydrocephalus, infarction, cranial nerve dysfunction, cognitive impairment, and death [1]. Clinical features such as fever, headache, vomiting, neck stiffness, altered consciousness, and seizures may raise suspicion but are not sufficiently specific for definitive diagnosis; therefore, laboratory and imaging evaluation remains essential [2]. Lumbar puncture with CSF analysis remains the reference standard for suspected meningitis. Evaluation commonly includes leukocyte count and differential, protein, glucose,

CSF-to-serum glucose ratio, Gram stain, culture, and other microbiological or molecular tests. However, CSF assessment may be delayed or contraindicated in patients with raised intracranial pressure, severe clinical instability, coagulation disorders, or focal neurological deficits, and microbiological yield may be reduced after prior antimicrobial therapy [3, 4]. These limitations support the use of imaging as a complementary diagnostic tool. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is more sensitive than computed tomography for detecting meningeal inflammation and related intracranial complications. Contrast-enhanced FLAIR suppresses the normal CSF signal and improves visualization of abnormal gadolinium



accumulation within the subarachnoid spaces, making subtle leptomeningeal, sulcal, and basal cisternal enhancement easier to detect than on some conventional post-contrast sequences [5]. Recent studies have reported good diagnostic performance of CE-FLAIR MRI in suspected meningitis. Khalid et al. reported a sensitivity of 95.08% and specificity of 82.5% for contrast-enhanced T2-FLAIR using CSF analysis as the reference standard [6]. Other studies have similarly shown that post-contrast FLAIR may improve detection of subtle meningeal enhancement. Nevertheless, diagnostic performance varies according to disease etiology, patient characteristics, MRI protocol, disease severity, and image interpretation [3, 7].

Few studies have evaluated the correlation of specific CE-FLAIR MRI abnormalities (leptomeningeal, sulcal, basal cisternal, ependymal/ventricular enhancement, and cerebral edema) with CSF proven meningitis in a single well-defined study population. Despite increasing evidence supporting CE-FLAIR MRI, local data on its diagnostic performance against CSF analysis remain limited. Therefore, this study aimed to determine the diagnostic accuracy of CE-FLAIR MRI for meningitis using CSF analysis as the reference standard and to evaluate the association of specific MRI findings with CSF-confirmed disease.

METHODS

A cross-sectional diagnostic accuracy study was conducted at MTI/Hayatabad Medical Complex, Peshawar, over six months, from May 2022 to November 2022, to determine the diagnostic performance of contrast-enhanced fluid-attenuated inversion recovery magnetic resonance imaging (CE-FLAIR MRI) for meningitis, using cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) analysis as the reference standard. Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Research and Ethical Board of MTI/Hayatabad Medical Complex, Peshawar (Ref. No. 698/HEC/B&PSC/2022, dated 27 May 2022). Written informed consent was obtained from eligible participants or their legally authorized attendants, and patient confidentiality was maintained throughout the study. Patients of either sex with clinical suspicion of meningitis who underwent both CE-FLAIR MRI and CSF examination were enrolled through non-probability consecutive sampling. Patients were excluded if MRI or CSF results were incomplete, the MRI examination was technically inadequate, MRI or intravenous contrast was contraindicated, CSF examination could not be performed when clinically indicated, or clinical information required for comparison of the index and reference tests was incomplete. A total of 103 patients were included. The sample size was determined for a diagnostic accuracy study at a 95%

confidence level using the prevalence-adjusted approach for estimating sensitivity and specificity described by Buderer [8]. Anticipated sensitivity (95.08%) and specificity (82.5%) were obtained from the previously published study by Khalid et al. [6]. The sample-size calculations were based on the expected prevalence of meningitis among clinically suspected patients and the prespecified absolute precision for estimation of diagnostic performance. For sensitivity, the calculation followed $n = Z^2 \times Se(1-Se)/(d^2 \times P)$, whereas for specificity it followed $n = Z^2 \times Sp(1-Sp)/(d^2 \times [1-P])$, where Z represents the standard normal value at 95% confidence, Se sensitivity, Sp specificity, d absolute precision, and P expected disease prevalence. The larger calculated requirement was adopted, giving a final sample size of 103 participants. Consecutive recruitment continued until the required sample was achieved. Demographic and clinical data included age, sex, duration of symptoms, fever, headache, neck stiffness, vomiting, altered consciousness, seizures, body temperature, and Glasgow Coma Scale score. Brain MRI was performed according to the institutional MRI protocol. CE-FLAIR images were evaluated for evidence of meningitis and classified as positive or negative. Recorded imaging features included leptomeningeal enhancement, sulcal enhancement, basal cisternal enhancement, ependymal/ventricular enhancement, and cerebral edema. CSF examination performed after lumbar puncture served as the reference standard. CSF white blood cell (WBC) count and differential, protein concentration, glucose concentration, gross appearance, Gram stain, and culture were recorded, and the CSF-to-serum glucose ratio was calculated using concurrent serum glucose. CSF abnormalities were interpreted using predefined laboratory criteria. Pleocytosis was defined as a CSF WBC count >5 cells/ μ L; CSF protein >45 mg/dL was considered elevated; and a CSF-to-serum glucose ratio ≤ 0.40 was considered abnormally low. A CSF glucose concentration <40 mg/dL was also considered supportive of meningitis. Gram-stain or culture positivity provided microbiological evidence of infection. CSF-positive meningitis was determined from the overall inflammatory, biochemical, and/or microbiological CSF profile in conjunction with clinical suspicion, whereas patients without a CSF profile supporting meningitis were classified as CSF-negative. These parameters are consistent with established CSF interpretation standards for suspected meningitis [9]. CE-FLAIR MRI findings were compared with the CSF reference diagnosis and classified as true-positive (MRI positive/CSF positive), false-positive (MRI positive/CSF negative), false-negative (MRI negative/CSF positive), or true-negative (MRI negative/CSF negative). Clinical, radiological, and laboratory procedures were applied consistently

throughout the study, and data were checked for completeness and internal consistency before statistical analysis.

IBM SPSS version 26.0 was used for data analysis. Normality of continuous variables was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test and graphical inspection. Normally distributed variables were summarized as mean $\pm$ SD and compared between CSF-positive and CSF-negative groups using the independent-samples t-test. When Levene's test indicated unequal variances, the unequal-variance form of the test was used. Non-normally distributed variables were expressed as median and interquartile range and compared using the Mann-Whitney U test. Categorical variables were reported as frequencies and percentages and compared using Pearson's chi-square test; Fisher's exact test was used where expected cell counts were small. Cramer's V was used to describe the strength of statistically significant categorical associations.

RESULTS

A total of 103 patients were included in the analysis, of whom 61 were classified as CSF-positive and 42 as CSF-negative for meningitis. Demographic characteristics were generally comparable between the two groups. Among the evaluated clinical features, headache, neck stiffness, and vomiting showed statistically significant associations with CSF-confirmed meningitis, whereas age, sex, fever, altered consciousness, and seizures did not differ significantly between the groups. Symptom duration was also significantly longer among CSF-positive patients ($p=0.035$) (Table 1).

Table 1: Demographic and Clinical Characteristics According to CSF Diagnosis of Meningitis

Characteristics	CSF-positive (n=61), n (%)	CSF-negative (n=42), n (%)	Test Statistic	χ^2	Cramer's V†	p-value
Age, years (mean $\pm$ SD)	36.74 $\pm$ 13.17	33.98 $\pm$ 13.35	t = -1.040	—	—	0.301
Male	34 (55.7%)	23 (54.8%)	—	0.010	—	0.922
Female	27 (44.3%)	19 (45.2%)	—	—	—	—
Fever, Yes	55 (90.2%)	35 (83.3%)	—	1.052	—	0.305
Headache, Yes	57 (93.4%)	29 (69.0%)	—	10.742	0.323	0.001
Neck Stiffness, Yes	44 (72.1%)	16 (38.1%)	—	11.849	0.339	0.001
Vomiting, Yes	36 (59.0%)	14 (33.3%)	—	6.568	0.253	0.010
Altered Consciousness, Yes	23 (37.7%)	9 (21.4%)	—	3.077	—	0.079
Seizures, Yes	11 (18.0%)	6 (14.3%)	—	0.253	—	0.615
Symptom Duration, Days, Median (IQR)	6.0 (3.50)	5.0 (2.25)	U = 970.50	—	—	0.035

Values are presented as mean $\pm$ SD, median (IQR), or n (%), as appropriate. χ^2 : Pearson chi-square statistic; U: Mann-Whitney U statistic. † Cramer's V is reported for statistically significant categorical associations. Bold p-values indicate statistical significance at $p<0.05$

CE-FLAIR MRI findings showed clear associations with CSF-confirmed meningitis. Overall CE-FLAIR MRI positivity demonstrated the strongest association with CSF diagnosis (Cramer's V = 0.717, $p<0.001$). Leptomeningeal, sulcal, and basal cisternal enhancement were also significantly associated with CSF-positive disease, while cerebral edema showed a weaker but statistically significant association. In contrast, ependymal/ventricular enhancement was not significantly associated with CSF diagnosis (Table 2).

Table 2: Contrast-Enhanced FLAIR MRI Findings According to CSF Diagnosis of Meningitis

MRI Finding	CSF-positive (n=61), n (%)	CSF-negative (n=42), n (%)	χ^2	Cramer's V†	p-value
CE-FLAIR MRI Positive	55 (90.2%)	8 (19.0%)	52.961	0.717	<0.001
Leptomeningeal Enhancement	44 (72.1%)	5 (11.9%)	36.174	0.593	<0.001
Sulcal Enhancement	34 (55.7%)	4 (9.5%)	22.817	0.471	<0.001
Basal Cisternal Enhancement	20 (32.8%)	2 (4.8%)	11.631	0.336	0.001
Ependymal/Ventricular Enhancement	12 (19.7%)	4 (9.5%)	1.952	—	0.162

Cerebral Edema	13 (21.3%)	1 (2.4%)	7.590	0.271	0.006
----------------	------------	----------	-------	-------	-------

† Cramer's V is shown for statistically significant associations. Bold p-values indicate statistical significance at $p < 0.05$.

CSF laboratory profiles differed substantially between CSF-positive and CSF-negative patients. CSF-positive patients demonstrated higher CSF WBC counts, neutrophil percentages, and protein concentrations, together with lower lymphocyte percentages, CSF glucose concentrations, and CSF-to-serum glucose ratios. Serum glucose did not differ significantly between the groups. Among categorical CSF findings, elevated protein, abnormal CSF appearance, low CSF glucose, Gram-stain positivity, and culture positivity were all significantly associated with CSF-confirmed meningitis (Table 3).

Table 3: CSF Laboratory Findings According to CSF Diagnosis of Meningitis

CSF Parameters	CSF-positive (n=61), n (%) / Mean $\pm$ SD	CSF-negative (n=42), n (%) / Mean $\pm$ SD	Difference (95% CI)†	Test Statistic	χ^2	Cramer's V†	p-value
CSF WBC, cells/ μ L, median (IQR)	194.0 (189.5)	8.0 (6.0)	—	U = 0.000	—	—	<0.001
CSF Neutrophils	61.11 $\pm$ 19.20	29.10 $\pm$ 11.63	32.02 (25.98 to 38.06)	t = -10.519	—	—	<0.001
CSF Lymphocytes	38.89 $\pm$ 19.20	70.90 $\pm$ 11.63	-32.02 (-38.06 to -25.98)	t = 10.519	—	—	<0.001
CSF Protein, mg/dL	107.59 $\pm$ 41.57	42.36 $\pm$ 16.30	65.23 (53.52 to 76.93)	t = -11.081	—	—	<0.001
CSF Glucose, mg/dL	38.64 $\pm$ 12.61	60.08 $\pm$ 13.98	-21.44 (-26.68 to -16.19)	t = 8.109	—	—	<0.001
Serum Glucose, mg/dL	102.84 $\pm$ 17.45	100.15 $\pm$ 12.71	2.70 (-3.55 to 8.94)	t = -0.856	—	—	0.394
CSF/Serum Glucose Ratio, Median (IQR)	0.39 (0.20)	0.61 (0.22)	—	U = 387.000	—	—	<0.001
CSF Appearance	—	—	—	—	32.868	0.565	<0.001
Clear	19 (31.1%)	36 (85.7%)	—	—	—	—	—
Slightly Turbid	18 (29.5%)	6 (14.3%)	—	—	—	—	—
Turbid	24 (39.3%)	0 (0.0%)	—	—	—	—	—
Gram Stain Positive	21 (34.4%)	0 (0.0%)	—	—	18.162	0.420	<0.001
CSF Culture Positive	13 (21.3%)	0 (0.0%)	—	—	10.244	0.315	0.001
Elevated CSF Protein	61 (100.0%)	18 (42.9%)	—	—	45.447	0.664	<0.001
Low CSF Glucose	31 (50.8%)	2 (4.8%)	—	—	24.233	0.485	<0.001

† Difference is presented as CSF-positive minus CSF-negative, with 95% CI. The confidence intervals were derived directly from the independent-samples t-test output; signs were reversed where necessary because SPSS originally calculated the difference as CSF-negative minus CSF-positive. ‡ Cramer's V is presented for statistically significant categorical associations

Comparison of CE-FLAIR MRI with the CSF reference standard identified 55 true-positive, 34 true-negative, 8 false-positive, and 6 false-negative cases. CE-FLAIR MRI findings showed a statistically significant and strong association with CSF-confirmed meningitis (Pearson $\chi^2 = 52.961$, Cramer's V = 0.717, $p < 0.001$) (Table 4).

Table 4: Diagnostic Accuracy of Contrast-Enhanced FLAIR MRI for Meningitis Using CSF Analysis as the Gold Standard

CE-FLAIR MRI	CSF Positive	CSF Negative	Total
Positive	55 (TP)	8 (FP)	63
Negative	6 (FN)	34 (TN)	40
Total	61	42	103

Pearson $\chi^2 = 52.961$; Cramer's V = 0.717; $p < 0.001$

Diagnostic performance estimates derived from the 2×2 comparison are summarized. CE-FLAIR MRI demonstrated high sensitivity with good specificity, predictive values, and overall diagnostic accuracy when CSF analysis was used as the reference standard (Table 5).

Table 5: Diagnostic Accuracy Parameters of Contrast-Enhanced FLAIR MRI

Diagnostic Parameters	Value	95% CI*
Sensitivity	90.2%	80.2-95.4
Specificity	81.0%	66.7-90.0
Positive Predictive Value (PPV)	87.3%	76.9-93.4
Negative Predictive Value (NPV)	85.0%	70.9-92.9
Overall diagnostic accuracy	86.4%	78.5-91.7

*95% confidence intervals were calculated using the Wilson method

DISCUSSION

The present study showed that CE-FLAIR MRI had good diagnostic performance for meningitis when CSF analysis was used as the reference standard, with a sensitivity of 90.2%, specificity of 81.0%, PPV of 87.3%, NPV of 85.0%, and overall accuracy of 86.4%. These findings are broadly comparable with Khalid *et al.* who reported sensitivity of 95.08%, specificity of 82.5%, and accuracy of 90.1% for contrast-enhanced T2-FLAIR [6]. Similar diagnostic performance has also been reported by Sanjay *et al.* and Mushtaq *et al.* [10, 11]. The modestly lower specificity and accuracy observed in the present study may reflect

differences in case selection, disease spectrum, stage of infection, MRI interpretation, and underlying meningitis etiology. Among the imaging findings, leptomeningeal and sulcal enhancement showed particularly important associations with CSF-confirmed meningitis, while basal cisternal enhancement and cerebral edema were also significantly associated with disease. Ependymal/ventricular enhancement was comparatively less discriminatory. These findings are consistent with Mishra et al. who demonstrated high sensitivity of post-contrast FLAIR for meningeal and sulcal abnormalities compared with other post-contrast MRI sequences [12]. Previous evidence also supports the biological basis of CE-FLAIR enhancement through disruption of the blood-CSF and blood-brain barriers, allowing greater visualization of abnormal gadolinium accumulation [13, 14]. Radiomics-based evaluation has further suggested that subtle basal cisternal abnormalities may be identified even when conventional MRI changes are limited [15]. The CSF findings supported the imaging results. CSF-positive patients showed higher WBC counts, neutrophil proportions, and protein concentrations, together with lower glucose levels and CSF-to-serum glucose ratios. Elevated protein, abnormal CSF appearance, low glucose, Gram-stain positivity, and culture positivity were also significantly associated with confirmed meningitis. Goto et al. similarly reported associations between abnormal CSF parameters and MRI enhancement in bacterial and tuberculous meningitis [16]. Other studies have continued to demonstrate the importance of CSF cellular, biochemical, and microbiological assessment even with the increasing availability of molecular diagnostic methods [17-19]. These findings reinforce the complementary roles of imaging and CSF analysis rather than supporting replacement of the reference-standard investigation by MRI alone. Clinical features also showed variable diagnostic value. Headache, neck stiffness, vomiting, and longer symptom duration were associated with CSF-confirmed meningitis, whereas age, sex, fever, altered consciousness, and seizures were not significantly different between the groups. This variability indicates that individual clinical features may have limited discriminatory ability when patients present with heterogeneous forms of meningitis. Previous studies of tuberculous meningitis have similarly demonstrated considerable variation in clinical manifestations and MRI abnormalities [20, 21]. In pediatric patients, Shabbir and Sheikh also reported a high PPV for CE-FLAIR MRI when CSF analysis was used as the reference standard [22]. Taken together, these findings indicate that CE-FLAIR MRI is a useful adjunctive imaging technique for suspected meningitis, particularly for detecting subtle meningeal enhancement. Its sensitivity of 90.2% supports its value in identifying patients with meningeal disease; however, positive enhancement is not specific to a single infectious etiology, and MRI findings

should therefore be interpreted together with clinical and CSF findings. Comparative evidence similarly suggests that CE-FLAIR may provide greater sensitivity for subtle meningeal enhancement than conventional contrast-enhanced T1-weighted imaging while remaining complementary to other MRI sequences [12].

This study has several limitations. It was conducted at a single center with a relatively small sample, and different etiological types of meningitis were not analyzed separately. MRI interpretation may also have been influenced by disease stage and observer experience, while interobserver agreement and direct comparison with newer three-dimensional post-contrast sequences were not assessed. Future multicenter prospective studies should evaluate CE-FLAIR separately in bacterial, viral, fungal, and tuberculous meningitis, assess inter-reader reproducibility, and directly compare CE-FLAIR with advanced 3D post-contrast techniques. Quantitative and radiomics-based approaches may also be explored to determine whether they can further improve diagnostic performance.

CONCLUSIONS

CE-FLAIR MRI demonstrated good diagnostic performance for meningitis, with high sensitivity and acceptable specificity when CSF analysis was used as the reference standard. Leptomeningeal, sulcal, and basal cisternal enhancement were the most relevant imaging findings associated with CSF-confirmed disease. CE-FLAIR MRI may therefore serve as a useful non-invasive adjunct in patients with suspected meningitis, but it should be interpreted alongside clinical findings and CSF analysis rather than used as a replacement for the reference-standard evaluation.

Authors' Contribution

Conceptualization: AS

Methodology: FM

Formal analysis: NM, SI

Writing and Drafting: AS, FM, MK, AW, NM, SI

Review and Editing: AS, FM, MK, AW, NM, SI

All authors approved the final manuscript and take responsibility for the integrity of the work

Conflict of Interest

All the authors declare no conflict of interest.

Source of Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kamr WH, Eissawy MG, Saadawy A. The Value of Contrast-Enhanced FLAIR Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Detecting Meningeal Abnormalities in Suspected Cases of Meningitis Compared to Conventional Contrast-Enhanced T1WI Sequences. *Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine*. 2020 Nov; 51(1): 231. doi: 10.1186/s43055-020-00348-2.
- [2] Ahn SJ, Taoka T, Moon WJ, Naganawa S. Contrast-Enhanced Fluid-Attenuated Inversion Recovery in Neuroimaging: A Narrative Review on Clinical Applications and Technical Advances. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging*. 2022 Aug; 56(2): 341-53. doi: 10.1002/jmri.28117.
- [3] Akram A, Chaudhry S, Arbab S. Diagnostic Accuracy of MRI Contrast Enhanced FLAIR and T1-Weighted Images in Diagnosis of Infectious Meningitis Taking CSF Analysis as Reference Standard. *Infectious Diseases Journal of Pakistan*. 2026 Jun; 35(2): 162-7. doi: 10.61529/idjp.v35i2.535.
- [4] Gad M, Elsorogy LG, Moustafa SA. Diagnostic Utility of Contrast-Enhanced FLAIR MRI in Imaging of Demyelinating and Infectious Brain Lesions. *Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine*. 2023 Jun; 54(1): 104. doi: 10.1186/s43055-023-01049-2.
- [5] Aygun B, Biswas A, Blaaza M, Cooper J, Gaur P, Avsenik J et al. Improved Diagnostic Accuracy for Leptomeningeal Dissemination in Pediatric Brain Tumors Using Contrast-Enhanced FLAIR Imaging. *Neuro-Oncology Practice*. 2025 Feb; 12(1): 51-7. doi: 10.1093/nop/npae075.
- [6] Khalid MS, Arooj S, Asghar A, Mansoor A, Masood M, Afzal F et al. Diagnostic Accuracy of Contrast Enhanced T2 Flair in Diagnosing Infective Meningitis Considering CSF Analysis as Gold Standard. *Pakistan Postgraduate Medical Journal*. 2023 Sep; 34(03): 125-30. doi: 10.51642/ppmj.v34i03.606.
- [7] Kirar RS, Uniyal R, Garg RK, Verma R, Malhotra HS, Sharma PK et al. Occurrence and Determinants of Seizures and Their Impact on Tuberculous Meningitis: A Prospective Evaluation. *Acta Neurologica Belgica*. 2024 Jun; 124(3): 821-9. doi: 10.1007/s13760-023-02444-2.
- [8] Buderer NM. Statistical Methodology: I. Incorporating the Prevalence of Disease into the Sample Size Calculation for Sensitivity and Specificity. *Academic Emergency Medicine*. 1996 Sep; 3(9): 895-900. doi: 10.1111/j.1553-2712.1996.tb03538.x.
- [9] Shahan B, Choi EY, Nieves G. Cerebrospinal Fluid Analysis. *American Family Physician*. 2021 Apr; 103(7): 422-8.
- [10] Sanjay P, Manohar V, Balol S, Yashwanth Naik MB. Diagnostic Performance of Contrast-Enhanced T2-FLAIR MRI in the Detection of Meningitis. *SA Journal of Radiology*. 2025; 29(1): 1-9. doi: 10.4102/sajr.v29i1.3018.
- [11] Mushtaq R, Arooj S, Kalsoom U, Raja R. Role of Contrast Enhanced FLAIR MRI as a New Tool in Diagnosing Tuberculous Meningitis. *Pakistan Armed Forces Medical Journal*. 2020 Oct; 31(5): 1310.
- [12] Mishra S, Naik S, Bhoi SK, Kumar M, Deep N, Dey A et al. Comparison of Post Contrast Fluid Attenuated Inversion Recovery, 3D T1-SPACE, and T1W MRI Sequences with Fat Suppression in the Diagnosis of Infectious Meningitis. *The Neuroradiology Journal*. 2023 Oct; 36(5): 572-80. doi: 10.1177/19714009231163563.
- [13] Freeze WM, van der Thiel M, de Bresser J, Klijn CJ, van Etten ES, Jansen JF et al. CSF Enhancement on Post-Contrast Fluid-Attenuated Inversion Recovery Images; A Systematic Review. *NeuroImage: Clinical*. 2020 Jan; 28: 102456. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2020.102456.
- [14] Kumar S, Singh P, Vyas S, Modi M, Agarwal V, Goyal MK, Sankhyan N. Assessment of Blood-Brain Barrier Integrity in Tuberculous Meningitis Using Dynamic Contrast-Enhanced MR Perfusion. *Indian Journal of Radiology and Imaging*. 2021 Jan; 31(01): 030-6.
- [15] Ma Q, Yi Y, Liu T, Wen X, Shan F, Feng F et al. MRI-Based Radiomics Signature for Identification of Invisible Basal Cisterns Changes in Tuberculous Meningitis: A Preliminary Multicenter Study. *European Radiology*. 2022 Dec; 32(12): 8659-69. doi: 10.1007/s00330-022-08911-3.
- [16] Goto R, Horiuchi Y, Kawakami H, Chikada A, Yasuda T, Takeuchi S et al. Cerebrospinal Fluid Analysis Is Associated with Enhancement on MRI in Bacterial and Tuberculous Meningitis: A Retrospective Observational Study. *Clinical Neurology and Neurosurgery*. 2022 Jan; 212: 107036. doi: 10.1016/j.clineuro.2021.107036.
- [17] Long Y, Peng Y, Huang Z, Zhu M, Wan C. Enhancing the Cytological Features and Diagnostic Significance of Cerebrospinal Fluid in Bacterial Meningitis. *CytoJournal*. 2024 Jul; 21: 24. doi: 10.25259/Cytojournal_111_2023.
- [18] Parihar R, Shukla R, Baishya B, Kalita J, Haldar R, Misra UK. NMR Based CSF Metabolomics in Tuberculous Meningitis: Correlation with Clinical and MRI Findings. *Metabolic Brain Disease*. 2022 Mar;

- 37(3): 773-85. doi: 10.1007/s11011-021-00860-y.
- [19] Chen W, Liu G, Cui L, Tian F, Zhang J, Zhao J *et al.* Evaluation of Metagenomic and Pathogen-Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing for Diagnosis of Meningitis and Encephalitis in Adults: A Multicenter Prospective Observational Cohort Study in China. *Journal of Infection*. 2024 May; 88(5): 106143. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2024.106143.
- [20] Dian S, Hermawan R, van Laarhoven A, Immaculata S, Achmad TH, Ruslami R *et al.* Brain MRI Findings in Relation to Clinical Characteristics and Outcome of Tuberculous Meningitis. *PLOS ONE*. 2020 Nov; 15(11): e0241974. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0241974.
- [21] Choudhary N, Vyas S, Modi M, Raj S, Kumar A, Sankhyan N *et al.* MR Vessel Wall Imaging in Tubercular Meningitis. *Neuroradiology*. 2021 Oct; 63(10): 1627-34. doi: 10.1007/s00234-021-02678-y.
- [22] Shabbir S and Sheikh M. Positive Predictive Value of Contrast-Enhanced Fluid-Attenuated Inversion Recovery (FLAIR) Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Diagnosis of Meningitis Among Pediatrics Taking Cerebrospinal Fluid Analysis as Gold Standard. *Cureus*. 2024 Nov; 16(11). doi: 10.7759/cureus.73356.