# **RECOGNIZED BY:**



#### HIGHER EDUCATION COMMISSION OF PAKISTAN

#### INDEXING





ResearchGate

















# 🔇 Dimensions















#### **Aims and Scope**

Pakistan Biomedical Journal is an open access, peer-reviewed International journal that publishes in all fields of health, allied health and biomedical sciences for an internationally diverse authorship. Clinical studies, clinical trials, population studies, public health, discovery medicine, study of microbes, molecular and cellular biology, basic mechanisms of biology, genetics, cancer biology, molecular medicine, pharmacology, virology, chemical biology, immunobiology, chemical biology, physiological and pathological studies are within the scope of journal.

A highly-cited, multi disciplinary, international editorial board assures timely publication of manuscripts and reviews on latest advancements in biomedical sciences.

#### **Types of Articles**

- Research papers
- Short communications
- Review or mini-reviews
- Commentaries
- Perspectives, opinion
- Meta-analysis
- Case reports
- Case studies
- Case-control studies

Reviews on recent progress in biomedical sciences are commissioned by the editors. The purpose of the Pakistan Biomedical Journal is to publish scientific and technical research papers to bring attention of international researchers, scientists, academicians, health care professionals towards the recent advancements in biomedical sciences. The articles are collected in the form of reviews, original and clinical studies. It may serve as a global platform for scientists in relevant fields to connect and share ideas mutually. This journal is open to all the research professionals whose work fall within our scope. Submissions are welcome and may be submitted here.

submissions@pakistanbmj.com

🅑 @JournalPakistan 🞯 @Pakistanbmj

#### Title

The title of the paper should provide a concise statement of the contents of the paper. A good title is very important and will attract readers and facilitate retrieval by online searches, thereby helping to maximize citations. The title should include topical keywords and allude to the interesting conclusions of the paper. A title that emphasizes the main conclusions, or poses a question, has more impact than one that just describes the nature of the study.

#### **Running Head**

Running head should be added in the header along with the page numbers.

#### **Type of Article**

Research Article/ Case Report/ Review Article/ Opinion/ Short Communication/ Mini Review/ Letter to Editor

Running Title: A short version of the paper title. Keywords: The major keywords used in the article have to be mentioned. Authors List here all author names Author<sup>1</sup>, Author<sup>2</sup> and Author<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>Author department, University, Country <sup>2</sup>Author department, University, Country <sup>3</sup>Author department, University, Country

#### \*Corresponding Author

Author name, Affiliation, Department Name, University Name, Address, City, State, Country, E-mail:

#### Abstract

Abstract should include a brief content of the article. It should be structured not more than 250 words. It should include following sub headings: Objective, Methods, Results, Conclusions.

#### **Abbreviations**

If there are any abbreviations in the article they have to be mentioned.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Provide a context or background for the study (i.e., the nature of the problem and its significance). State the specific purpose or research objective of, or hypothesis tested by, the study or observation; the research objective is often more sharply focused when stated as a question. Both the main and secondary objectives should be made clear, and any pre-specified subgroup analyses should be described. Give only strictly pertinent references and do not include data or conclusions from the work being reported.

#### METHODS

The Methods section should include only information that was available at the time the or plan of the protocol. All information gathered during the conduct of study should be included in the result section. Study Design, Inclusion / Exclusion Criteria, Data collection procedure, Statistical analysis.

#### RESULTS

Present your results in logical sequence in the text, tables, and illustrations, giving the main or most important findings first.

Do not repeat in the text all the data in the tables or illustrations; emphasize or summarize only important observations. When data are summarized in the results section, give numeric results not only as derivatives (for example, percentages) but also as the absolute numbers from which the derivatives were calculated, and specify the statistical methods used to analyze them. Table font should be 10 and caption should be below table and figure.

Data should not be duplicated in both figures and tables. The maximum limit of tables and figures should not exceed more than 4. Mention the findings of the study in paragraph, while mentioning figure and table number in text in sequential order.

#### TABLE

Table should not be copy pasted or in picture form

#### DISCUSSION

Discuss your findings by comparing your results with other literature

#### REFERENCES

References should not be less than 20. In text references should be in number style. For Example [1] Follow the Pubmed Referencing style Provide the DOI link

**Example:** Cook NR, Rosner BA, Hankinson SE, Colditz GA. Mammographic screening and risk factors for breast cancer. American Journal of Epidemiology. 2009 Dec; 170(11): 1422-32. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwp304.

If there are more than six authors, write et al. after the first six names.

#### CONCLUSION(S)

Conclusion should elucidate how the results communicate to the theory presented as the basis of the study and provide a concise explanation of the allegation of the findings.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Provide the list of individuals who contributed in the work and grant details where applicable

#### **Plagiarism policy**

Similarity index should be less than 19 and less than 5 from individual sources.

#### **Authorship Letter**

Signed authorship letter by all authors including there current department, University, City, Country, Email.

#### **Declaration Form**

Signed declaration form submit by corresponding author.

# The submission of article should include: manuscript according to journal guidelines, authorship letter, declaration form. It should be submitted to the following email id: submissions@pakistanbmj.com

# EDITORIAL TEAM

| Editors In-Chief    | <ul> <li>Prof. Dr. Riffat Mehboob, Ph.D</li> <li>National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, National Institute of Health, Bethesda, United States</li> <li>Lahore Medical Research Center<sup>LLP</sup>, Lahore, Pakistan riffat.pathol@gmail.com</li> <li>Prof. Dr. Anna Maria Lavezzi, Ph.D.</li> <li>Lino Rossi Research Center, Department of Biomedical, Surgical and Dental Sciences, University of Milan, Milan, Italy</li> </ul> |                       |
|---------------------|---|-----------------------|
| Editors             | Prof. Dr. Peter Oehme, MD, Ph.D<br>Professor<br>Founder & Ex-Director East Germany<br>Research Institute, Berlin Germany<br>Founding Director Leibniz Institute<br>for Molecular Pharmacology, Berlin,<br>Germany   | о<br>0<br>Ц           |
| Associate<br>Editor | <b>Dr. Ahmed Alwazzan,</b> Ph.D*<br>Division of Gynecology Oncology, Faculty of Medicine<br>King Abdul Aziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia  | П<br>S<br>C<br>O<br>D |
| Managing<br>Editor  | Khurram MehboobTest StateManaging EditorProduction EditorLahore Medical ResearchLahore Medical ResearchCenter <sup>LLP</sup> , Lahore, PakistanCenter <sup>LLP</sup> , Lahore, Pakistan   |                       |
| Biostatistician     | <b>Humaira Waseem</b><br>Fatima Jinnah Medical University, Lahore, Pakistan   | 0                     |



# BOARD

**Dr. Ahmad Azam Malik,** Ph.D Associate Professor King Abdul Aziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

**Dr. Haiba Kaul**, Ph.D Associate Professor University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan

**Rafael Coveñas Rodríguez** Associate Professor University of Salamanca, Spain

**Prof. Dr. Tahir Jameel** MBBS, MCPS, Mphil, FCPS Professor King Abdulzaiz University Jeddah, Saudia Arabia

Jehan Zeb, Ph.D Post Doc, Research Fellow Hong Kong Science & Technology Park Hong Kong SAR China affiliated with The University of Hong Kong **Dr. Shah Jahan,** Ph.D Associate Professor University of Health Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan

**Dr. Maham Akhlaq,** MBBS, Mphil, DipRCpath, Ph.D Assistant Professor University of Health Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan

**Prof. Dr. Beatrice Paradiso** MD, Double Ph.D Professor Dolo Hospital, Venice, Italy

**Dr. Diki,** M.ED, Ph.D Lecturer University Terbuka, Indonesia

National Member

**Dr. Muhammad Irfan Bashir,** Ph.D Assistant Professor Gulab Devi Institute of Pharmacy, Lahore, Pakistan

**Dr. Munir Bhinder,** Ph. D Associate Professor University of Health Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan



0 О Е

ШΟ

Σω

O L U

Advisory Board

International Members

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### **Editorial**

The Influence of Gut Microbiome Derived Neurotransmitters on Neonatal Immune Response Ahmed Alwazzan

#### **Original Articles**

Investigating the Smartphone Addiction among Undergraduate Nursing Students

Sunita Aijaz Ali, Pamela Marshall and Shahzad Bashir

Factors Influencing the Academic Performance of Undergraduate Nursing Students at Public Sector Institution, Karachi

Vinod Kumar, Badil, Raja, Husan Bano Channar, Ameer Ullah Khan, Tahir Khan, Saran Chandio, Ashok Kumar and Hamid ul Haq

Social Media Usage and it's Relationship with Depression among Nursing Students of a Private University

Khalid Hussain, Tanseer Ahmed and Riafat

Exploring Blood Donation: Perspectives among Undergraduate Students in Peshawar, Pakistan

Salman Zahir, Khansa Khan, Muhammad Atif, Wisal Khan, Haseeb Khan, Imad Khan, Somia Mazhar and Jamal Shah

Diabetes Distress, Depression and Coping Strategies in Adults with Type 2 Diabetes

Nudra Malik, Momina Arshad and Amina Muazzam

Gratitude, Self-Efficacy and Self-Care Behaviors among Patients with Cardiovascular Diseases

Sadia Khan, Nudra Malik and Raumish Masud Khan

# Prevalence of Anemia in Community-Acquired Pneumonia Patients

Samina Saeed, Muhammad Usman Yaqub, Aysha Ghayyur, Shazia Siddique, Muhammad Anwar and Ayesha Afzal





# PAKISTAN BIOMEDICAL JOURNAL

https://www.pakistanbmj.com/journal/index.php/pbmj/index ISSN(P): 2709-2798, (E): 2709-278X Volume 7, Issue 4 (April 2024)



#### The Influence of Gut Microbiome Derived Neurotransmitters on Neonatal Immune Response

#### Ahmed Alwazzan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Gynecology Oncology, Faculty of Medicine, King Abdul Aziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia **ab\_wazzan@yahoo.com** 

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### How to Cite:

Alwazzan, A. (2024). The Influence of Gut Microbiome Derived Neurotransmitters on Neonatal Immune Response. Pakistan BioMedical Journal, 7(04). https://doi.org/10.54393/pbmj.v7i04.1075

The complex interaction between neurotransmitter, gut flora and immune system has expanded dramatically. Infant gut microbiome is a key driver of immune system development [1]. Any disruption in gut microbiota during early life have been associated with childhood disorders such as food allergies, neurodevelopmental disorders and asthma [2]. The bacteria in gut are not just inert inhabitants of our digestive systems. Their metabolic activities involve the active creation of neurotransmitters such as GABA, dopamine, and serotonin. Gut is known as second brain because it produces 90% of neurotransmitters including dopamine and serotonin [3]. In adults, neurotransmitters are produced by enterochromaffin cells but in infants there is need to unveil the regulation of neurotransmitters. Some of the studies have shown the relationship between gut flora and immune system.

Neonates are more susceptible to diseases because their gut is not mature enough to produce neurotransmitters. Some specific gut microbiome in infants produce serotonin which activates the T-regulatory cells (Tregs). Tregs act as a defence mechanism against autoimmune disorders and food allergic reactions. The number of serotonin producing neonatal gut flora can be influenced by diets, availability of antibiotics and reduce exposure of microbes in their environment. Any change in level of serotonin might affect the development of Tregs. The reason that makes infant more prone to allergic reactions and autoimmune disorders in developed countries.

Scientists are trying to dig out about how gut bacteria in human newborn samples, produce serotonin. This research could lead to the development of effective immune system training techniques, which would lower the lifetime risk of inflammatory illnesses like allergies and inflammatory bowel disease. This work highlights the importance of gut microbes in developing immunity in infancy and provides opportunities for further studies targeted at reducing immune-related illnesses. Interventions that support healthier immune responses from infancy through maturity may be made possible by better understanding and utilizing the power of gut flora.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Milani C, Duranti S, Bottacini F, Casey E, Turroni F, Mahony J, et al. The first microbial colonizers of the human gut: composition, activities, and health implications of the infant gut microbiota. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews. 2017 Dec; 81(4): 10-128.
- [2] Rautava S, Luoto R, Salminen S, Isolauri E. Microbial contact during pregnancy, intestinal colonization and human disease. Nature reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology. 2012 Oct; 9(10): 565-76.
- [3] Guzel T and Mirowska-Guzel D. The role of serotonin neurotransmission in gastrointestinal tract and pharmacotherapy. Molecules. 2022 Mar; 27(5): 1680.



# PAKISTAN BIOMEDICAL JOURNAL

https://www.pakistanbmj.com/journal/index.php/pbmj/index ISSN (P): 2709-2798, (E): 2709-278X Volume 7, Issue 4 (April 2024)



#### **Original Article**

#### Investigating the Smartphone Addiction among Undergraduate Nursing Students

#### Sunita Aijaz Ali<sup>1</sup>, Pamela Marshall<sup>1</sup> and Shahzad Bashir<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Ziauddin University, Karachi, Pakistan

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### Keywords:

Smartphone Addiction, Nursing Students, Nurse Education, Healthcare

#### How to Cite:

Aijaz Ali, S., Marshall , P., & Bashir, S. (2024). Investigating the Smartphone Addiction among Undergraduate Nursing Students: Smartphone Addiction and Nursing Students . Pakistan BioMedical Journal, 7(04), 02–06. https://doi.org/ 10.54393/pbmj.v7i04.1069

#### \*Corresponding Author:

Shahzad Bashir Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Ziauddin University, Karachi, Pakistan shahzad.bashir@zu.edu.pk

Received Date: 30<sup>th</sup> March, 2024 Acceptance Date: 19<sup>th</sup> April, 2024 Published Date: 30<sup>th</sup> April, 2024

#### INTRODUCTION

A smartphone is a single device with multiple features to make human life easier. Nowadays, the trend to use a smartphone has become popular all over the world it has changed human life drastically in the last few years [1]. Smartphones aren't just about fun and staying connected; they're like a personal toolkit packed with endless possibilities. From browsing the internet to finding our way with GPS, capturing memories with the camera, and using countless handy apps, they've become indispensable companions in our everyday adventures. Moreover, smartphones provide wireless access to work, emails, and social activities [2]. However, excessive use of smartphones is considered a technology addiction and it has raised concerns about its effects on students' health and academic performance [3]. Addiction is an

### ABSTRACT

In today's digital era, smartphones have become an essential part of our society. However, excessive use of smartphones can have a wide range of consequences and it affects students' physical and mental health. Nursing students should know the appropriate use of smartphone addiction among nursing students. **Objective:** To investigate the prevalence of smartphone addiction among nursing students of Saifee Burhani School of Nursing in Karachi, Pakistan. A convenience sampling technique was used to collect data and a Smartphone Addiction Scale short version (SAS-SV) questionnaire was utilized to collect data related to smartphone usage patterns and addiction levels among nursing students. **Results:** The study findings revealed that 68 nursing students (69.39%) were addicted to smartphones. Descriptive statistics, ANOVA, and t-independent test were applied by Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software version 25.0 for data analysis. **Conclusions:** The present study revealed that smartphone addiction was found higher among nursing students.

irrepressible desire for an object even if there is a destructive effect on an individual's health and social life [4]. Smartphone addiction is a common concern worldwide with a high occurrence not only influences developing countries but also the underdeveloped nations [5]. The frequency and duration of time spent on social media sites and messaging applications have been increasing and it has been reported that those people who use a smartphone for more than 20 hours a week have a serious dependency on smartphones [6]. According to 2019 statistics, the incidence of smartphone addiction are more than 5 million globally [7]. A study conducted among medical University students in Saudi Arabia reported strongly negative consequences on the physical and mental health of students due to excessive use of

smartphones [8]. Moreover, investigating an addiction to smartphones, a study conducted in Rawalpindi-Islamabad reported 57.3% of males whereas 42.6% of females were involved in excessive use of smartphones [9]. Healthcare students are exposed to high levels of stress right from the beginning of the course; as they face unique challenges due to rigorous academic demands and strict clinical training. Smartphone addiction might aggravate this problem as well as reduce the quality of sleep and cause neck discomfort[10].

There is limited research available related to smartphone addiction, that specifically targets nursing students in Pakistan. Therefore, understanding the extent of Smartphone addiction among nursing students and its implications is crucial for promoting their well-being and ensuring their academic success. Consequently, this study aimed to investigate smartphone addiction among nursing students and gain insight into these problems in the Pakistani context.

#### METHODS

This study investigated the prevalence of smartphone addiction in Bachelor of Science in nursing students at Saifee Burhani School of Nursing in Karachi, Pakistan. Data were collected from an organized method after getting approval for data collection from the study setting and the Ethical Review Committee of Ziauddin University (7440723SANUR; September 05, 2023). It was a Descriptive cross-sectional study conducted in one month October 2023 using convenience sampling technique by using the Smartphone Addiction Scale Short Version (SAS-SV) questionnaire [11]. The questionnaire contains 10 items related to the use of smartphones with a Likert scale score of 1 to 6 indicated (1 = strongly disagree to 6 = strongly agree). The overall score of SAS-SV ranges from 10 to 60 and the cut-off point for addiction in males is 31 and 33 for females. The tool's reliability is 0.94 and the validity is 0.71-0.78. This study used a convenience sampling method, The sample size was calculated by online software "OpenEpi" version 3 with a 95% confidence interval by taking a previous study done in 2022 as reference "Addicted to smartphones: Exploring the prevalence of smartphone usage patterns and addiction among undergraduates in South Punjab" mean and the standard deviation is taken from the article [12]. The inclusive criteria were nursing students who were enrolled in the Bachelor of Science in Nursing program and using smartphones for more than 1 year for at least 2 hours per day and the exclusive criteria were the students who did not meet the inclusion criteria and were unwilling to participate. Informed consent was taken by a primary researcher from the study participants before including them in the study for voluntary participation without coercion. Finally, the data were

analyzed by Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS Version 25.0). Descriptive statistics for frequency tables, mean and standard deviation, and statistical test ANOVA and independent t-test were utilized to find out the relationship among different variables with smartphone addiction.

#### RESULTS

Table 1 presents that out of a total of 98 participants, 80 participants (61.6%) belonged to the 18-23 years age group, 15(15.3%) belonged to the 24-28 years age group, and only 3 (3.1%) belonged to the 29-33 years age group. Among all, 51 (52%) were female and 47 (48%) were male. Most of the subjects 89(90.8%) subjects were single while only 9(9.2%) were married. Among all participants, 16(16.3%) were in 1st year, 40 (40.8%) were in 2nd year, 24 (24.5%) were in 3rd year and 18(18.4%) were in the 4th year. In addition, most of the participants 87 (88.8%) used smartphones for social media while 75 (76.5%) participants used smartphones for assignment purposes, and 48 (49%) utilized smartphones for entertainment and calls.

**Table 1:** Frequency of Socio-Demographics Factors

| Demographics                 |                   | N (%)     |
|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
|                              | 18-23             | 80 (81.6) |
| Age Groups (in Years)        | 24-28             | 15 (15.3) |
|                              | 29-33             | 3 (3.1)   |
| Condor                       | Male              | 47(48)    |
| Gender                       | Female            | 51 (52)   |
| Marital Status               | Married           | 9(9.2)    |
| Marital Status               | Single            | 89(90.8)  |
|                              | Year 1 Semester 2 | 16 (16.3) |
| 0                            | Year 2 Semester 4 | 40(40.8)  |
| Semester                     | Year 3 Semester 6 | 24(24.5)  |
|                              | Year 4 Semester 8 | 18(18.4)  |
| Assignment Purpose           | Yes               | 75 (76.5) |
| Assignment Fulpose           | No                | 23(23.5)  |
| Entortainment Purnasa        | Yes               | 48(49)    |
| Entertainment Fulpose        | No                | 50 (51)   |
| Social Media Purpose         | Yes               | 87(88.8)  |
|                              | No                | 11(11.2)  |
| Calls Purnose                | Yes               | 50 (51)   |
| cans rui pose                | No                | 48 (49)   |
| Duration of Smartphone Usage | More than 2 Hours | 98 (100)  |

Table 2 presents that for the age group of 18-23 years, scores obtained on the distribution of SAS-SV with sociodemographic variables were 38.04 (SD = 8.83), for 24-28 years 40.07(SD = 9.69) and 29-33 years 28.67(SD = 10.69). In respective to the male gender, scores obtained 38.53 (SD = 9.92) and for females 37.63 (SD = 8.31). In respective to marital status, 34.78(SD = 8.80) were married and 38.39(SD = 9.09) were single. The semester results showed that 2nd semester score was 32.56 (SD = 8.09), the 4th semester score was 37.79 (SD = 9.96), and the 8th semester score was 41.00 (SD = 8.80). Respectively to the assignment purpose, scores were obtained 41.91(SD = 7.62), for entertainment 38.27(SD = 8.41), for social media 38.78 (SD = 8.98), and for calls 38.18 (SD = 9.11). In respective to the duration of smartphone use, the results obtained were 38.06(SD = 9.08).

**Table 2:** Distribution of Smart Phone Addiction Scores with

 Socio-Demographics

| Characteristi                | Mean ± SD         |               |
|------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
|                              | 18-23             | 38.04 ± 8.83  |
| Age Groups (in Years)        | 24-28             | 40.07 ± 9.69  |
|                              | 29-33             | 28.67 ± 10.06 |
| Conder                       | Male              | 38.53 ± 9.92  |
| Gender                       | Female            | 37.63 ± 8.31  |
| Marital Status               | Married           | 34.78 ± 8.80  |
| Marital Status               | Single            | 38.39 ± 9.09  |
|                              | Year 1 Semester 2 | 32.56 ± 8.09  |
| Samaatan                     | Year 2 Semester 4 | 39.10 ± 9.96  |
| Semester                     | Year 3 Semester 6 | 37.79 ± 9.96  |
|                              | Year 4 Semester 8 | 41.00 ± 8.80  |
| Assignment Purnose           | Yes               | 36.88 ± 9.21  |
| Assignment a pose            | No                | 41.91 ± 7.62  |
| Entertainment Purnose        | Yes               | 38.27 ± 8.41  |
| Littertainment rupose        | No                | 37.86 ± 9.77  |
| Social Media Purnose         | Yes               | 38.78 ± 8.98  |
| overal media Pulpose         | No                | 32.36 ± 8.14  |
| Calls Purnose                | Yes               | 38.18 ± 9.11  |
|                              | No                | 37.94 ± 9.15  |
| Duration of Smartphone Usage | More than 2 Hours | 38.06 ± 9.08  |

Table 3 shows that 68 (69.39%) nursing students were addicted to smartphones and 30(30.6%) were not addicted to smartphones.

Table 3: Prevalence of Smartphone Addiction

| Smartphone Usage            | n (%)      |
|-----------------------------|------------|
| Not Addicted to Smartphones | 30 (30.61) |
| Addicted to Smart Phone     | 68 (69.39) |

Table 4 represents that 36 (71%) females and 32 (68%) males were addicted to smartphones.

**Table 4:** Prevalence of Smartphone Addiction in Males and Females

| Gender | N  | Not Addicted to<br>Smartphone | Addicted to<br>Smartphone |
|--------|----|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Male   | 47 | 15(32%)                       | 32(68%)                   |
| Female | 51 | 15 (29%)                      | 36(71%)                   |
| Total  | 98 | 30                            | 68                        |

Table 5 shows the result of an association of different variables with smartphone addiction short version scale (SAS-SV) scores. The age group did not show a significant association with SAS-SV Scores (p = 0.140). Gender exhibited no significant relationship(p=0.625)with SAS-SV scores. Marital status also showed no significant

relationship with SASSV scores (p = 0.257). However, the semester showed a significant difference in SASSV scores (p = 0.038). Significant results were also found in the assignment purpose variable and social media purpose variable (p-values: 0.019, 0.027). Additionally, variables entertainment purpose and call purpose showed no significant relationship with SASSV scores.

| Table   | 5:  | Comparison | of | Smartphone | Addiction | Scores | with |
|---------|-----|------------|----|------------|-----------|--------|------|
| Differe | ent | Variables  |    |            |           |        |      |

| Characte                 | Mean ± SD         | Ν             | p-value |                     |  |
|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------|---------------------|--|
|                          | 18-23             | 38.04 ± 8.83  | 80      |                     |  |
| Age Groups<br>(in Years) | 24-28             | 40.07 ± 9.69  | 15      | 0.140ª              |  |
| (in reality)             | 29-33             | 28.67 ± 10.06 | 3       |                     |  |
| Condor                   | Male              | 38.53 ± 9.92  | 47      | 0.005               |  |
| Gender                   | Female            | 37.63 ± 8.31  | 51      | 0.625               |  |
| Marital Chatua           | Married           | 34.78 ± 8.80  | 9       |                     |  |
| Marital Status           | Single            | 38.39 ± 9.09  | 89      | 0.257               |  |
|                          | Year 1 Semester 2 | 32.56 ± 8.09  | 16      | 0.038ª*             |  |
| Comostor                 | Year 2 Semester 4 | 39.10 ± 9.96  | 40      |                     |  |
| Semester                 | Year 3 Semester 6 | 37.79 ± 9.96  | 24      |                     |  |
|                          | Year 4 Semester 8 | 41.00 ± 8.80  | 18      |                     |  |
| Assignment               | Yes               | 36.88 ± 9.21  | 75      | 0.010 <sup>b*</sup> |  |
| Purpose                  | No                | 41.91 ± 7.62  | 23      | 0.019               |  |
| Entertainment            | Yes               | 38.27 ± 8.41  | 48      | 0.004               |  |
| Purpose                  | No                | 37.86 ± 9.77  | 50      | 0.824               |  |
| Social Media             | Yes               | 38.78 ± 8.98  | 87      | 0.0076*             |  |
| Purpose                  | No                | 32.36 ± 8.14  | 11      | 0.027               |  |
| Calle Purnose            | Yes               | 38.18 ± 9.11  | 50      | 0.000               |  |
| cans r urpose            | No                | 37.94 ± 9.15  | 48      | 0.896               |  |

<sup>an</sup> ANOVA test has been applied

<sup>b</sup> Independent T-test has been applied

#### DISCUSSION

In the current study, most of the participants were 51(52%) females as compared to males were 47 (48%). These findings were parallel to the study in which 63.9% were female and 36.1% were male [13]. In contrast, the literature endorses that a large proportion of males was 53.4% as compared to females 35.9% [14]. The possible reasons for this contrast in the Pakistani context were perhaps the male-dominant society, cultural norms, and restrictions on women's mobility in certain areas may have led them to rely more on smartphones for social interaction to stay connected with friends and family which could contribute higher smartphone addiction among females. The majority of participants (90.8%) were single in the current study. These findings were similar to a study in which most participants 97.65% were single [15]. A large proportion (94.9%) of the study participants' age group was 18-23 years. The findings were parallel to the results of the study in which the majority of the participants (69.2%) belonged to the 18-25 years age group. According to the educational status of the participants (16.3%) were in 1st year semester

2, (40.8%) were in 2nd year semester 4, (24.5%) were in 3rd year semester 6 and, (18.4%) were in 4th year semester 8. The findings were parallel to the findings of the study in which most of the participants 43.4% were enrolled in the second year 41.1% were in the third year and 19.1% were in 4th year [15]. The possible reasons for this distinction are that as students' progress through their studies, they may experience a heavier course load, longer study hours, and increased clinical responsibilities, which can lead to prolonged periods spent on smartphones to complete assignments and social media to refresh their minds. The current study revealed that nursing students were using smartphones more than two hours per day. The findings were comparable to the findings of the study in which the majority of participants spent 2 hours and 39 minutes using their smartphones [16]. The present findings revealed that 68 (69.39%) nursing students were addicted to smartphones of which 32 (68%) were male and 36 (71%) were female. Similarly, a study conducted in Sweden reported that 60% of females and 35% of males were addicted to smartphones [17]. In contrast, a study conducted in Jeddah reported that males were more addicted to smartphones than females and the overall addiction was 66 (36.5%) [18]. The possible reason for this difference in Pakistan was perhaps the availability of lowcost internet access to smartphone devices to stay connected with social media and a lack of awareness related to the healthy use of digital devices. Moreover, the current study showed a statistically significant relationship found that smartphone use for assignment (pvalue = 0.019), and social media purpose (p-value = 0.027). The study findings were similar to the study that was conducted in Saudi Arabia reported that nursing students were using smartphones more frequently for social media and entertainment purposes (82.2%) rather than educational activities [19]. On the other hand, a study conducted in Taiwan by Lai et al., which highlighted that nursing students have favorable behavioral intentions toward the usage of nursing information smartphones, emphasizing their perceived utility and simplicity of use, which supports the blending of practical skills [20].

#### CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the overall prevalence of smartphone addiction was found high (69.39%) among undergraduate nursing students.

#### Acknowledgment

We would like to sincerely thank Mr. Raja, Ms. Mehtab, and Mr. Danish Ahmed and Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery for their support and essential efforts during the entire study process. Their knowledge, direction, and criticism were really helpful in producing this piece of paper.

#### Authors Contribution

Conceptualization: SAA Methodology: PM, SB Formal analysis: SAA, SB Writing-review and editing: SAA, PM, SB

All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

#### Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Source of Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Mustafaoglu R, Yasaci Z, Zirek E, Griffiths MD, Ozdincler AR. The relationship between smartphone addiction and musculoskeletal pain prevalence among young population: a cross-sectional study. The Korean Journal of Pain. 2021 Jan; 34(1): 72. doi: 10.3344/kjp.2021.34.1.72.
- [2] Alkhateeb A, Alboali R, Alharbi W, Saleh O. Smartphone addiction and its complications related to health and daily activities among university students in Saudi Arabia: A multicenter study. Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care. 2020 Jul; 9(7): 3220-4. doi: 10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc\_1224\_19.
- [3] Rathakrishnan B, Bikar Singh SS, Kamaluddin MR, Yahaya A, Mohd Nasir MA et al. Smartphone addiction and sleep quality on academic performance of university students: An exploratory research. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2021 Aug; 18(16): 8291. doi: 10.3390/ ijerph18168291.
- [4] Yaman Aktaş Y, Karabulut N, Arslan B. Digital addiction, academic performance, and sleep disturbance among nursing students. Perspectives in Psychiatric Care. 2022 Oct; 58(4). doi: 10.1111/ppc.12 961.
- [5] Olson JA, Sandra DA, Colucci ÉS, Al Bikaii A, Chmoulevitch D, Nahas J et al. Smartphone addiction is increasing across the world: A meta-analysis of 24 countries. Computers in Human Behavior. 2022 Apr; 129: 107138. doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2021.107138.
- [6] Guloglu SB and Yalcin U. The Effect of Smartphone Addiction on Neck Pain and Disability in University Students. Kafkas Journal of Medical Sciences, Kafkas Tip Bilimleri Dergisi. 2021 Aug; 11(2). doi: 10.5505/kjms.2021.75057.
- [7] Kaya F, Bostanci Daştan N, Durar E. Smart phone usage, sleep quality and depression in university students. International Journal of Social Psychiatry.

2021 Aug; 67(5): 407-14. doi: 10.1177/0020764020960 207.

- [8] Alsalameh AM, Harisi MJ, Alduayji MA, Almutham AA, Mahmood FM. Evaluating the relationship between smartphone addiction/overuse and musculoskeletal pain among medical students at Qassim University. Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care. 2019 Sep; 8(9): 2953-9. doi: 10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc\_665\_19.
- [9] Khalily MT, Loona MI, Bhatti MM, Ahmad I, Saleem T. Smartphone addiction and its associated factors among students in twin cities of Pakistan. Journal of Pakistan Medical Association. 2020 Mar; 70(8): 1357-62. doi: 10.5455/JPMA.23054.
- [10] Al-Hadidi F, Bsisu I, AlRyalat SA, Al-Zu'bi B, Bsisu R, Hamdan M et al. Association between mobile phone use and neck pain in university students: A crosssectional study using numeric rating scale for evaluation of neck pain. PloS One. 2019 May; 14(5): e0217231.doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0217231.
- [11] Kwon M, Kim DJ, Cho H, Yang S. The smartphone addiction scale: development and validation of a short version for adolescents. PloS One. 2013 Dec; 8(12): e83558. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0083558.
- [12] Bajwa RS, Abdullah HB, Jaafar WM, Samah AK. Addicted to smartphones: Exploring the prevalence of smartphone usage patterns and addiction among undergraduates in South Punjab-Pakistan. Rawal Medical Journal. 2022 Apr; 47(2): 446.
- [13] Mahmoud NA, Abu Raddaha AH, Zaghamir DE. Impact of Digital Device Use on Neck and Low Back Pain Intensity among Nursing Students at a Saudi Government University: A Cross-Sectional Study. Healthcare. 2022 Nov; 10(12): 2424. doi: 10.3390/ healthcare10122424.
- [14] Bertozzi L, Negrini S, Agosto D, Costi S, Guccione AA, Lucarelli P et al. Posture and time spent using a smartphone are not correlated with neck pain and disability in young adults: A cross-sectional study. Journal of Bodywork and Movement Therapies. 2021 Apr; 26: 220-6. doi: 10.1016/j.jbmt.2020.09.006.
- [15] Ayhualem S, Alamer A, Dabi SD, Bogale KG, Abebe AB, Chala MB. Burden of neck pain and associated factors among smart phone user students in University of Gondar, Ethiopia. Plos One. 2021 Sep; 16(9): e0256794. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0256794.
- [16] Deng T, Kanthawala S, Meng J, Peng W, Kononova A, Hao Q et al. Measuring smartphone usage and task switching with log tracking and self-reports. Mobile Media & Communication. 2019 Jan; 7(1): 3-23. doi: 10.1177/2050157918761491.
- [17] Claesdotter-Knutsson E, André F, Fridh M, Delfin C, Hakansson A, Lindström M. Gender-based

differences and associated factors surrounding excessive smartphone use among adolescents: Cross-sectional study. JMIR Pediatrics and Parenting. 2021 Nov; 4(4): e30889. doi: 10.2196/3088 9.

- [18] Alhazmi AA, Alzahrani SH, Baig M, Salawati EM. Prevalence and factors associated with smartphone addiction among medical students at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah. Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences. 2018 Jul; 34(4): 984. doi: 10.12669/pjms.34 4.15294.
- [19] Alsayed S, Bano N, Alnajjar H. Evaluating practice of smartphone use among university students in undergraduate nursing education. Health Professions Education. 2020 Jun; 6(2): 238-46. doi: 10.1016/j.hpe.2019.06.004.
- [20] Lai CY, Lee TY, Lin SC, Lin IH. Applying the technology acceptance model to explore nursing students' behavioral intention to use nursing information smartphones in a clinical setting. CIN: Computers, Informatics, Nursing. 2022 Jul; 40(7): 506-12. doi: 10.1 097/CIN.00000000000853.



# PAKISTAN BIOMEDICAL JOURNAL

https://www.pakistanbmj.com/journal/index.php/pbmj/index ISSN (P): 2709-2798, (E): 2709-278X Volume 7, Issue 4 (April 2024)



#### **Original Article**

#### Factors Influencing the Academic Performance of Undergraduate Nursing Students at Public Sector Institution, Karachi

#### Vinod Kumar¹, Badil¹ʿ, Raja², Husan Bano Channar³, Ameer Ullah Khan⁴, Tahir Khan⁵, Saran Chandio⁵, Ashok Kumar² and Hamid ul Haq⁰

<sup>1</sup>Dow Institute of Nursing and Midwifery, Dow University of Health Sciences, Karachi, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>Department of Plastic Surgery, Dr. Ruth K. M. Pfau, Civil Hospital Karachi, Pakistan

<sup>3</sup>People's School of Nursing, Liaqaut University of Medical and Health Sciences (LUMHS), Jamshoro, Pakistan

<sup>4</sup>Qatar College of Nursing, Karachi, Pakistan

<sup>5</sup>Health Department, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan

<sup>6</sup>Benazir College of Nursing, Shaheed Mohtarma Benazir Bhutto Medical University, Larkana, Pakistan

<sup>7</sup>College of Nursing, Female (FCON), Larkana, Pakistan

<sup>8</sup>Indus College of Nursing and Midwifery, Karachi, Pakistan

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### Keywords:

Academic Performance, Faculty Shortage, Nursing Students, Nurse Education, Learning Environment

#### How to Cite:

Kumar, V., Badil, ., Raja, ., Channar, H. B., Khan, A. U., Khan, T., Chandio, S., Kumar, A., & Haq, H. ul. (2024). Factors Influencing the Academic Performance of Undergraduate Nursing Students at Public Sector Institution, Karachi: Factors Affecting Students' Performance. Pakistan BioMedical Journal, 7(04). https://doi.org/10.54393/pbmj.v7i04.1071

#### \*Corresponding Author:

Badil

Dow Institute of Nursing and Midwifery, Dow University of Health Sciences, Karachi, Pakistan badil@duhs.edu.pk

Received Date:  $31^{st}$  March, 2024 Acceptance Date:  $27^{th}$  April, 2024 Published Date:  $30^{th}$  April, 2024

#### ABSTRACT

Academic performance is a measurement of student accomplishment, which is tremendously achieved by providing a robust learning environment. Factors that hinder the incredible academic performance of nursing students include an uncomfortable learning environment, a shortage of committed faculty, and students' financial backgrounds. Objective: To determine the factors influencing the academic performance of undergraduate nursing students at a public sector institution in Karachi. Methods: A descriptive cross-sectional study was completed at the Dow Institute of Nursing and Midwifery, Dow University of Health Sciences, Karachi. All students who were enrolled in the BSN 4 Years Degree Program were the targeted population. The calculated sample was 300 nursing students. Data were collected by adopted and validated questionnaire "Combined Survey Questionnaire". Factors influencing the academic performance of nursing students were computed in mean and standard deviation. Results: Out of total, most participants (67.7%) were unmarried, and nearly one-third (61.7%) were female. Almost (49.3%) of the study participants were between 18 and 24 years old. The highest grand mean value was obtained for teacher-related factors, whereas the lowest mean was found for home-related factors. A significant difference has been established in age (pvalue=0.003), marital status (p-value=0.011), discipline (p-value=0.001), and family income (pvalue=0.006) have on the academic performance of the student nurse. Conclusions: It is established that nursing students face considerable issues depending upon teacher-related factors followed by home-related factors that are linked to deterring the student's academic performance.

#### INTRODUCTION

The institution is a vital body for imparting quality education to students. The organization plays an increasingly imperative role in developing robust communication skills. However, graduate students face various and considerable challenges during the learning phase[1]. It is established by current research that a highly conducive learning environment can lead to increased learning considerably [2]. On the other hand, the learning climate includes physical, social, and passionate factors that can escalate undergraduate pressure, like feeble scholastic structure, several evaluations, responsibility, and teacher's help [3]. Numerous factors affect the undergraduate's approach to learning and consideration, including educational strategies, educational plans, evaluation of undergraduate learning, and over-burdened educational programs [4]. An organization considers incredible academic performance as a significant instrument in hiring. To accomplish the greatest opportunity, students must work hard and be eager to score high grades [5]. Learning can be markedly enhanced in students through certain unprecedented attributes, including optimism, motivation, persistence, perseverance, and passion [6]. The current research study revealed that internal and external factors affect a student's performance. The internal factors are students' competence in English, class strength, class timing, English course book, learning environment, homework, class assignment, internet facility, difficult course content, and examination system [7]. The external factors include financial problems, workplace difficulties, and family issues; a student's capability can be affected by a different factor [8]. A shortage of clinical nursing faculty can undesirably impact the learner's performance in the clinical setting [9]. Furthermore, unskilled and incompetent clinical teachers are equally responsible for the inadequate clinical performance of students [10, 11]. A stressful learning environment has a detrimental effect on students' learning [12]. It has been depicted in recent research that students' poor financial position may deter students' educational performances [13]. The gualified instructor ensures productivity in education by utilizing psychomotor skills, critical thinking skills, communication skills, and soft skills [14]. Furthermore, skillful teachers are attentive to approaches and instructional resources that create meaningful educational environments[15].

This study aimed to determine factors influencing nursing students' academic performance.

#### METHODS

This cross-sectional analytical study was performed at the Dow Institute of Nursing and Midwifery, Dow University of Health Sciences, Karachi. The study was conducted over six months, from October 2019 to March 2020. Both male and female students, who were enrolled in BSN and Post RN BSN, 18 years and above, were included in the study. Intern students and those who refused to participate were excluded from the study. A non-probability convenient sampling technique was utilized to recruit the study participants. Sample size was calculated by using Slovin's Formula. Total population N=200. Therefore, the minimum sample size required for the study was 135. We collected a sample of 150 individuals in each setting. There were two study settings, hence, the sample size was 300 after including 10% for reducing error chance and minimize the missing information. The calculated sample size was 300 participants of both genders. Moreover, written informed consent was obtained from all study participants before data collection. The participants participated voluntarily. Confidentiality of the data were assured. The ethical approval was obtained by the Internal Research Committee (IRC) of the Institute of Nursing and Midwifery, Dow

University of Health Sciences (Ref no: ION/MSN/2019-18-661). The research study was conducted according to the declaration of Helsinki in 2013. Data were collected by the adopted and validated questionnaire "Combined Survey Questionnaire" and permission for instrument use was obtained from the author [16]. The questionnaire was divided into two parts. The first part comprises demographic data, and the second is factors that affect the academic performance of nursing students, including student-related factors, school-related factors and teacher-related factors. The tool contains a five-point Likert Scale, scoring five to one as always, often, sometimes, rarely and never. Analysis of the data was done through SPSS version 24. Categorical variables were reported as frequency and proportions. At the same time, means and standard deviations were shown for the quantitative variables.

#### RESULTS

Table 1 exhibits the sociodemographic characteristics of the study participants. Out of 300 subjects, most of the study participants were unmarried, 203 (67.7%) and the female gender was prominent, 185 (61.7%). Nearly half of the study population belonged to the age group 18 to 24. Half of the study participants were either BSN generic or Post RN BSN.

**Table 1:** Sociodemographic Characteristics of Study Participants(n=300)

| Variables                    | F(%)       |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Age (Years)                  |            |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24                        | 148 (49.3) |  |  |  |  |
| 25-31                        | 110 (36.7) |  |  |  |  |
| 32-38                        | 38 (12.7)  |  |  |  |  |
| 39-45                        | 4 (1.3)    |  |  |  |  |
| Gender                       |            |  |  |  |  |
| Male                         | 115 (38.3) |  |  |  |  |
| Female                       | 185 (61.7) |  |  |  |  |
| Marital Status               |            |  |  |  |  |
| Unmarried                    | 203 (67.7) |  |  |  |  |
| Married                      | 97(32.3)   |  |  |  |  |
| Discipline                   |            |  |  |  |  |
| Generic BSN                  | 150 (50.0) |  |  |  |  |
| Post RN BSN                  | 150 (50.0) |  |  |  |  |
| Previous School              |            |  |  |  |  |
| Private                      | 139(46.3)  |  |  |  |  |
| Public                       | 161 (53.7) |  |  |  |  |
| Family Monthly Income (Rupee | es)        |  |  |  |  |
| 10001-20000                  | 18 (6.0)   |  |  |  |  |
| 20001-30000                  | 44 (14.7)  |  |  |  |  |
| 30001-40000                  | 71(23.7)   |  |  |  |  |
| 40001-50000                  | 95 (31.7)  |  |  |  |  |
| 50001-60000                  | 72 (24.0)  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2 depicts the Student Related Factors that affect the academic performance of the student nurses. In this section, the highest mean value reported for the item about how well to listen to the teacher was 4.306, followed by the item related to study and preparation and test as 4.1567. The lowest mean value was obtained for the item about preference for finishing studying and assignments before watching television. However, the grand mean value for the students' related factors was 3.8329, with a standard deviation of 0.368.

**Table 2:** Student Related Factors that Affect the AcademicPerformance of the Student-Nurses(n=300)

| S.No. | Items   | Mean ± SD   |
|-------|---|-------------|
| 1     | How attentively did you pay attention to the teacher?   | 4.30 ± 0.82 |
| 2     | How well do you want to complete the quiz, test projects, tasks, and assignments with a respectable grade?                      | 3.98 ± 0.83 |
| 3     | How effectively do you reply to exercises and clear stuff you do not understand in the conversation?                            | 4.14 ± 0.76 |
| 4     | How well did you make me ready for substances?  | 4.13 ± 0.79 |
| 5     | How did you react angrily when a conversation or<br>discussion was interrupted if the teacher wasn't<br>there?                  | 3.48 ± 0.92 |
| 6     | How successfully did you use a struggle when faced with a challenging assignment?   | 3.81 ± 0.88 |
| 7     | How effectively did you retain the lesson you<br>missed by missing class?   | 3.73 ± 0.95 |
| 8     | How well do you read and prepare for exams<br>and quizzes?  | 4.15 ± 0.85 |
| 9     | How well did you understand that extracurricular<br>activities did not interfere with your academic<br>progress?                | 3.61±0.95   |
| 10    | How well-kept and organized was the space you designated as your reading retreat?   | 3.78 ± 1.02 |
| 11    | How well did you fix your task regularly?   | 4.09 ± 0.85 |
| 12    | How well did you use your downtime to complete<br>a project or learn your lesson?   | 3.68 ± 0.97 |
| 13    | How diligently did you study after receiving the<br>minimum scores to improve your performance?                                 | 3.86 ± 0.97 |
| 14    | How effectively did you concentrate even more<br>on your studies by spending less time with pals<br>throughout the school days? | 3.44 ± 0.96 |
| 15    | How much preference did you have for finishing your<br>homework and tasks before watching any TV?                               | 3.27 ± 1.16 |
|       | Grand Mean  | 3.83 ± 0.36 |

Table 3 displays school-related factors affecting the academic performance of the scholar nurse. The highest mean value was obtained for the item related to the use of facilities in performing coursework at 4.07, followed by the item about the use of learning facilities available in the university at 3.990. Even though the lowest mean value was observed for the item related to adherence to the "Speak English Policy" as 3.260. The grand mean value for the school-related factors was 3.783, with a standard deviation of 0.589.

**Table 3:** School Related Factors that Affect the Academic

 Performance of the Student-Nurses(n=300)

| S.No. | Items  | Mean ± SD   |
|-------|--|-------------|
| 1     | How effectively do you utilize the university's<br>learning resources, including the whiteboard,<br>computer lab, and library?   | 3.99 ± 0.98 |
| 2     | How well do you use the learning resources to<br>complete your coursework?   | 4.07±0.83   |
| 3     | How well do you believe the university's facilities,<br>including lighting, classroom size, air conditioning,<br>tables and seats, adhere to the standards for<br>physical requirements? | 3.83 ± 1.00 |
| 4     | How successfully can you use the library's internet access?  | 3.76 ± 1.01 |
| 5     | How strictly do you abide by the university's<br>"Speak English Policy"?   | 3.26 ± 0.96 |
|       | Grand Mean   | 3.83 ± 0.36 |

Table 4 discloses teacher-related factors affecting the academic performance of the student nurse. The highest mean value was obtained for the item related to allowing suggestions and opinions by the teacher at 4.23, followed by the item related to imposing discipline by the teacher and is not lenient in prescribed rules at 4.04. The lowest mean value was observed for the item related to showing the teachers' various strategies and teaching aids in presenting lessons as 3.643. The grand mean value for teacher-related factors was 4.00, with a standard deviation of 0.471.

**Table 4:** Teacher Factors that Affect the Academic Performanceof the Student-Nurses(n=300)

| S.No. | Items   | Mean ± SD       |
|-------|---|-----------------|
| 1     | Do your instructors have respectable relationships with their students and their peers?                                   | 3.88 ± 1.01     |
| 2     | Do your instructors enforce the prescribed regulations with fairness and adequate discipline?                             | 4.04 ± 0.84     |
| 3     | Do your teachers introduce you to concepts, viewpoints, and praiseworthy material?  | 4.23 ± 0.76     |
| 4     | Do your instructors make wise decisions<br>with assurance and stability?  | 4.13 ± 0.87     |
| 5     | Are the personalities of your lecturers appealing,<br>and do they have a sense of humor?                                  | 4.02 ± 0.92     |
| 6     | Do your lecturers clearly state the course objectives at the beginning of each lecture?                                   | 4.09 ± 0.78     |
| 7     | Are your instructors experts in the subject matter?   | 4.07±0.84       |
| 8     | Do your lecturers follow a set procedure when<br>preparing the presentation of the object?                                | 4.02 ± 0.83     |
| 9     | Do your instructors have up-to-date knowledge of the subject matter, and are they well-trained?                           | 3.92 ± 0.88     |
| 10    | During lectures, do your instructors demonstrate<br>various strategies, such as teaching methods and<br>teaching devices? | 3.64 ± 1.03     |
|       | Grand Mean  | $4.00 \pm 0.47$ |

Table 5 shows the significant difference in the extent of effect factors has on academic performance of student nurse. T-tests and Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) were used to compare the given data. Results reveal that there was no significant difference in the extent of effect gender and

type of the previous school on the academic performance of the student (p-values > 0.05). Data show a significant difference in the extent of the effect of age (pvalue=0.003), marital status (p-value=0.011), discipline (pvalue=0.001), and family income (p-value=0.006) have on the academic performance of the student nurse.

| Table 5: Significant Difference in the Extent of Effect Factors has |
|---|
| on Academic Performance of Student-Nurses(n=300)                    |

| Variables      | Response<br>Mean ± SD | t-value /<br>F- value | p-value |  |  |  |
|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------|--|--|--|
| Age (Years)    |                       |                       |         |  |  |  |
| 18-24          | 3.82 ± 0.34           |                       |         |  |  |  |
| 25-31          | 3.68 ± 0.30           | 5.947                 | 0.003   |  |  |  |
| 32 and above   | 3.70 ± 0.27           |                       |         |  |  |  |
| Ger            | nder                  |                       |         |  |  |  |
| Male           | 3.73 ± 0.31           | _1 0 / 1              | 0.200   |  |  |  |
| Female         | 3.77 ± 0.33           | -1.041                | 0.299   |  |  |  |
| Marital        | Status                |                       |         |  |  |  |
| Unmarried      | $3.78 \pm 0.34$       | 2 550                 | 0.011   |  |  |  |
| Married        | 3.68 ± 0.29           | 2.009                 | 0.011   |  |  |  |
| Disc           | ipline                |                       |         |  |  |  |
| Generic BSN    | $3.82 \pm 0.34$       | 7 007                 | ~0.001  |  |  |  |
| Post RN BSN    | 3.68 ± 0.29           | 0.020                 | <0.001  |  |  |  |
| Previou        | s School              |                       |         |  |  |  |
| Private        | $3.78 \pm 0.33$       | 1 / 57                | 0.1/0   |  |  |  |
| Public         | 3.73 ± 0.31           | 1.457                 | 0.140   |  |  |  |
| Family Monthly | I <b>ncome</b> (Rupee | es)                   |         |  |  |  |
| 10001-20000    | 3.97 ± 0.29           |                       |         |  |  |  |
| 20001-30000    | 3.76 ± 0.29           |                       |         |  |  |  |
| 30001-40000    | 3.80 ± 0.34           | 3.652                 | 0.006   |  |  |  |
| 40001-50000    | 3.68 ± 0.31           |                       |         |  |  |  |
| 50001-60000    | 3.74 ± 0.34           |                       |         |  |  |  |

#### DISCUSSION

Our research findings exhibited that all the factors related to home, student, teacher, and school have a massive effect on the academic performance of the scholar nurse. The outcomes further elaborated that the teacher-related factors showed the maximum impact on academic performance among all four factors. It was demonstrated that the study participant believed the relationship between student and teacher, teaching tactics, and interaction difficulty hampers their academic recital. This finding aligned with the study's outcome accomplished by Alos et al [17]. They examined various factors and found that all factors had a massive effect on the student's academic performance; moreover, the uppermost factor was teacher-related factors. Thus, teachers have a key task in the student's performance and are significantly accountable for any student's performance. A research study unveiled that 'teachers must develop a conducive environment favorable to learning to improve student's learning experiences [18]. Another research completed by Richardson and Fallona unveiled that if a teacher does not

have experience or he/she is not passionate about his/her teaching job, ultimately, students may not be capable of developing a thorough comprehension of their subject [19]. Likewise, suppose a teacher is hurt by classroom management difficulty, like despotism. In that case, the classroom environment may hinder effective class discussions and collective learning and can discourage the highest usage of their skills [20]. The range also described that teachers must enhance the teaching approach and masters the class to increase students' accomplishment. In tackling the issues of hindrances with the teaching approach, Tom et al., emphasized that students and teachers must sit together, share their thoughts, hopes, and beliefs, and mutually construct approaches that enhance the student's learning [21]. Our findings also align with another study conducted in Phillpines by the Oducado, which reported that teacher should motivate the students to enhance academic performance and self-esteem of the students [22]. A current research study endorsed that teachers must exemplify positive qualities like passion and commitment and be enthusiastic about forwarding such potential to their peers and students [23]. As revealed by Gillespie, the relationship between student and teacher is vital to humanistic nursing education that may improve caring, completeness, competence, empathy, integrity, and confidence [24]. This finding is consistent with the research accomplished by Kusurkar et al., which addressed the effect of self-motivation on the scores of scholars. Such studies prove that an extremely inspired scholar can do well in class; however, a scholar who might be proficient in getting higher marks but does not simply care about his education might have an inadequate academic performance [25]. It is also revealed by a research study that student learns if they expect to acquire and when they understand the aim of knowledge. When the scholar recognizes those aims, the student develops more energetic, rigorous, and improved plans to follow those aims[26].

#### CONCLUSIONS

It is concluded that nursing students experience significant teacher-related factors followed by homerelated factors connected to reducing the student's academic performance. It is imperative that institution should devise robot interventional program to improve the academic performance of the nursing students.

#### Authors Contribution

Conceptualization: VK Methodology: B, R, AK Formal analysis: HBC, AUK, TK Writing, review and editing: SC, HUH

All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

#### Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### Source of Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

#### $\mathsf{R} \to \mathsf{F} \to \mathsf{R} \to$

- [1] Kamotho MW, Onsongo L, Mwenda CS. Predictors of academic achievement among senior baccalaureate nursing students in select Universities in Kenya. South Asian Research Journal of Nursing and Healthcare. 2022 Mar; 4(2): 8-14. doi: 10.36346/ sarjnhc.2022.v04i02.001.
- [2] Alden KR. Predictors of early academic success and program completion among baccalaureate nursing students. 2008 Dec.
- [3] Rajeswaran L. Clinical experiences of nursing students at a selected institute of health sciences in Botswana. Health Science Journal. 2016 Nov; 10(6): 471. doi: 10.21767/1791-809X.1000471.
- [4] Mamo H, Gosa G, Hailu B. Perception of university female students on factors affecting their academic performance and competency: A study from dire Dawa University, Ethiopia. Science Journal of Education. 2017 Oct; 5(5): 211-5. doi: 10.11648/j. sjedu.20170505.15.
- [5] Alos SB, Caranto LC, David JJ. Factors affecting the academic performance of the student nurses of BSU. International Journal of Nursing Science. 2015; 5(2): 60-5.
- [6] Akareem HS and Hossain SS. Determinants of education quality: what makes students' perception different? Open Review of Educational Research. 2016 Jan; 3(1): 52-67. doi: 10.1080/23265507.2016.1155 167.
- [7] Tadese M, Yeshaneh A, Mulu GB. Determinants of good academic performance among university students in Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. BMC Medical Education. 2022 May; 22(1): 395. doi: 10.1186/ s12909-022-03461-0
- [8] Tiruneh WA and Petros P. Factors Affecting Female Students' Academic Performance at Higher Education: The Case of Bahir Dar University, Ethiopia. African Educational Research Journal. 2014 Dec; 2(4): 161-6.
- [9] Pinehas LN, Mulenga E, Amadhila J. Factors that hinder the academic performance of the nursing students who registered as first years in 2010 at the University of Namibia (UNAM), Oshakati Campus in Oshana, Namibia. Journal of Nursing Education and Practice. 2017 Mar; 7(8): 63. doi: 10.5430/jnep.v7n8p6 3.

- [10] Fajar S, Hussain M, Sarwar H, Afzal M, Gilani SA. Factors affecting academic performance of undergraduate nursing students. International Journal of Social Sciences and Management. 2019 Jan; 6(1): 7-16. doi: 10.3126/ijssm.v6i1.22561.
- [11] Lawal J, Weaver S, Bryan V, Lindo JL. Factors that influence the clinical learning experience of nursing students at a Caribbean school of nursing. Journal of Nursing Education and Practice. 2016 Apr; 6(4): 32-9. doi: 10.5430/jnep.v6n4p32.
- [12] Jamshidi N, Molazem Z, Sharif F, Torabizadeh C, Najafi Kalyani M. The challenges of nursing students in the clinical learning environment: A qualitative study. The Scientific World Journal. 2016 Oct; 2016. doi: 10.1155/2016/1846178.
- [13] Akhu-Zaheya LM, Shaban IA, Khater WA. Nursing students' perceived stress and influences in clinical performance. International Journal of Advanced Nursing Studies. 2015 Jul; 4(2): 44. doi: 10.14419/ijans. v4i2.4311
- [14] Cerna MA and Pavliushchenko K. Influence of Study Habits on Academic Performance of International College Students in Shanghai. Higher Education Studies. 2015 Jul; 5(4): 42-55. doi: 10.5539/hes.v5n4p 42.
- [15] Bibi A, Ahmed F, Iqbal N, Sultan A. Factors That Affect the Performance of Undergraduate Nursing Students of Khyber Pukhtankhwa, Pakistan: Performance of Undergraduate Nursing Students. Pakistan Journal of Health Sciences. 2022 Aug; 3(3): 33-7. doi: 10.5439 3/pjhs.v3i03.83.
- [16] Mushtaq K, Hussain M, Afzal M, Gilani SA. Factors affecting the academic performance of undergraduate student nurses. National Journal of Health Sciences. 2019 May; 4(2): 71-9. doi: 10.21089/ njhs.42.0071.
- [17] Alshammari F, Saguban R, Pasay-an E, Altheban A, Al-Shammari L. Factors affecting the academic performance of student nurses: A cross-sectional study. Journal of Nursing Education and Practice. 2017 Sep; 8(1): 60. doi: 10.5430/jnep.v8n1p60.
- [18] Ganyaupfu EM. Teaching methods and students' academic performance. International Journal of Humanities and Social Science Invention. 2013 Sep; 2(9): 29-35.
- [19] Richardson V and Fallona C. Classroom management as method and manner. Journal of Curriculum Studies. 2001 Nov; 33(6): 705-28. doi: 10.1080/00220 270110053368.
- [20] Rane ZA. Blog. Factors that influence students learning achievement. 2010. [Last cited: 2-th Apr 2024]. Available at: https://rumahanthares.blogspot

.com/2010/09/factors-that-influence-students.

- [21] Tom F, Coetzee I, Heyns T. Factors influencing academic performance in biological sciences among students in a nursing education institution in the Eastern Cape Province: an appreciative inquiry approach: nursing curricular issues. African Journal for Physical Health Education, Recreation and Dance. 2014 Sep; 20(3): 102-15.
- [22] Oducado RM. Academic performance and the role of self-directed learning, self-esteem, and grit among nursing students. Jendela Nursing Journal. 2021 Jun; 5(1): 1-9. doi: 10.31983/jnj.v5i1.6634.
- [23] Lourenço LM, Baptista MN, Senra LX, Basilio C, de Castro Bhona FM. Consequência da Exposição à Violência Doméstica Para Crianças: Revisão Sistemática da Literatura. Paidéia (Ribeirão Preto). 2013 May; 23(55): 263-71. doi: 10.1590/1982-43272355 201314.
- [24] Gillespie M. Student-teacher connection in clinical nursing education. Journal of Advanced Nursing. 2002 Mar; 37(6): 566-76. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2648.200 2.02131.x.
- [25] Kusurkar RA, Ten Cate TJ, Vos CM, Westers P, Croiset G. How motivation affects academic performance: a structural equation modelling analysis. Advances in Health Sciences Education. 2013 Mar; 18: 57-69. doi: 10.1007/s10459-012-9354-3.
- [26] Brown J, McDonald M, Besse C, Manson P, McDonald R, Rohatinsky N, et al. Nursing students' academic success factors: A quantitative cross-sectional study. Nurse Educator. 2021 Mar; 46(2): E23-7. doi: 10.1097/NNE.00000000000882.



# PAKISTAN BIOMEDICAL JOURNAL

https://www.pakistanbmj.com/journal/index.php/pbmj/index ISSN (P): 2709-2798, (E): 2709-278X Volume 7, Issue 4 (April 2024)



#### **Original Article**

Social Media Usage and it's Relationship with Depression among Nursing Students of a Private University

ABSTRACT

#### Khalid Hussain<sup>1\*</sup>, Tanseer Ahmed<sup>2</sup> and Riafat<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Jinnah College of Nursing, Sohail University, Karachi, Pakistan <sup>2</sup>Dow Institute of Nursing and Midwifery, Dow University of Health Sciences, Karachi, Pakistan

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### Keywords:

Level of Depression, Social Media, Nursing students, Social Media Addiction, Beck's depression inventory scale

#### How to Cite:

Hussain, K., Ahmed, T., & Riafat, .(2024). Social Media Usage and it's Relationship with Depression among Nursing Students of a Private University. Pakistan BioMedical Journal, 7(04). https://doi.org/10.54393/ pbmj.v7i04.1073

#### \*Corresponding Author:

Khalid Hussain Jinnah College of Nursing, Sohail University, Karachi, Pakistan khalid\_hamza1982@yahoo.com

Received Date: 6<sup>th</sup> April, 2024 Acceptance Date: 27<sup>th</sup> April, 2024 Published Date: 30<sup>th</sup> April, 2024

#### INTRODUCTION

In the twenty-first century, Internet usage has been increasing significantly. The universe has moved from traditional methods to the new age of internet applications and social media [1]. Social media is a group of electronic and digital appliances which has its basis on internet and allow the sharing, transforming and exchanging the ideas, information, educational material and content. The health care system has been using internet and different applications for gathering data from a huge number of sick persons and identifies diagnostic trends based, treatment regimens to figure out the disease progress among them [2]. Internet overuse has undesirable and adverse consequences on the academic performance of medical and nursing students' and also it is linked to drug misuse

# Review Committee, Sohail University, Karachi. Descriptive statistics was performed on demographic variables. Pearson Correlation test was performed to check the relationship between social media use and depression. **Results:** Beyond 120 participants, males were in majority (57.5%). Mostly (58.3%) participants were in their 1st and 2nd year, 20.8% were married and 69.2% were living with their friends. Largely (77.5%) belong to Generic BSN program and 55.0% partakers were using social media from 0-4 hours per day. Mostly (44.2%) participant have moderate to extreme level of depression. We found positive correlation of social media use with depression and social media use. Spending more time on using social media can lead to depression. Therefore, policy makers should pay attention in planning to minimize the harmful effects of social media usage among students.

Social media is a group of electronic and digital appliances which has its basis on internet and

allow sharing, transforming and exchanging ideas, information, educational material and

content. In the 21st century, internet usage has been increased significantly. Our life has been

entirely changed by the internet and social media. Social media cause different health related issues and academic decline among students. **Objective:** To observe the relationship between

social media use and depression among nursing students. Methods: Cross-sectional analytical

study was performed on 120 nursing students. Ethical permission was obtained from Ethical

[3]. As social media has become a basic and vital part of everyone's life [4]. Numerous social media applications such as Ticktok, Twitter, Facebook, Instagram WhatsApp, Snapchat, YouTube, and Google are widely used all over the world. Despite the advantages, researchers also revealed unsuitable and wrong online activities among youngsters and students of all disciplines specially health professionals. Currently, social media is not only playing a significant role in the lives of youngsters and adolescents but it also causes different health related issues among them. The nursing professionals are elemental in maintaining health, promoting healthy routines, and creating awareness [5]. In this regard, it's crucial to ascertain the healthy lifestyle habits of nursing students who will eventually work as healthcare professionals as well as their usage of social media, which is on the rise and has a substantial negative impact on people's health. Education programs must be developed to encourage healthy lifestyle behaviors among nursing students and to discourage the use of social media [6]. Misuse or overuse of social media is recognized as a mental disorder. There are disagreements over its official diagnosis. In the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5)'s, "Social media use disorder" has been proposed by the American Psychiatric Association recently. Numerous research studies revealed an association between depressive symptoms and regular use of social media [7]. Several studies retrieved that individuals who are dependent on internet and social media applications face difficulty in establishing personal relations and paying attention on their studies and as a result they have a higher tendency to develop depression and attempt suicide [8]. Social media and networking is a novel experience and this new phenomenon is linked with negative outcomes on psychological health as stated in several studies. [9]. The increasing inclination of using social media applications and its relationship with different mental ailments has been retrieved in several studies but on both of these issues, the nursing students have been overlooked. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to identify the association between the use of social media and depression among nursing students.

#### METHODS

Analytical cross-sectional study design with convenient sampling technique was used for data collection from 120 students of Jinnah College of Nursing, Sohail University Karachi. The undergraduate students who were studying in Generic BSN and Post RN BSN and belongs to Jinnah College of Nursing, Sohail University were willing to participate were included. Unwilling students, Post graduate and students of other disciplines and other than Sohail University were excluded. The duration who were agreed of study was August 2022 to December 2022. The sample size was calculated via Open-epi by using 41% of depression prevalence and keeping 95% confidence interval and 5% margin of error [8]. An ethical approval was obtained from ethical review committee, Sohail University, Karachi (Protocol #: 000237/22). Permission of data collection was taken from the Principal of Jinnah College of Nursing. Data were gathered via self-developed demographic form including questions regarding daily social media usage (in hours) and Beck's Depression Inventory scale. Beck Depression Inventory is a 21-item, self-report rating inventory which measures the attitudes, characteristics and symptoms of depression. It consists of 21 items about the subjective feelings of an individual in the last week. Each question has a set of 04 possible choices,

ranging in intensity. Its 0-9 scoring indicates minimal symptoms, 10-18 designates mild depression, 19-29 specifies moderate and 30-63 denotes severe depression. Its reliability ranged from 0.75 to 0.92 and the validity ranged from 0.77 to 0.93 [9]. The social media usage was assessed by putting self-developed questions regarding hours of use during 24 hours in demographic form. SPSS version 24.0 was used for statistical analysis. To check frequencies; descriptive statistics was performed on demographic variables. Moreover a Pearson Correlation test was also performed to find out the relationship between social media use and depression.

#### RESULTS

Table 1 demonstrates the demographic characteristics of the study participants. In current study, 120 nursing students were actively participated and out of 120 participants, 93 (77.5%) were belongs to Generic BSN program and only 27 (22.5%) were students of Post-RN. In these 120 respondents, the males were in majority (57.5%) and 57.9% participants were between 18-22 years of age. Mostly (58.3%) participants were in their 1st and 2nd year of study, 20.8% were married and 69.2% were living with their friends shown in table 1.

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics(n=120)

| De               | Frequency (%)                            |            |
|------------------|--|------------|
| Condor           | Male                                     | 69(57.5%)  |
| Gender           | Female                                   | 51(42.5%)  |
|                  | 18-22 years                              | 69(57.5%)  |
| Age Group        | 23-27 years                              | 40(33.3%)  |
|                  | Above 27 years                           | 11(9.2%)   |
| Voar of Study    | 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> year | 70(58.3%)  |
| Tear of Study    | $3^{rd}$ and $4^{th}$ year               | 50 (41.7%) |
| Marital Status   | Married                                  | 25(20.8%)  |
| Manta Status     | Unmarried                                | 95(79.2%)  |
| Naturo of living | With Family/Friend                       | 83(69.2%)  |
| Nature of fiving | Alone                                    | 27(30.8%)  |
| Study Program    | G-BSN                                    | 93 (77.5%) |
| Study i TOgrafii | Post RN                                  | 27(22.5%)  |

Table 2 presents the social media usage in hours and the depression level among nursing students. Majority of the nursing students (55.0%) were using social media from 0-4 hours, 27.5 were spending their 5 to 8 hours in using social media applications whereas only 17.5% were using social media more than 8 hours per day. Mostly (44.2%) participants have moderate to extreme level of depression, 11.7% have mild mood disturbances, 4.5% were lying on borderline depression and only 40% were considered normal according to the Beck Depression Inventory.

| Variables                      | Frequency (%) |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| Social Media Usage Time        |               |  |  |  |
| 0-4 hours                      | 66(55.0%)     |  |  |  |
| 5-8 hours                      | 33 (27.5%)    |  |  |  |
| Above 8 hours                  | 21(17.5%)     |  |  |  |
| Total                          | 120 (100%)    |  |  |  |
| Level of Depression            |               |  |  |  |
| Normal                         | 48(40.0%)     |  |  |  |
| Mild mood disturbance          | 14 (11.7%)    |  |  |  |
| Borderline clinical depression | 05(4.2%)      |  |  |  |
| Moderate depression            | 22(18.3%)     |  |  |  |
| Severe depression              | 23(19.2%)     |  |  |  |
| Extreme depression             | 08(6.7%)      |  |  |  |
| Total                          | 120 (100%)    |  |  |  |

Table 3 displays the correlation of social media use and depression. We found that the Spearman's rank order correlation is significant (120)=0.001, p<0.05. We retrieved that if time of social media increases 1 hour then the level of depression will also increase up to 0.311 which is positive and significant but weak correlation.

**Table 3:** Correlation between Social Media Use and Depression (n=120)

| Spearman's Correlations                            |                         |                         | Social<br>media use | Depression |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------|
|  | Social                  | Correlation Coefficient | 1.000               | .311**     |
| Spearman's media<br>addiction<br>rho<br>Depression | Sig. (2-tailed)         | -                       | .001                |            |
|  | addiction               | N                       | 120                 | 120        |
|  | Correlation Coefficient | .311**                  | 1.000               |            |
|  | Depression              | Sig. (2-tailed)         | .001                | -          |
|  |                         | N                       |                     | 120        |

\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

#### DISCUSSION

This study was aimed to observe the association between social media use and depression among nursing students. Mostly nursing students were using social media from 0-4 hours and a few than one fourth were spending more than 8 hours per day on social media. Nearly fifty percent of the participants had moderate to extreme depression. Similarly students were using social media from 0 to 4 hours observed by previous studies [8 - 14]. Some of the past studies found their study participants spending more than 4 hours on social media platforms [6, 9, 15-17]. The authors assumed that students get help in their learning and communication from peers, mentors and teachers and also get entertainment from social media applications and it could be reason for the abuse of social media. We found moderate to severe depression among majority of the students. Correspondingly the participants of some prior studies' had moderate to severe depression [3, 8, 14, 17]. In contrast, few past studies found mild depression among study participants [12, 18], and one study retrieved

moderate depression [11]. Social media dependence, misuse, academic competition, study stress could be the causative factors. The primary aim of current study was to observe the relationship between social media usage and depression and as per our expectations, the results revealed significant correlation between social media addiction and depression. Few previously published studies has similar results as they also found social media and depression significantly associated [1, 3, 4, 6, 8]. It was also elaborated in detail by Akalin [6] that students who were using social media for more time per day, were with poor academic performance and deprived health perception. A previous study conducted by Jelenchick et al., in 2013 explained that spending more hours in using different applications of social media and internet browsing can increase students' level of depression on Beck's scale of depression [19]. Despite of social media use different factors as loneliness, interpersonal distrust, and neuroticism may cause depression as stated by Rich & Scovel [20]. Some other researchers argue that the rising popularity of different applications of social media can be another possible cause of increase mental health problems such as anxiety and depression. [21]. A recent study verified that Facebook and other social media applications were not correlated with depressive symptoms. The scholars measured Facebook and other social media apps usage, the symptoms of depression and the personality traits such as emotional unstableness and extroversion among adolescents. They concluded that there was not any direct and significant relationship. They also shared that regular social media applications and Facebook users, had lower level of depression [22]. Another previous research study argued that there is a need to go beyond usage-effect approaches and hypothesizing, measuring and labeling social media use and its relationship with physical and mental health [23]. A study by O'Reilly et al., revealed that youngsters commonly use social media and internet to get information regarding physical and mental health and this social media acts to show possibility to promote positive physical and mental health. Despite different risks, challenges and problems related to social media usage, social media offers a beneficial and constructive way to educate and reaching teenagers to promote their physical and mental health and psychological wellbeing [24].

#### CONCLUSIONS

This study concludes that our mostly participants spending more time on social media and nearly half of the partakers had moderate to extreme depression. The correlation between depression and social media use was found significantl. Furthermore, spending more time on internet and using social media lead to depressive symptoms among nursing students who are gradually dealing with social media applications nowadays.

#### Authors Contribution

Conceptualization: KH, R Methodology: KH, R Formal analysis: KH, TA Writing-review and editing: KH, TA

All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

#### Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### Source of Funding

The author received no financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Waqas A, Khurshid Z, Ali M, Khaliq H. Association between usage of social media and depression among young adults. Journal of Management Information. 2018 Dec; 5(4): 26-30. doi: 10.31580/jmi.v 5i4.115.
- [2] Leiner BM, Cerf VG, Clark DD, Kahn RE, Kleinrock L, Lynch DC *et al.* A brief history of the internet, Internet Society. 2003.
- [3] Bazrafshan MR, Jokar M, Rahmati MA, Ahmadi SH, Kavi ES, Sookhak FA et al. The relationship between depression and internet addiction among paramedical students in Larestan, Iran. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research. 2019; 13(3): Lc16-9. doi: 10.7860/jcdr/2019/36363.12742.
- [4] Haand R and Shuwang Z. The relationship between social media addiction and depression: a quantitative study among university students in Khost, Afghanistan. International Journal of Adolescence and Youth. 2020 Dec; 25(1): 780-6. doi: 10.1080/02673843.2020.1741407.
- [5] De Gagne JC, Hall K, Conklin JL, Yamane SS, Roth NW, Chang J et al. Uncovering cyberincivility among nurses and nursing students on Twitter: A data mining study. International Journal of Nursing Studies. 2019 Jan; 89: 24-31. doi: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu. 2018.09.009.
- [6] Akalın A. Relationship between social media addiction and healthy lifestyle behaviors of nursing students. Bağımlılık Dergisi. 2022 Jun; 23(2): 162-9.
- [7] Kamis C and Copeland M. The long arm of social integration: gender, adolescent social networks, and adult depressive symptom trajectories. Journal of Health and Social Behavior. 2020 Dec; 61(4): 437-52. doi: 10.1177/0022146520952769.
- [8] Jeri-Yabar A, Sanchez-Carbonel A, Tito K, RamirezdelCastillo J, Torres-Alcantara A, Denegri D *et al.*

Association between social media use (Twitter, Instagram, Facebook) and depressive symptoms: Are Twitter users at higher risk?. International Journal of Social Psychiatry. 2019 Feb; 65(1): 14–9. doi: 10.1177/00 20764018814270.

- [9] Ahmad N, Hussain S, Munir N. Social networking and depression among university students. Pakistan Journal of Medical Research. 2018 Apr; 57(2): 77-82.
- [10] ASLAN KS. Analysis of mental disorders and social media addiction of adolescent nursing students on the basis of gender. Journal of Basic and Clinical Health Sciences. 2021 May; 5(2): 73-82. doi: 10.30621/ jbachs.901840.
- [11] Gong R, Zhang Y, Long R, Zhu R, Li S, Liu X et al. The impact of social network site addiction on depression in Chinese medical students: A serial multiple mediator model involving loneliness and unmet interpersonal needs. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2021 Aug; 18(16): 8614. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18168614.
- [12] Kreya M and Wok S. Social Media Addiction and Its Influence on Mental Health Among University Students Rn Cambodia: Beyond Rhe Cultivation Theory. 2020: 109-22. etSBN: 978\_967\_1783i-6\_4
- [13] Lin LY, Sidani JE, Shensa A, Radovic A, Miller E, Colditz JB et al. Association between social media use and depression among US young adults. Depression and Anxiety. 2016 Apr; 33(4): 323-31. doi: 10.1002/da.22466.
- [14] Jaafar NS, Idris IB, Ahmad N, Hod R, Baddiri B, Hod R. Internet addiction and its association with depression, anxiety, and stress symptoms among allied health students in Malaysia. Medical Journal of Indonesia. 2022 Apr; 31(1): 56-61. doi: 10.13181/mji.oa. 225820.
- [15] AI Mamun MA and Griffiths MD. The association between Facebook addiction and depression: A pilot survey study among Bangladeshi students. Psychiatry research. 2019 Jan; 271: 628-33. doi: 10.101 6/j.psychres.2018.12.039.
- [16] Zaw CC and Azenal NA. Association between social media addiction and mental health among International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM) undergraduate nursing students. International Journal of Care Scholars. 2021 Dec; 4(Supp1): 32-9. doi:10.31436/ijcs.v4iSupp1.216.
- [17] Ithnain N, Ghazali SE, Jaafar N. Relationship between smartphone addiction with anxiety and depression among undergraduate students in Malaysia. International Journal of Health Sciences and Research. 2018 Jan; 8(1): 163-71.
- [18] Rachubińska K, Cybulska AM, Grochans E. The relationship between loneliness, depression,

internet and social media addiction among young Polish women. European Review for Medical & Pharmacological Sciences. 2021 Feb; 25(4). doi: 10.26355/eurrev\_202102\_25099.

- [19] Jelenchick LA, Eickhoff JC, Moreno MA. "Facebook depression?" Social networking site use and depression in older adolescents. Journal of Adolescent Health. 2013 Jan; 52(1): 128-30. doi: 10.10 16/j.jadohealth.2012.05.008.
- [20] Rich AR and Scovel M. Causes of depression in college students: A cross-lagged panel correlational analysis. Psychological Reports. 1987 Feb; 60(1): 27-30. doi: 10.2466/pr0.1987.60.1.27.
- [21] Twenge JM, Joiner TE, Rogers ML, Martin GN. Increases in depressive symptoms, suicide-related outcomes, and suicide rates among US adolescents after 2010 and links to increased new media screen time. Clinical Psychological Science. 2018 Jan; 6(1): 3-17. doi: 10.1177/2167702617723376.
- [22] Pantic I. Online social networking and mental health. Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking. 2014 Oct; 17(10): 652-7. doi: 10.1089/cyber.2014.0070.
- [23] Bekalu MA, McCloud RF, Viswanath K. Association of social media use with social well-being, positive mental health, and self-rated health: disentangling routine use from emotional connection to use. Health Education & Behavior. 2019 Dec; 46(2\_suppl): 69S-80S. doi: https: 10.1177/1090198119863768.
- [24] O'Reilly M, Dogra N, Hughes J, Reilly P, George R, Whiteman N. Potential of social media in promoting mental health in adolescents. Health promotion international. 2019 Oct; 34(5): 981-91. doi: 10.1093/ heapro/day056.

# lip

# PAKISTAN BIOMEDICAL JOURNAL

https://www.pakistanbmj.com/journal/index.php/pbmj/index ISSN (P): 2709-2798, (E): 2709-278X Volume 7, Issue 4 (April 2024)



#### **Original Article**

Exploring Blood Donation: Perspectives among Undergraduate Students in Peshawar, Pakistan

# Salman Zahir<sup>1</sup>, Khansa Khan<sup>2°</sup>, Muhammad Atif<sup>2</sup>, Wisal Khan<sup>2</sup>, Haseeb Khan<sup>2</sup>, Imad Khan<sup>2</sup>, Somia Mazhar<sup>3</sup> and Jamal Shah<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine and Surgery, Northwest General Hospital and Research Center, Peshawar, Pakistan <sup>2</sup>Department of Medicine and Surgery, Northwest School of Medicine, Peshawar, Pakistan <sup>3</sup>Department of Biomedical Sciences, National University of Sciences and Technology (NUST), Islamabad, Pakistan

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### Keywords:

Blood Donation, Public Health, Blood Groups

#### How to Cite:

Zahir, S., Khan, K., Atif, M., Khan, W., Khan, H., Khan, I., Mazhar, S., & Shah, J. (2024). Exploring Blood Donation: Perspectives among Undergraduate Students in Peshawar, Pakistan: Blood Donation Perceptions in Peshawar Undergraduates. Pakistan BioMedical Journal, 7(04). https://doi.org/10.54393/ pbmj.v7i04.1068

#### \*Corresponding Author:

Khansa Khan

Department of Medicine and Surgery, Northwest School of Medicine, Peshawar, Pakistan khansakhan515@yahoo.com

Received Date: 24<sup>th</sup> March, 2024 Acceptance Date: 27<sup>th</sup> April, 2024 Published Date: 30<sup>th</sup> April, 2024

# INTRODUCTION

Blood donation and transfusion practices in Pakistan are less developed compared to global standards, with around 170 public and 450 private, mostly hospital-based, blood banks [1]. The country's low human development index reflects a lack of a reliable blood service system, similar to other underdeveloped regions [2, 3]. There is a significant gap between the demand for and availability of blood due to a shortage of regular donors [3]. Safety concerns, particularly regarding transfusion transmissible diseases, are also critical in underdeveloped nations like Pakistan [4]. Voluntary donors, who represent only 10% of donors in Pakistan, are crucial for supplying safe, high-quality blood, whereas 90% of donations come from replacement or paid

#### $A \mathrel{B} S \mathrel{T} R \mathrel{A} C \mathrel{T}$

To improve voluntary donation and public health in the face of Pakistan's shifting regulations and challenges, it is crucial to comprehend the blood donation patterns of undergraduate students in Peshawar. Objective: To compare and assess undergraduate students in medical, allied health sciences, and non-medical fields in Peshawar, Pakistan, on their knowledge, attitudes, barriers to, and motivations for, blood donation. Methods: An eight-month observational crosssectional study with 1232 undergraduate students from various academic fields was conducted. Participants were assessed through a comprehensive questionnaire regarding blood donation. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 27.0, employing descriptive statistics and the chisquare test. Results: The study enrolled 1232 undergraduate students, with 65.2% male and 34.6% female participants. Among them, 82.3% were aware of their blood group. While 24.74% exhibited poor knowledge about blood donation, 62.98% demonstrated moderate knowledge, and 12.29% had good knowledge. Additionally, 39.9% had never donated blood, but 83.9% expressed willingness to donate in the future. Fear of needles (13.90%), fear of infection (13.20%), and medical unfitness (13.10%) were significant barriers, while motivations included saving lives (22.20%), helping friends and family (19.40%), and moral obligation (14.80%). Conclusions: The study concluded that undergraduate students in Peshawar possess a moderate level of understanding regarding blood donation, with medical students showing higher knowledge levels. Despite variations across disciplines, positive attitudes towards donation were common, indicating potential for increased voluntary donation.

> donors. Women, nearly half the population, are notably underrepresented in blood donation statistics [1, 4, 5]. Studies show widespread misconceptions and varying attitudes towards blood donation, alongside reasons for not donating such as lack of awareness, family disapproval, and fear of pain or side effects [6-8]. Contrarily, incentives like free health checks or gifts can encourage voluntary donations [9]. Despite limited research, physically fit university students could be key potential donors [10]. The rationale for this study underscores the urgent necessity to comprehend the knowledge, attitudes, barriers, and motivational factors influencing voluntary blood donation among undergraduate students in Peshawar, Pakistan.

This study aimed to inform evidence-based strategies that promote altruism and improve public health outcomes in the community.

#### METHODS

The study utilized a comparative observational crosssectional method in Peshawar, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan from May to December 2023. A total of 1250 guestionnaires were distributed to achieve a target sample of 1082 participants, calculated using the Open Epi Sample Size Calculator with a 99.9% confidence level and a 5% confidence limit. Out of these, 1232 were returned completed. Participants included 496 medical, 385 nonmedical, and 351 allied health sciences students, selected via a convenient non-random method. Inclusion criteria were students enrolled in relevant undergraduate programs, excluding non-enrolled, non-consenting, or those submitting incomplete questionnaires. Confidentiality and verbal consent were ensured, with ethics approval from the Northwest School of Medicine's Institutional Review Board (IRB) (Reference No: EC/2023-SM/064, dated: 20th March, 2023). Data were gathered using a standardized questionnaire covering demographics, knowledge, attitudes, barriers, and motivations related to blood donation and analyzed using SPSS version 27.0, employing descriptive statistics and the chi-square test to assess relationships across disciplines, with a significance level of 0.05. Knowledge scores ranged from (0-12), categorized into poor (0-4), moderate (5-8), and good(9-12).

#### RESULTS

1232 people from 385 non-medical, 496 medical, and 351 allied health science fields were polled for the study. The participants were aged 18 to 30 (average age  $21.39 \pm 2.098$ ), with 34.6% being female and 65.2% being male. 45.1% of the institutional distribution was private and 54.7% was public. Most students (70.2%) had junior status academically, and 82.3% knew their blood type. Among those who knew, the blood group breakdown was comprised of 236 A+, 43 A-, 279 B+, 50 B-, 132 O+, 30 O-, 193 AB+, and 54 AB-(Table 1).

| Table 1: Demograph | ics of the Participants |
|--------------------|-------------------------|
|--------------------|-------------------------|

| Variables   | Medical<br>(%) | Non-Medical<br>(%) | Allied Health<br>Sciences (%) | Total<br>(%) |
|-------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Male        | 295 (36.6)     | 273 (33.9)         | 237(29.4)                     | 805 (100)    |
| Female      | 201(47.1)      | 112 (26.2)         | 114 (26.7)                    | 427(100)     |
| Private     | 312 (56)       | 199 (35.7)         | 46 (8.3)                      | 557(100)     |
| Public      | 184 (27.3)     | 186 (27.6)         | 305 (45.2)                    | 675 (100)    |
| Junior Year | 344 (39.7)     | 254 (29.3)         | 269 (31)                      | 867 (100)    |
| Senior Year | 152 (41.6)     | 131 (35.9)         | 82 (22.5)                     | 365 (100)    |
| Urban       | 360 (48.5)     | 182(24.5)          | 201 (27.1)                    | 743 (100)    |
| Rural       | 136 (27.8)     | 203 (41.5)         | 150 (30.7)                    | 489(100)     |

| Do you know your Blood Group? |            |            |            |            |  |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--|
| Yes                           | 455 (44.7) | 292 (28.7) | 270 (26.5) | 1017(100)  |  |
| No                            | 41 (19.1)  | 93 (43.3)  | 81 (37.7)  | 215(100)   |  |
| Total                         | 496 (40.3) | 385 (31.3) | 351 (28.5) | 1232 (100) |  |

Table 2 demonstrates in detail the knowledge of the participants regarding blood donation, along with the chisquare and p-values for each corresponding variable.

Table 2: Knowledge of the Participants Regarding Blood Donation

| Variables   | Medical<br>(%) | Non-<br>Medical (%)  | Allied Health<br>Sciences (%) | Total<br>(%) | P-<br>Value | X <sup>2</sup> -<br>Value |
|-------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| Ca          | n a person     | be infected <b>k</b> | by receiving Bl               | ood Donat    | ion?        |                           |
| Yes         | 426 (46.7)     | 295 (32.3)           | 192 (21)                      | 913 (100)    |             |                           |
| No          | 51 (19.9)      | 75 (29.3)            | 130 (50.8)                    | 256(100)     | 0.000       | 108.233                   |
| Don't Know  | 19 (30.2)      | 15(23.8)             | 29(46)                        | 63 (100)     |             |                           |
| Cai         | n Individua    | ls with infect       | tious diseases                | donate bl    | ood?        |                           |
| Yes         | 69(26.4)       | 108 (41.4)           | 84 (32.2)                     | 261(100)     |             |                           |
| No          | 414 (47.1)     | 248(28.2)            | 217(24.7)                     | 879 (100)    | 0.000       | 76.669                    |
| Don't Know  | 13 (14.1)      | 29 (31.5)            | 50 (54.3)                     | 92 (100)     |             |                           |
|             | How of         | ten can an in        | dividual donat                | e blood?     |             |                           |
| Weekly      | 7(15.9)        | 16(36.4)             | 21(47.7)                      | 44 (100)     |             |                           |
| Monthly     | 37(48.7)       | 16 (21.1)            | 23 (30.3)                     | 76(100)      |             |                           |
| 3 Months    | 284(49.9)      | 101 (17.8)           | 184 (32.3)                    | 569(100)     | ]           | 100.077                   |
| 6 Months    | 115 (36.3)     | 112 (35.3)           | 90(28.4)                      | 317 (100)    | 0.000       | 169.033                   |
| Annually    | 17(27.9)       | 35 (57.4)            | 9(14.8)                       | 61 (100)     |             |                           |
| Don't Know  | 36 (21.8)      | 105(63.6)            | 24 (1.5)                      | 165 (100)    | 1           |                           |
| What d      | o you think    | is the minim         | hum age limit f               | or blood d   | onatio      | n?                        |
| 16 Years    | 94 (27.6)      | 119 (35)             | 127 (37.4)                    | 340 (100)    |             |                           |
| 18 Years    | 336(45.5)      | 209(28.3)            | 193 (26.2)                    | 738(100)     | 0.000       | 38.544                    |
| 20 Years    | 66(42.9)       | 57(37)               | 31(20.1)                      | 154 (100)    | 1           |                           |
| What d      | o you think    | is the maxin         | num age limit f               | or blood d   | onatio      | n?                        |
| 55 Years    | 347 (37.1)     | 307(32.8)            | 281(30.1)                     | 935(100)     |             | 17.868                    |
| 65 Years    | 125 (51.7)     | 64(26.4)             | 53 (21.9)                     | 242(100)     | 0.001       |                           |
| 75 Years    | 23(42.6)       | 14 (25.9)            | 17 (31.5)                     | 54 (100)     | 1           |                           |
| Wha         | at volume o    | f blood is co        | llected during                | each dona    | ation?      |                           |
| 500ml       | 292 (43.1)     | 174 (25.7)           | 211 (31.2)                    | 677(100)     |             |                           |
| Upto 1000ml | 102(34.5)      | 88 (29.7)            | 106 (35.8)                    | 296(100)     | 0.001       | 60.539                    |
| Don't Know  | 102(39.5)      | 122 (47.3)           | 34 (13.2)                     | 258(100)     |             |                           |
|             | What is        | the duration         | n of donation p               | rocess?      |             |                           |
| <20 min     | 213 (37.3)     | 185 (32.4)           | 173 (30.3)                    | 571 (100)    |             |                           |
| 20-60 min   | 173 (44.8)     | 81 (21)              | 132(34.2)                     | 386 (100)    | 0.000       | 47.638                    |
| Don't Know  | 110(40)        | 119 (43.3)           | 46 (16.7)                     | 275(100)     | 1           |                           |
|             | Mini           | mum weight           | for blood dona                | tion?        |             |                           |
| 50kg        | 286(43.7)      | 151 (23.1)           | 218 (33.3)                    | 655 (100)    |             |                           |
| 70kg        | 185(36.5)      | 209(41.2)            | 113 (22.3)                    | 507(100)     | 0.000       | 47.056                    |
| 100kg       | 24(34.8)       | 25(36.2)             | 20(29)                        | 69(100)      |             |                           |
|             | Minin          | num Hemogle          | bin for male d                | lonor?       |             |                           |
| 11.5g/dl    | 45(26.5)       | 36 (21.2)            | 89(52.4)                      | 170 (100)    |             |                           |
| 12.5g/dl    | 168 (35.1)     | 169 (35.3)           | 142 (29.6)                    | 479 (100)    |             | 00/ 000                   |
| 13.5g/dl    | 247(61.4)      | 48 (11.9)            | 107 (26.6)                    | 402 (100)    | 0.000       | 294.820                   |
| Don't Know  | 36(19.9)       | 132 (72.9)           | 13 (7.2)                      | 181 (100)    |             |                           |

D

0

17 (9.8)

|                                      | Minim      | um Hemoglo   | bin for female  | donor?    |       |          |
|--------------------------------------|------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|-------|----------|
| 11.5g/dl                             | 158 (41.7) | 94(24.8)     | 127(33.5)       | 379 (100) |       |          |
| 12.5g/dl                             | 211(44.6)  | 103 (21.8)   | 159(33.6)       | 473 (100) | 0 000 | 170 077  |
| 13.5g/dl                             | 72 (48)    | 33 (22)      | 45(30)          | 150 (100) | 0.000 | 1/0.24/  |
| on't Know                            | 55(23.9)   | 155 (67.4)   | 20 (8.7)        | 230 (100) |       |          |
| Which Blood type is universal donor? |            |              |                 |           |       |          |
| А                                    | 8(6.5)     | 104 (84.6)   | 11 (8.9)        | 123 (100) |       |          |
| В                                    | 10 (18.9)  | 35 (66)      | 8 (15.1)        | 53 (100)  | 0 000 | 2/2 020  |
| AB                                   | 18 (24.3)  | 32(43.2)     | 24(32.4)        | 74 (100)  | 0.000 | 243.029  |
| 0                                    | 460 (46.8) | 214 (21.8)   | 308 (31.4)      | 982 (100) |       |          |
|                                      | Which      | blood type i | s universal rec | cipient?  | -     |          |
| А                                    | 8(7.4)     | 79 (73.1)    | 21(19.4)        | 108 (100) |       |          |
| В                                    | 13 (16.9)  | 55 (71.4)    | 9 (11.7)        | 77 (100)  | 0 000 | 1.01 077 |
| AB                                   | 458 (52.5) | 123 (14.1)   | 292 (33.4)      | 873 (100) | 0.000 | 421.077  |

29 (16.7)

174 (100)

Figure 1 data showcases that among the participants, 24.74% exhibited a poor level of knowledge regarding blood donation. 62.98%, demonstrated a moderate level of knowledge on the subject. While a smaller yet notable portion of the participants, amounting to 12.29%, showed a good level of knowledge regarding blood donation.

128 (73.6)



Total Knowledge of the Participant

Figure 1: Total Knowledge of the Participants

Comparison of attitudes towards blood donation among participants from medical, non-medical, and allied health sciences backgrounds, including corresponding chisquare values and p-values for each variable, is presented in table 3.

| Table 3: Attitude of Parti | cinants towards | <b>Blood</b> Donation |
|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| able o. Attitude off afti  | cipants towards | Dioou Donation        |

| Variables   | Medical<br>(%)  | Non-<br>Medical (%) | Allied Health<br>Sciences (%) | Total<br>(%) | P-<br>Value | X <sup>2</sup> -<br>Value |  |
|---|---|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------------------|--|
| Do you belie  | Do you believe the best way to donate blood is at the request of relatives? |                     |                               |              |             |                           |  |
| Agree   | 243(34.4)   | 227(32.1)           | 237 (33.5)                    | 707(100)     | 0 000       | 29.426                    |  |
| Disagree  | 253(48.2)   | 158 (30.1)          | 114 (21.8)                    | 525(100)     | 0.000       |                           |  |
| Do you think  | that the be   | est way to do       | nate blood is t               | hrough pa    | id don      | ations?                   |  |
| Agree   | 120 (29.1)  | 142 (34.5)          | 150 (36.4)                    | 412 (100)    | 0.000       | 34.727                    |  |
| Disagree  | 376(45.9)   | 243 (29.6)          | 201(24.5)                     | 820 (100)    | 0.000       |                           |  |
| Do you think people who donate blood should receive something<br>in exchange? |   |                     |                               |              |             |                           |  |
| Agree   | 120 (29.1)  | 142 (34.5)          | 150 (36.4)                    | 412 (100)    | 0 000       | 7/. 707                   |  |
| Disagree  | 376(45.9)   | 243 (29.6)          | 201(24.5)                     | 820 (100)    | 0.000       | 34.727                    |  |

| Blood Donation Perceptions in Peshawar Undergraduates |
|---|
| <b>DOI:</b> https://doi.org/10.54393/pbmi.v7i04.1068  |

| Daman  |   |  |   |   |  | -0  |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| Do you   | belleve peo   | pie wno don  | ate blood can   | contract d  | lisease  | s:  |
| Agree  | 233 (41.6)  | 148(26.4)  | 179 (32)  | 560 (100)   | 0.002  | 12.449  |
| Disagree   | 263 (39.1)  | 237(35.3)  | 172 (25.6)  | 672 (100)   |  |   |
| Do you thin  | k people w  | ho donate bl   | ood become t  | emporarily  | y weak   | ened?   |
| Agree  | 297(46)   | 156(24.2)  | 192 (29.8)  | 645(100)  | n nnn  | 33 651  |
| Disagree   | 199 (33.9)  | 229(39)  | 159 (27.1)  | 587 (100)   | 0.000  | 00.001  |
|  | Do you do   | nate blood t   | o get free inve   | stigation?  |  |   |
| Agree  | 202 (33.3)  | 174 (28.7)   | 230 (38)  | 606 (100)   | 0 000  | E/. 1E0   |
| Disagree   | 294 (47)  | 211(33.7)  | 121 (19.3)  | 626(100)  | 0.000  | 54.159  |
|  | Do you  | think blood  | donation save   | s lives?  |  |   |
| Agree  | 433(42.8)   | 302 (29.9)   | 276 (27.3)  | 1011 (100)  | 0.000  | 15 / 70   |
| Disagree   | 63 (28.5)   | 83 (37.6)  | 75 (33.9)   | 221(100)  | 0.000  | 15.472  |
|  | Do you con  | sider blood o  | donation a mo   | ral activity  | ?  |   |
| Agree  | 415 (41.7)  | 308 (30.9)   | 273 (27.4)  | 996 (100)   |  |   |
| Disagree   | 81(34.3)  | 77 (32.6)  | 78 (33.1)   | 236(100)  | 0.088  | 4.865   |
| Do you thi   | nk young p  | eople should   | donate blood  | more freq   | uently   | than  |
| -  |   | older in   | dividuals?  | -   |  |   |
| Agree  | 406 (41.7)  | 300 (30.8)   | 267(27.4)   | 973 (100)   | N 104  | 4 521   |
| Disagree   | 90(34.7)  | 85(32.8)   | 84(32.4)  | 259(100)  | 0.104  | 1.021   |
| Do yo  | ou believe p  | eople with k   | nowledge dor  | ate more  | often?   |   |
| Agree  | 352(42.5)   | 256(30.9)  | 221(26.7)   | 828 (100)   | 0.078  | 61/5  |
| Disagree   | 144 (35.7)  | 129(32)  | 130 (32.2)  | 403(100)  | 0.040  | 0.145   |
| Do you   | think the b   | est way to d   | onate blood is  | through v   | olunta   | ry  |
| A  | 770 / / 5 1)  |  |   | 070 (100)   |  |   |
| Agree  | 378(45.1)   | 204(24.3)  | 257 (30.6)  | 828(100)  | 0.000  | 59.729  |
| Dissuration  | 110(70)   | 101//01  |   | 707 (100)   |  |   |
| Disagree   | 118 (30)  | 181(46.1)  | 94 (23.9)   | 393 (100)   |  | h a <b>i</b> u  |
| Disagree<br>Prior to b   | 118 (30)<br>blood donat   | 181(46.1)<br>ion, should ihealth   | 94 (23.9)<br>ndividuals tru<br>status?  | 393 (100)<br>thfully disc   | close t  | heir  |
| Disagree<br>Prior to b<br>Agree  | 118 (30)<br>blood donat<br>424 (47.5)   | 181(46.1)<br>ion, should i<br>health<br>235(26.3)  | 94 (23.9)<br>ndividuals tru<br>status?<br>233 (26.1)  | 393 (100)<br>thfully dise<br>892 (100)  | close t  | heir  |
| Disagree<br>Prior to b<br>Agree<br>Disagree  | 118 (30)<br>blood donat<br>424 (47.5)<br>72 (21.2)  | 181 (46.1)<br>ion, should i<br>health<br>235 (26.3)<br>150 (44.1)  | 94 (23.9)<br>ndividuals tru<br>status?<br>233 (26.1)<br>118 (34.7)  | 393 (100)<br>thfully dise<br>892 (100)<br>340 (100)   | <b>close t</b>   | h <b>eir</b><br>73.727  |
| Disagree<br>Prior to b<br>Agree<br>Disagree  | 118 (30)<br>blood donat<br>424 (47.5)<br>72 (21.2)<br>Have  | 181 (46.1)<br>ion, should i<br>health<br>235 (26.3)<br>150 (44.1)<br>you ever dor  | 94 (23.9)<br>ndividuals tru<br>status?<br>233 (26.1)<br>118 (34.7)<br>nated blood be  | 393 (100)<br>thfully diso<br>892 (100)<br>340 (100)<br>efore?   | close t  | h <b>eir</b><br>73.727  |
| Disagree<br>Prior to b<br>Agree<br>Disagree<br>Yes   | 118 (30)<br>blood donat<br>424 (47.5)<br>72 (21.2)<br>Have<br>261 (52.9)  | 181 (46.1)<br>ion, should i<br>health<br>235 (26.3)<br>150 (44.1)<br>you ever dor<br>125 (25.4)  | 94 (23.9)<br>ndividuals tru<br>status?<br>233 (26.1)<br>118 (34.7)<br>nated blood be<br>107 (21.7)  | 393 (100)<br>thfully disc<br>892 (100)<br>340 (100)<br>efore?<br>493 (100)  | <b>close t</b>   | h <b>eir</b><br>73.727  |
| Disagree<br>Prior to b<br>Agree<br>Disagree<br>Yes<br>No   | 118 (30)<br>5100d donat<br>424 (47.5)<br>72 (21.2)<br>Have<br>261 (52.9)<br>235 (31.8)  | 181 (46.1)<br>iion, should i<br>health<br>235 (26.3)<br>150 (44.1)<br>you ever dor<br>125 (25.4)<br>260 (35.2)   | 94 (23.9)<br>ndividuals tru<br>status?<br>233 (26.1)<br>118 (34.7)<br>nated blood be<br>107 (21.7)<br>244 (33)  | 393 (100)<br>thfully disc<br>892 (100)<br>340 (100)<br>fore?<br>493 (100)<br>739 (100)  | 0.000  | heir<br>73.727<br>55.256  |
| Disagree<br>Prior to t<br>Agree<br>Disagree<br>Yes<br>No   | 118 (30)<br>blood donat<br>424 (47.5)<br>72 (21.2)<br>Have<br>261 (52.9)<br>235 (31.8)<br>Are y   | 181(46.1)<br>iion, should i<br>health<br>235(26.3)<br>150(44.1)<br>you ever dor<br>125(25.4)<br>260(35.2)<br>ou currently  | 94 (23.9)<br>ndividuals tru<br>status?<br>233 (26.1)<br>118 (34.7)<br>nated blood be<br>107 (21.7)<br>244 (33)<br>fit to donate b   | 393 (100)<br>thfully disc<br>892 (100)<br>340 (100)<br>efore?<br>493 (100)<br>739 (100)<br>blood?   | 0.000  | heir<br>73.727<br>55.256  |
| Disagree<br>Prior to t<br>Agree<br>Disagree<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes  | 118 (30)<br>blood donat<br>424 (47.5)<br>72 (21.2)<br>Have<br>261 (52.9)<br>235 (31.8)<br>Are y<br>182 (56)   | 181 (46.1)           iion, should i health           235 (26.3)           150 (44.1)           you ever dou           125 (25.4)           260 (35.2)           ou currently           75 (32.1)   | 94 (23.9)<br>ndividuals tru<br>status?<br>233 (26.1)<br>118 (34.7)<br>nated blood be<br>107 (21.7)<br>244 (33)<br>fit to donate t<br>68 (20.9)  | 393 (100)<br>thfully disc<br>892 (100)<br>340 (100)<br>5fore?<br>493 (100)<br>739 (100)<br>5lood?<br>325 (100)  | 0.000  | heir<br>73.727<br>55.256  |
| Disagree<br>Prior to t<br>Agree<br>Disagree<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No  | 118 (30)<br>blood donat<br>424 (47.5)<br>72 (21.2)<br>Have<br>261 (52.9)<br>235 (31.8)<br>Are y<br>182 (56)<br>314 (34.6)   | 181 (46.1)         iion, should i         235 (26.3)         150 (44.1)         you ever dor         125 (25.4)         260 (35.2)         ou currently         75 (32.1)         310 (34.2)   | 94 (23.9)<br>ndividuals tru<br>status?<br>233 (26.1)<br>118 (34.7)<br>nated blood be<br>107 (21.7)<br>244 (33)<br>fit to donate b<br>68 (20.9)<br>283 (31.2)  | 393 (100)<br>thfully disc<br>892 (100)<br>340 (100)<br>fore?<br>493 (100)<br>739 (100)<br>blood?<br>325 (100)<br>907 (100)  | 0.000<br>0.000   | heir<br>73.727<br>55.256<br>45.476  |
| Disagree<br>Prior to t<br>Agree<br>Disagree<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No  | 118 (30)<br>blood donat<br>424 (47.5)<br>72 (21.2)<br>Have<br>261 (52.9)<br>235 (31.8)<br>Are y<br>182 (56)<br>314 (34.6)<br>Would yo   | 181 (46.1)         iion, should i         health         235 (26.3)         150 (44.1)         you ever dor         125 (25.4)         260 (35.2)         ou currently         75 (32.1)         310 (34.2)         u consider d   | 94 (23.9)<br>ndividuals tru<br>status?<br>233 (26.1)<br>118 (34.7)<br>nated blood be<br>107 (21.7)<br>244 (33)<br>fit to donate b<br>68 (20.9)<br>283 (31.2)<br>onating blood   | 393 (100)<br>thfully disc<br>892 (100)<br>340 (100)<br>fore?<br>493 (100)<br>739 (100)<br>slood?<br>325 (100)<br>907 (100)<br>in future?  | 0.000<br>0.000   | heir<br>73.727<br>55.256<br>45.476  |
| Disagree<br>Prior to t<br>Agree<br>Disagree<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No  | 118 (30)<br>blood donat<br>424 (47.5)<br>72 (21.2)<br>Have<br>261 (52.9)<br>235 (31.8)<br>Are y<br>182 (56)<br>314 (34.6)<br>Would yo<br>86 (43.9)  | 181 (46.1)         iion, should i         health         235 (26.3)         150 (44.1)         you ever dor         125 (25.4)         260 (35.2)         ou currently         75 (32.1)         310 (34.2)         u consider d         58 (29.6)   | 94 (23.9)<br>ndividuals tru<br>status?<br>233 (26.1)<br>118 (34.7)<br>nated blood be<br>107 (21.7)<br>244 (33)<br>fit to donate t<br>68 (20.9)<br>283 (31.2)<br>onating blood<br>52 (26.5)  | 393 (100)<br>thfully disc<br>892 (100)<br>340 (100)<br>fore?<br>493 (100)<br>739 (100)<br>000d?<br>325 (100)<br>907 (100)<br>in future?<br>196 (100)  | 0.000<br>0.000<br>0.000  | heir<br>73.727<br>55.256<br>45.476  |
| Disagree<br>Prior to t<br>Agree<br>Disagree<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes   | 118 (30)<br>blood donat<br>424 (47.5)<br>72 (21.2)<br>Have<br>261 (52.9)<br>235 (31.8)<br>Are y<br>182 (56)<br>314 (34.6)<br>Would yo<br>86 (43.9)<br>410 (39.6)  | 181(46.1)<br>iion, should i<br>health<br>235(26.3)<br>150(44.1)<br>you ever dor<br>125(25.4)<br>260(35.2)<br>ou currently<br>75(32.1)<br>310(34.2)<br>u consider d<br>58(29.6)<br>327(31.6)  | 94 (23.9)<br>ndividuals tru<br>status?<br>233 (26.1)<br>118 (34.7)<br>nated blood be<br>107 (21.7)<br>244 (33)<br>fit to donate t<br>68 (20.9)<br>283 (31.2)<br>onating blood<br>52 (26.5)<br>299 (28.9)  | 393 (100)<br>thfully disc<br>892 (100)<br>340 (100)<br>sfore?<br>493 (100)<br>739 (100)<br>000d?<br>325 (100)<br>907 (100)<br>in future?<br>196 (100)<br>1036 (100)   | 0.000<br>0.000<br>0.000<br>0.000   | heir<br>73.727<br>55.256<br>45.476<br>1.277   |
| Disagree<br>Prior to t<br>Agree<br>Disagree<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No   | 118 (30)<br>blood donat<br>424 (47.5)<br>72 (21.2)<br>Have<br>261 (52.9)<br>235 (31.8)<br>Are y<br>182 (56)<br>314 (34.6)<br>Would yo<br>86 (43.9)<br>410 (39.6)  | 181 (46.1)         iion, should i         health         235 (26.3)         150 (44.1)         you ever dou         125 (25.4)         260 (35.2)         ou currently         75 (32.1)         310 (34.2)         u consider d         58 (29.6)         327 (31.6)  | 94 (23.9)<br>ndividuals tru<br>status?<br>233 (26.1)<br>118 (34.7)<br>nated blood be<br>107 (21.7)<br>244 (33)<br>fit to donate t<br>68 (20.9)<br>283 (31.2)<br>onating blood<br>52 (26.5)<br>299 (28.9)<br>id of needles?  | 393 (100)<br>thfully disc<br>892 (100)<br>340 (100)<br>5fore?<br>493 (100)<br>739 (100)<br>5lood?<br>325 (100)<br>907 (100)<br>in future?<br>196 (100)  | 0.000<br>0.000<br>0.000<br>0.528   | heir<br>73.727<br>55.256<br>45.476<br>1.277   |
| Disagree<br>Prior to t<br>Agree<br>Disagree<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No   | 118 (30)<br>2000d donat<br>424 (47.5)<br>72 (21.2)<br>Have<br>261 (52.9)<br>235 (31.8)<br>Are y<br>182 (56)<br>314 (34.6)<br>Would yo<br>86 (43.9)<br>410 (39.6)<br>325 (43.7)  | 181 (46.1)         iion, should i         health         235 (26.3)         150 (44.1)         you ever dor         125 (25.4)         260 (35.2)         ou currently         75 (32.1)         310 (34.2)         u consider d         58 (29.6)         327 (31.6)         Are you afra         231 (31)  | 94 (23.9)<br>ndividuals tru<br>status?<br>233 (26.1)<br>118 (34.7)<br>nated blood be<br>107 (21.7)<br>244 (33)<br>fit to donate b<br>68 (20.9)<br>283 (31.2)<br>onating blood<br>52 (26.5)<br>299 (28.9)<br>id of needles?<br>188 (25.3)  | 393 (100)<br>thfully disc<br>892 (100)<br>340 (100)<br>fore?<br>493 (100)<br>739 (100)<br>000d?<br>325 (100)<br>907 (100)<br>in future?<br>196 (100)<br>1036 (100)<br>744 (100)   | 0.000<br>0.000<br>0.000<br>0.528   | heir<br>73.727<br>55.256<br>45.476<br>1.277   |
| Disagree<br>Prior to t<br>Agree<br>Disagree<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes  | 118 (30)<br>blood donat<br>424 (47.5)<br>72 (21.2)<br>Have<br>261 (52.9)<br>235 (31.8)<br>Are y<br>182 (56)<br>314 (34.6)<br>Would yo<br>86 (43.9)<br>410 (39.6)<br>325 (43.7)<br>171 (35)  | 181 (46.1)         iion, should i         health         235 (26.3)         150 (44.1)         you ever dor         125 (25.4)         260 (35.2)         ou currently         75 (32.1)         310 (34.2)         u consider d         58 (29.6)         327 (31.6)         Are you afra         231 (31)         156 (31.6)   | 94 (23.9)<br>ndividuals tru<br>status?<br>233 (26.1)<br>118 (34.7)<br>nated blood be<br>107 (21.7)<br>244 (33)<br>fit to donate l<br>68 (20.9)<br>283 (31.2)<br>onating blood<br>52 (26.5)<br>299 (28.9)<br>id of needles?<br>188 (25.3)<br>163 (33.4)  | 393 (100)<br>thfully disc<br>892 (100)<br>340 (100)<br>fore?<br>493 (100)<br>739 (100)<br>500d?<br>325 (100)<br>907 (100)<br>in future?<br>196 (100)<br>1036 (100)<br>744 (100)<br>488 (100)  | 0.000<br>0.000<br>0.000<br>0.528<br>0.002  | heir<br>73.727<br>55.256<br>45.476<br>1.277<br>12.333                                       |
| Disagree<br>Prior to t<br>Agree<br>Disagree<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No  | 118 (30)<br>2000 donat<br>424 (47.5)<br>72 (21.2)<br>Have<br>261 (52.9)<br>235 (31.8)<br>Are y<br>182 (56)<br>314 (34.6)<br>Would yo<br>86 (43.9)<br>410 (39.6)<br>325 (43.7)<br>171 (35)   | 181 (46.1)         iion, should i         health         235 (26.3)         150 (44.1)         you ever dor         125 (25.4)         260 (35.2)         ou currently         75 (32.1)         310 (34.2)         u consider d         58 (29.6)         327 (31.6)         Are you afra         231 (31)         154 (31.6)   | 94 (23.9)<br>ndividuals tru<br>status?<br>233 (26.1)<br>118 (34.7)<br>nated blood be<br>107 (21.7)<br>244 (33)<br>fit to donate l<br>68 (20.9)<br>283 (31.2)<br>onating blood<br>52 (26.5)<br>299 (28.9)<br>id of needles?<br>188 (25.3)<br>163 (33.4)<br>learning your   | 393 (100)<br>thfully disc<br>892 (100)<br>340 (100)<br>fore?<br>493 (100)<br>739 (100)<br>000d?<br>325 (100)<br>907 (100)<br>in future?<br>196 (100)<br>1036 (100)<br>744 (100)<br>488 (100)  | 0.000<br>0.000<br>0.000<br>0.528<br>0.002  | heir<br>73.727<br>55.256<br>45.476<br>1.277<br>12.333                                       |
| Disagree<br>Prior to t<br>Agree<br>Disagree<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Do you fo                           | 118 (30)<br>blood donat<br>424 (47.5)<br>72 (21.2)<br>Have<br>261 (52.9)<br>235 (31.8)<br>Are y<br>182 (56)<br>314 (34.6)<br>Would yo<br>86 (43.9)<br>410 (39.6)<br>325 (43.7)<br>171 (35)<br>eel apprehe   | 181 (46.1)         181 (46.1)         235 (26.3)         150 (44.1)         you ever dor         125 (25.4)         260 (35.2)         ou currently         75 (32.1)         310 (34.2)         u consider d         58 (29.6)         327 (31.6)         Are you afra         231 (31)         154 (31.6)         ensive about during of   | 94 (23.9)<br>ndividuals tru<br>status?<br>233 (26.1)<br>118 (34.7)<br>nated blood be<br>107 (21.7)<br>244 (33)<br>fit to donate to<br>68 (20.9)<br>283 (31.2)<br>onating blood<br>52 (26.5)<br>299 (28.9)<br>id of needles?<br>188 (25.3)<br>163 (33.4)<br>learning your<br>donation?   | 393 (100)<br>thfully disc<br>892 (100)<br>340 (100)<br>fore?<br>493 (100)<br>739 (100)<br>325 (100)<br>907 (100)<br>in future?<br>196 (100)<br>1036 (100)<br>744 (100)<br>488 (100)<br>blood prof   | 0.000<br>0.000<br>0.528<br>0.002   | heir<br>73.727<br>55.256<br>45.476<br>1.277<br>12.333<br>ults                               |
| Disagree<br>Prior to t<br>Agree<br>Disagree<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Do you fu  | 118 (30)<br>2000d donat<br>424 (47.5)<br>72 (21.2)<br>Have<br>261 (52.9)<br>235 (31.8)<br>Are y<br>182 (56)<br>314 (34.6)<br>Would yo<br>86 (43.9)<br>410 (39.6)<br>325 (43.7)<br>171 (35)<br>eel apprehe<br>337 (46.2)   | 181 (46.1)         181 (46.1)         235 (26.3)         150 (44.1) <b>you ever dor</b> 125 (25.4)         260 (35.2) <b>ou currently</b> 75 (32.1)         310 (34.2) <b>u consider d</b> 58 (29.6)         327 (31.6) <b>Are you afra</b> 231 (31)         154 (31.6) <b>ensive about during</b> 218 (29.9)  | 94 (23.9)<br>ndividuals tru<br>status?<br>233 (26.1)<br>118 (34.7)<br>nated blood be<br>107 (21.7)<br>244 (33)<br>fit to donate to<br>68 (20.9)<br>283 (31.2)<br>onating blood<br>52 (26.5)<br>299 (28.9)<br>nid of needles?<br>188 (25.3)<br>163 (33.4)<br>learning your<br>donation?<br>175 (24)  | 393 (100)<br>thfully disc<br>892 (100)<br>340 (100)<br>fore?<br>493 (100)<br>739 (100)<br>325 (100)<br>907 (100)<br>in future?<br>196 (100)<br>1036 (100)<br>744 (100)<br>488 (100)<br>blood prof<br>730 (100)  | 0.000<br>0.000<br>0.528<br>0.002   | heir<br>73.727<br>55.256<br>45.476<br>1.277<br>12.333<br>ults                               |
| Disagree<br>Prior to t<br>Agree<br>Disagree<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No              | 118 (30)<br>blood donat<br>424 (47.5)<br>72 (21.2)<br>Have<br>261 (52.9)<br>235 (31.8)<br>Are y<br>182 (56)<br>314 (34.6)<br>Would yo<br>86 (43.9)<br>410 (39.6)<br>325 (43.7)<br>171 (35)<br>eel apprehe<br>337 (46.2)<br>160 (31.9)   | 181 (46.1)         iion, should i         health         235 (26.3)         150 (44.1)         you ever dou         125 (25.4)         260 (35.2)         ou currently         75 (32.1)         310 (34.2)         u consider d         58 (29.6)         327 (31.6)         Are you afra         231 (31)         154 (31.6)         ensive about<br>during a         218 (29.9)         166 (33.1)  | 94 (23.9)<br>ndividuals tru<br>status?<br>233 (26.1)<br>118 (34.7)<br>nated blood be<br>107 (21.7)<br>244 (33)<br>fit to donate to<br>68 (20.9)<br>283 (31.2)<br>onating blood<br>52 (26.5)<br>299 (28.9)<br>id of needles?<br>188 (25.3)<br>163 (33.4)<br>learning your<br>donation?<br>175 (24)<br>176 (35.1)   | 393 (100)<br>thfully disc<br>892 (100)<br>340 (100)<br>fore?<br>493 (100)<br>739 (100)<br>000d?<br>325 (100)<br>907 (100)<br>in future?<br>196 (100)<br>1036 (100)<br>744 (100)<br>488 (100)<br>blood prof<br>730 (100)<br>502 (100)                              | 0.000<br>0.000<br>0.528<br>0.002<br>iile resu  | heir<br>73.727<br>55.256<br>45.476<br>1.277<br>12.333<br>JIts<br>28.875                     |
| Disagree<br>Prior to t<br>Agree<br>Disagree<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Do you fu                           | 118 (30)<br>blood donat<br>424 (47.5)<br>72 (21.2)<br>Have<br>261 (52.9)<br>235 (31.8)<br>Are y<br>182 (56)<br>314 (34.6)<br>Would yo<br>86 (43.9)<br>410 (39.6)<br>325 (43.7)<br>171 (35)<br>bel apprehe<br>337 (46.2)<br>160 (31.9)<br>Is it pos  | 181 (46.1)         iion, should i         health         235 (26.3)         150 (44.1)         you ever dor         125 (25.4)         260 (35.2)         ou currently         75 (32.1)         310 (34.2)         u consider d         58 (29.6)         327 (31.6)         Are you afra         231 (31)         154 (31.6)         ensure about<br>during a         218 (29.9)         166 (33.1)         sible for dom                  | 94 (23.9)<br>ndividuals tru<br>status?<br>233 (26.1)<br>118 (34.7)<br>nated blood be<br>107 (21.7)<br>244 (33)<br>fit to donate l<br>68 (20.9)<br>283 (31.2)<br>onating blood<br>52 (26.5)<br>299 (28.9)<br>nid of needles?<br>188 (25.3)<br>163 (33.4)<br>learning your<br>donation?<br>175 (24)<br>176 (35.1)<br>nated blood to   | 393 (100)<br>thfully disc<br>892 (100)<br>340 (100)<br>fore?<br>493 (100)<br>739 (100)<br>000d?<br>325 (100)<br>907 (100)<br>907 (100)<br>1036 (100)<br>1036 (100)<br>744 (100)<br>488 (100)<br>000d prof<br>730 (100)<br>502 (100)<br>be sold?                   | 0.000<br>0.000<br>0.000<br>0.528<br>0.002<br>ile rest  | heir<br>73.727<br>55.256<br>45.476<br>1.277<br>12.333<br>ults<br>28.875                     |
| Disagree<br>Prior to t<br>Agree<br>Disagree<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Do you fu<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes       | 118 (30)<br>blood donat<br>424 (47.5)<br>72 (21.2)<br>Have<br>261 (52.9)<br>235 (31.8)<br>Are y<br>182 (56)<br>314 (34.6)<br>Would yo<br>86 (43.9)<br>410 (39.6)<br>325 (43.7)<br>171 (35)<br>eel apprehe<br>337 (46.2)<br>160 (31.9)<br>Is it pos<br>233 (41.2)  | 181 (46.1)         ion, should i         235 (26.3)         150 (44.1)         you ever dor         125 (25.4)         260 (35.2)         ou currently         75 (32.1)         310 (34.2)         u consider d         58 (29.6)         327 (31.6)         Are you afra         231 (31)         154 (31.6)         ensive about<br>during of<br>218 (29.9)         166 (33.1)         sible for dom         211 (37.3)                   | 94 (23.9)<br>ndividuals tru<br>status?<br>233 (26.1)<br>118 (34.7)<br>nated blood be<br>107 (21.7)<br>244 (33)<br>fit to donate l<br>68 (20.9)<br>283 (31.2)<br>0nating blood<br>52 (26.5)<br>299 (28.9)<br>id of needles?<br>188 (25.3)<br>163 (33.4)<br>learning your<br>donation?<br>175 (24)<br>176 (35.1)<br>nated blood to<br>122 (21.6)  | 393 (100)<br>thfully disc<br>892 (100)<br>340 (100)<br>fore?<br>493 (100)<br>739 (100)<br>000d?<br>325 (100)<br>907 (100)<br>in future?<br>196 (100)<br>1036 (100)<br>744 (100)<br>488 (100)<br>000d prof<br>730 (100)<br>502 (100)<br>be sold?<br>566 (100)      | 0.000<br>0.000<br>0.528<br>0.002<br>ile rest   | heir<br>73.727<br>55.256<br>45.476<br>1.277<br>12.333<br>ults<br>28.875                     |
| Disagree<br>Prior to t<br>Agree<br>Disagree<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Do you f<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No  | 118 (30)<br>blood donat<br>424 (47.5)<br>72 (21.2)<br>Have<br>261 (52.9)<br>235 (31.8)<br>Are y<br>182 (56)<br>314 (34.6)<br>Would yo<br>86 (43.9)<br>410 (39.6)<br>325 (43.7)<br>171 (35)<br>eel apprehe<br>337 (46.2)<br>160 (31.9)<br>Is it pos<br>233 (41.2)<br>263 (39.5)  | 181 (46.1)         iion, should i         235 (26.3)         150 (44.1)         you ever dor         125 (25.4)         260 (35.2)         ou currently         75 (32.1)         310 (34.2)         u consider d         58 (29.6)         327 (31.6)         Are you afra         231 (31)         154 (31.6)         ensider douring of         218 (29.9)         166 (33.1)         sible for don         211 (37.3)         174 (26.1) | 94 (23.9)<br>ndividuals tru<br>status?<br>233 (26.1)<br>118 (34.7)<br>nated blood be<br>107 (21.7)<br>244 (33)<br>fit to donate l<br>68 (20.9)<br>283 (31.2)<br>0nating blood<br>52 (26.5)<br>299 (28.9)<br>id of needles?<br>188 (25.3)<br>163 (33.4)<br>learning your<br>conation?<br>175 (24)<br>176 (35.1)<br>nated blood to<br>122 (21.6)<br>229 (34.4)  | 393 (100)<br>thfully disc<br>892 (100)<br>340 (100)<br>fore?<br>493 (100)<br>739 (100)<br>907 (100)<br>907 (100)<br>1036 (100)<br>1036 (100)<br>734 (100)<br>488 (100)<br>blood prof<br>730 (100)<br>502 (100)<br>502 (100)<br>566 (100)                          | 0.000<br>0.000<br>0.528<br>0.002<br><b>ile rest</b><br>0.000   | heir<br>73.727<br>55.256<br>45.476<br>1.277<br>12.333<br>11ts<br>28.875<br>30.070           |
| Disagree<br>Prior to t<br>Agree<br>Disagree<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Do you fo<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No              | 118 (30)<br>Diood donat<br>424 (47.5)<br>72 (21.2)<br>Have<br>261 (52.9)<br>235 (31.8)<br>Are y<br>182 (56)<br>314 (34.6)<br>Would yo<br>86 (43.9)<br>410 (39.6)<br>325 (43.7)<br>171 (35)<br>eel apprehe<br>337 (46.2)<br>160 (31.9)<br>Is it pos<br>233 (41.2)<br>263 (39.5)<br>Have you  | 181 (46.1)         131 (46.1)         235 (26.3)         150 (44.1) <b>you ever dor</b> 125 (25.4)         260 (35.2) <b>ou currently</b> 75 (32.1)         310 (34.2) <b>u consider d</b> 58 (29.6)         327 (31.6) <b>Are you afra</b> 231 (31)         154 (31.6) <b>ensive about during d</b> 218 (29.9)         166 (33.1) <b>sible for don</b> 211 (37.3)         174 (26.1)  | 94 (23.9)<br>ndividuals tru<br>status?<br>233 (26.1)<br>118 (34.7)<br>nated blood be<br>107 (21.7)<br>244 (33)<br>fit to donate t<br>68 (20.9)<br>283 (31.2)<br>onating blood<br>52 (26.5)<br>299 (28.9)<br>id of needles?<br>188 (25.3)<br>163 (33.4)<br>learning your<br>donation?<br>175 (24)<br>176 (35.1)<br>nated blood to<br>122 (21.6)<br>229 (34.4)<br>anyone to don                             | 393 (100)<br>thfully disc<br>892 (100)<br>340 (100)<br>fore?<br>493 (100)<br>739 (100)<br>325 (100)<br>907 (100)<br>196 (100)<br>196 (100)<br>196 (100)<br>488 (100)<br>488 (100)<br>502 (100)<br>502 (100)<br>566 (100)<br>666 (100)<br>ate blood?               | <ul> <li>close ti</li> <li>0.000</li> <li>0.000</li> <li>0.000</li> <li>0.528</li> <li>0.002</li> <li>ile rest</li> <li>0.000</li> <li>0.000</li> <li>0.000</li> </ul> | heir<br>73.727<br>55.256<br>45.476<br>1.277<br>12.333<br>ults<br>28.875<br>30.070           |
| Disagree<br>Prior to t<br>Agree<br>Disagree<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Do you fr<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No              | 118 (30)         118 (30)         1000d donat         424 (47.5)         72 (21.2)         Have         261 (52.9)         235 (31.8)         Are y         182 (56)         314 (34.6)         Would yo         86 (43.9)         410 (39.6)         325 (43.7)         171 (35)         eel apprehe         337 (46.2)         160 (31.9)         Is it pos         233 (41.2)         263 (39.5)         Have you         154 (47.8) | 181 (46.1)         131 (46.1)         235 (26.3)         150 (44.1) <b>you ever dor</b> 125 (25.4)         260 (35.2) <b>ou currently</b> 75 (32.1)         310 (34.2) <b>u consider d</b> 58 (29.6)         327 (31.6) <b>Are you afra</b> 231 (31)         154 (31.6) <b>ensive about during d</b> 218 (29.9)         166 (33.1) <b>sible for don</b> 211 (37.3)         174 (26.1) <b>encouraged</b> 84 (26.1)                            | 94 (23.9) ndividuals tru status? 233 (26.1) 118 (34.7) nated blood be 107 (21.7) 244 (33) fit to donate t 68 (20.9) 283 (31.2) onating blood 52 (26.5) 299 (28.9) id of needles? 188 (25.3) 163 (33.4) learning your clonation? 175 (24) 176 (35.1) iated blood to 122 (21.6) 229 (34.4) anyone to don 84 (26.1)  | 393 (100)<br>thfully disc<br>892 (100)<br>340 (100)<br>fore?<br>493 (100)<br>739 (100)<br>907 (100)<br>325 (100)<br>907 (100)<br>196 (100)<br>196 (100)<br>730 (100)<br>502 (100)<br>566 (100)<br>666 (100)<br>ate blood?<br>322 (100)                            | <ul> <li>close ti</li> <li>0.000</li> <li>0.000</li> <li>0.528</li> <li>0.002</li> <li>ile rest</li> <li>0.000</li> <li>0.000</li> <li>0.000</li> </ul>                | heir<br>73.727<br>55.256<br>45.476<br>1.277<br>12.333<br>ults<br>28.875<br>30.070           |
| Disagree<br>Prior to t<br>Agree<br>Disagree<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No<br>Yes<br>No | 118 (30)<br>2424 (47.5)<br>72 (21.2)<br>Have<br>261 (52.9)<br>235 (31.8)<br>Are y<br>182 (56)<br>314 (34.6)<br>Would yo<br>86 (43.9)<br>410 (39.6)<br>325 (43.7)<br>171 (35)<br>el apprehe<br>337 (46.2)<br>160 (31.9)<br>1s it pos<br>233 (41.2)<br>263 (39.5)<br>Have you<br>154 (47.8)<br>342 (37.6)   | 181 (46.1)         181 (46.1)         235 (26.3)         150 (44.1) <b>you ever dor</b> 125 (25.4)         260 (35.2) <b>ou currently</b> 75 (32.1)         310 (34.2) <b>u consider d</b> 58 (29.6)         327 (31.6) <b>Are you afra</b> 231 (31)         154 (31.6) <b>ensive about during q</b> 218 (29.9)         166 (33.1) <b>sible for dom</b> 211 (37.3)         174 (26.1) <b>encouraged</b> 84 (26.1)         301 (33.1)         | 94 (23.9)<br>ndividuals tru<br>status?<br>233 (26.1)<br>118 (34.7)<br>nated blood be<br>107 (21.7)<br>244 (33)<br>fit to donate to<br>68 (20.9)<br>283 (31.2)<br>onating blood<br>52 (26.5)<br>299 (28.9)<br>id of needles?<br>188 (25.3)<br>163 (33.4)<br>learning your<br>donation?<br>175 (24)<br>176 (35.1)<br>nated blood to<br>122 (21.6)<br>229 (34.4)<br>anyone to don<br>84 (26.1)<br>267 (29.3) | 393 (100)<br>thfully disc<br>892 (100)<br>340 (100)<br>fore?<br>493 (100)<br>739 (100)<br>907 (100)<br>325 (100)<br>907 (100)<br>1036 (100)<br>1036 (100)<br>744 (100)<br>488 (100)<br>502 (100)<br>502 (100)<br>566 (100)<br>666 (100)<br>322 (100)<br>910 (100) | Close ti<br>0.000<br>0.000<br>0.528<br>0.002<br>iile resu<br>0.000   | heir<br>73.727<br>55.256<br>45.476<br>1.277<br>12.333<br>Jlts<br>28.875<br>30.070<br>10.802 |

The study identified barriers to blood donation, including fear of needles (13.90%), fear of infection (13.20%), and medical unfitness (13.10%). Parental restrictions affected

12.10%, dissatisfaction with preventive measures and uncertainty about donation locations were cited by 10.10% and 9.60%, respectively. Findings are summarized in figure2.



Figure 2: Barriers towards Blood Donations

The study found that 22.10% donated blood to save lives, 19.40% to help friends and family, and 17.20% for self-satisfaction. Figure 3; illustrate the varied motivations behind blood donation.





#### DISCUSSION

Our research involved 1232 students from diverse academic backgrounds and colleges in Peshawar. The rate of blood donation among these students was 59.8%, which aligned with a separate study conducted in Bangladesh involving 201 students, where the frequency was recorded at 50.74%. Additionally, within the same study, it was observed that male students exhibited a higher frequency of blood donation, approximately 80.39% in Bangladesh and 70.9% in our study [11]. Likewise, in a national study conducted in Faisalabad, obstacles to blood donation were identified, and these barriers were consistent with those revealed in our own study. They encompassed concerns such as fear, limited proximity to collection facilities, time constraints, and a shared motivating factor centered on the desire to save lives [12]. Similarly, in another study conducted with students in Nigeria, there was a higher level of knowledge concerning blood donation compared to our study. For example, 86.7% of Nigerian students were aware that 500ml of blood is typically taken during each donation, whereas only 54.8% of our students had this understanding. This indicates the importance of raising

awareness among our student population. Furthermore, both Nigerian and Pakistani students displayed positive attitudes and eagerness towards participating in blood donation in the future [13]. The same findings of low level of knowledge and a positive attitude were also found in another study conducted in Malaysia [14]. Another study from North India involving 235 students concluded that the mean overall knowledge score was 74.4% with 95.7% of the participants aware of their blood group whereas in our study 82.3% of the participants knew their blood types. The same study showed that the practice of blood donation among the students of north India was as low as 22.9% and in another research conducted in Southeast Nigeria 84.7% of the participants had knowledge of their blood groups [15, 16]. A study conducted in Saudi Arabia found that students exhibited a commendable level of understanding regarding blood donations. Concerning motivational factors, one aspect highlighted was the possession of a blood donor certificate, which was reported among 47.8% of Saudi students and only 7.2% of Pakistani students [17]. A study conducted in Iraq revealed a notable gap in knowledge between medical and non-medical students. Despite their positive attitudes, there was a low reported incidence of previous blood transfusions among them [18]. Regarding the practices of blood donation 59.8% of our participants had previously donated blood, while in a study conducted in Egypt the rate of previous blood donations was only 35.1% among the participants, the same rate (34.8%) was also found in a multi centric study of Italy [19, 20].

#### CONCLUSIONS

The study reveals that Peshawar students have a moderate understanding of blood donation, with medical students showing more knowledge than those in allied health sciences and non-medical fields. Although knowledge levels vary, the overall attitude towards blood donation is positive, and many participants have donated blood before.

#### Authors Contribution

Conceptualization: SZ, KK, SM Methodology: SZ, KK, WK, HK, IK Formal analysis: SZ, KK, MA, WK, HK, IK, SM, JS Writing, review and editing: SZ, KK, MA, SM, JS

All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript

#### Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### Source of Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Waheed U, Azmat M, Zaheer HA. Knowledge, attitude and practices towards blood donation in Pakistan: a nationwide survey. Hematology and Transfusion International Journal. 2015 Oct; 1(4): 00018. doi: 10.15 406/htij.2015.01.00018.
- [2] Faqah A, Moiz B, Shahid F, Ibrahim M, Raheem A. Assessment of blood donation intention among medical students in Pakistan-An application of theory of planned behavior. Transfusion and Apheresis Science. 2015 Dec; 53(3): 353-9. doi: 10.101 6/j.transci.2015.07.003.
- [3] Mumtaz Z, Bowen S, Mumtaz R. Meanings of blood, bleeding and blood donations in Pakistan: implications for national vs global safe blood supply policies. Health Policy and Planning. 2012 Mar; 27(2): 147-55. doi: 10.1093/heapol/czr016.
- [4] Ahmed M, Saeed M, Waheed U, Mujtaba A, Hanif A, Khalid A et al. Perception of blood donation among pakistani youth. Pakistan Armed Forces Medical Journal. 2020 Oct; 70(5): 1360-65.
- [5] Tagny CT, Diarra A, Yahaya R, Hakizimana M, Nguessan A, Mbensa G et al. Characteristics of blood donors and donated blood in sub-Saharan Francophone Africa. Transfusion. 2009 Aug; 49(8): 1592-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1537-2995.2009.02137.x.
- [6] Kowsalya V, Vijayakumar R, Chidambaram R, Srikumar R, Reddy EP, Latha S et al. A study on knowledge, attitude and practice regarding voluntary blood donation among medical students in Puducherry, India. Pakistan journal of biological sciences: Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences. 2013 May; 16(9): 439-42. doi: 10.3923/pjbs.2013.439.4 42.
- [7] Baig M, Habib H, Haji AH, Alsharief FT, Noor AM, Makki RG et al. Knowledge, misconceptions and motivations towards blood donation among university students in KSA. Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences. 2013 Nov; 29(6): 1295. doi: 10.12669 /pjms.296.4137.
- [8] Bukhari N, Sharif N, Ali A, Majid A, Rehman WU. Understanding the modern sociology of blood donation, a retrospective study of voluntary blood donors belonging to district Attock Punjab, Pakistan. Journal of University Medical & Dental College. 2022 Aug; 13(3): 417-21.
- [9] Mecha A and Erchafo B. Blood donation intentions and predictors among Hosanna town dwellers, south nation nationality peoples region, Ethiopia. Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care. 2022 Sep; 11(9): 5320-6. doi: 10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc\_1287\_21.

- [10] Ahmed Z, Zafar M, Khan AA, Anjum MU, Siddiqui MA. Knowledge, attitude and practices about blood donation among undergraduate medical students in Karachi. Journal of Infectious Diseases and Therapy. 2014 Mar. doi: 10.4172/2332-0877.1000134.
- Salma N. Perceptions of blood donation among the students of Jahangirnagar University, Bangladesh.
   Asian Journal of Medical and Biological Research.
   2021 Dec, 7(4), 339-347. doi: 10.3329/ajmbr.v7i4.5761
   4.
- [12] Tariq S and Jawed S. Knowledge and attitude of blood donation among female medical students in Faisalabad. The Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association. 2018 Jan; 68(1): 65-70.
- [13] Ugwu NI, Oti WJ, Ugwu CN, Uneke CJ. Voluntary nonremunerated blood donation: Awareness, perception, and attitude among potential blood donors in Abakaliki, Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice. 2019 Nov; 22(11): 1509-15. doi: 10.4103/njcp.njcp\_159\_19.
- [14] Japar S, Yahya NA, Raman RA, Sani AM, Halain AA, Geok K et al. Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of Blood Donation among Undergraduate Students in a Public University, Malaysia. Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology. 2018; 11(8): 3478-82. doi: 10.5958/0974-360X.2018.00642.X.
- [15] Chauhan R, Kumar R, Thakur S. A study to assess the knowledge, attitude, and practices about blood donation among medical students of a medical college in North India. Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care. 2018 Jul; 7(4): 693-7. doi: 10.4103/jfmpc .jfmpc\_54\_17.
- [16] Ossai EN, Eze NC, Chukwu O, Uguru UA, Ukpai EC, Ihere E et al. Determinants of practice of blood donation among undergraduate students of Ebonyi State University Abakaliki, Southeast Nigeria. Arch Community Med Public Health. 2018 Jan; 4(1): 001-7. doi: 10.17352/2455-5479.000032.
- [17] Alsalmi MA, Almalki HM, Alghamdi AA, Aljasir BA. Knowledge, attitude and practice of blood donation among health professions students in Saudi Arabia; A cross-sectional study. Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care. 2019 Jul; 8(7): 2322-7. doi: 10.4103/ jfmpc.jfmpc\_415\_19.
- [18] Al-Asadi JN and Al-Yassen AQ. Knowledge, attitude and practice of blood donation among university students in Basrah, Iraq: a comparison between medical and non-medical students. Asian Journal of Medical Sciences. 2018 Oct; 9(6): 62-7. doi: 10.3126/ ajms.v9i6.20904.
- [19] Eshak ES, Ghazawy ER, Abd-El Rahman TA, Hafez SM. Crossing the wide gap between positive attitude

towards blood donation and its poor practice among university students: can knowledge and demographic characteristics help? Journal of Public Health. 2019 Jun; 27: 329-37. doi: 10.1007/s10389-018-0954-0.

[20] Cicolini G, Comparcini D, Alfieri S, Zito E, Marta E, Tomietto M *et al.* Nursing students' knowledge and attitudes of blood donation: A multicentre study. Journal of Clinical Nursing. 2019 May; 28(9-10): 1829-38. doi: 10.1111/jocn.14792.



# PAKISTAN BIOMEDICAL JOURNAL

https://www.pakistanbmj.com/journal/index.php/pbmj/index ISSN(P): 2709-2798, (E): 2709-278X Volume 7, Issue 4 (April 2024)



#### **Original Article**

Diabetes Distress, Depression and Coping Strategies in Adults with Type 2 Diabetes

ABSTRACT

collaborative care to them.

#### Nudra Malik<sup>1</sup>, Momina Arshad<sup>1</sup> and Amina Muazzam<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Applied Psychology, Lahore College for Women University, Lahore, Pakistan

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### Keywords:

Diabetes Type 2, Depression, Wellbeing, Beck Depression Inventory, Coping strategies

#### How to Cite:

Malik, N., Arshad, M., & Muazzam, A. (2024). Diabetes Distress, Depression and Coping Strategies in Adults with Type 2 Diabetes: Diabetes Distress, Depression and Coping . Pakistan BioMedical Journal, 7(04). https://doi.org/10.54393/pbmj.v7i04.1076

#### \*Corresponding Author:

Nudra Malik

Department of Applied Psychology, Lahore College for Women University, Lahore, Pakistan nudramalik@gmail.com

Received Date: 19th March, 2024 Acceptance Date: 25th April, 2024 Published Date: 30<sup>th</sup> April, 2024

#### INTRODUCTION

Worldwide, 463 adults are estimated to have diabetes with 90% of the people suffering from Type 2 diabetes mellitus [1]. Pakistan is ranked 3rd in diabetes prevalence in the world after China and India and according to the International Diabetes Federation (2022), about 27% of the people are suffering from diabetes in Pakistan which makes it almost 33,000,000 cases. This is an alarmingly high number which is increasing every year. Not only this but a large number of patients remains undiagnosed exacerbating to risk of diabetes related complications even higher [2]. Diabetes distress refers to the emotional distress related to living with diabetes and managing it, and not attributable to any other factors of general emotional distress or mental health issues [3]. A number of adults living with diabetes go through high levels of emotional distress originating from their apprehensions and uncertainties about diabetes. Diabetes distress affects individuals' mood, relationships, guality of life and overall diabetes management. Individuals experiencing diabetes distress get less benefit with the diabetes treatment and need be supported by the doctor and family by encouraging them to use coping strategies to overcome diabetes distress [4]. Effective coping skills help the patients in improving perception about themselves, improving their ability to cope up with the situation, minimizing disease burden and by involving others to assist with the situation. Often the emotional distress of adjustment resulting by negative disease appraisals can result in maladaptive coping behaviors which may result in poor disease management. Health professionals should address the aspects of healthy emotional state by providing effective coping strategies for diabetes distress while providing

People with diabetes often experience two mental health conditions which are diabetes

distress and depression. Both the conditions affect them negatively and increase their risk of

diabetes complications and poor disease management. Objectives: To find out the prevalence of diabetes distress and depression in our sample and examine the relationship among diabetes

distress, depression and coping strategies among adults with Type 2 diabetes. Methods: Cross-

sectional research design was used in this study. The sample consisted of 125 patients of Type 2

diabetes with age range between 40 to 55 years (M=49.94, SD=4.21). Non probability purposive

sampling technique was used to select the sample. Diabetes distress scale, depression scale

and coping scale were used to assess the study variables. **Results:** 34% patients had moderate

distress worthy of attention. Being woman, younger age, having poor coping skills and depression were significantly associated with diabetes distress. Conclusions: High rates of

diabetes distress in the study highlights the significance of identifying distress and other

mental health issues in individuals having diabetes to provide psychological management and

standard medical treatment of diabetes as well [5]. Coping skills have important role in helping individuals with diabetes distress and are recommended as a helpful tool for diabetes distress prevention. Coping skills like acceptance, optimism, planning, action and self-care could be learned and acquired by the patients and facilitate them in taking proper diet, regular exercise, taking proper medications, and self-monitoring[6]. Depression is highly prevalent in diabetic population. The prevalence rates of depression are 3 times higher in patients with type-1 diabetes and 2 times higher in people with type-2 diabetes as compared to the worldwide general population. Patients with depression and diabetes tend to have poor prognosis and higher death rates. An individual experiences psychological burden after being diagnosed with diabetes which could trigger symptoms of depression. Comorbid depression could result in reduction in quality of life and have more negative impact on an individual. In such a case, diabetes and depression should be treated simultaneously to avoid health related complications and to maintain diabetes control in patients. Depression is usually under diagnosed and remains untreated in diabetic patients and awareness should be made for depression in diabetes to improve health-related consequences and there should be method to screen depression in diabetic follow up [7]. Biologically, depression and diabetes are linked with the dysfunction in hypothalamic pituitary adrenal (HPA) axis. Cortisol (stress hormone) increases during the stimulation of hypothalamic pituitary adrenal axis. Excess stress hormone levels (cortisol) results in increased glucose level. Depressive symptoms are worsened by changes in monoamine system and hippocampus by induction of cortisol. Collaborative care interventions are effective in comorbid depression and diabetes which leads to increased health care and improved glycemic control in diabetic patients. According to guidelines given by American Diabetes Association, a stepwise collaborative care technique is essential for the management of depression in patients with diabetes. It could consist of a multidisciplinary team which provides recommendations to reduce potential risk of incidents of diabetes and depression by providing education, medication managing technique and focuses on patient's empowerment by developing appropriate coping skills in them with regular supervision combined with treatment intervention, education, learning and mental support to facilitate patient outcomes [8]. Population based studies have shown diabetes distress and depression to be fairly prevalent among adults with type 2 diabetes [9, 10].

Despite all the data, there is not much data available in Pakistan examining the factors associated with diabetes distress. Although work has been done on depression in diabetes patients in Pakistan but diabetes distress is not given much attention to. Hence, this study aimed at examining diabetes-specific distress, depression and coping skills in patients with type 2 diabetes in Pakistani population.

#### METHODS

Cross-sectional research design was used in the study in order to investigate the relationship between diabetes distress, depression and coping strategies among adults with diabetes. Non probability purposive sampling technique was used in the study. The sample consisted of 125 patients having diabetes with age range between 40-59 years (M=49.94, SD=4.21). The sample size was selected through G power analysis. Data was collected from outdoor units of two private hospitals of Lahore. For inclusion, patients with selected if they had: confirmed diagnosis of Type 2 diabetes; their minimum duration of illness was at least one year. Individuals with diagnosis of any other diabetes, or women with gestational diabetes were not included. Also individuals having a diagnosis of any terminal illness were also excluded. The data were collected between January 2023 and April 2023. Demographic form was used for information on gender, age, marital status, education, occupation and duration of disease. Diabetes Distress Scale consisting of 17 items was used in the study. Its reliability for the scale is .92. The response format ranges from '1' not a problem to '6' a very significant problem based on a six-point Likert scale. This scale explains four critical dimensions of distress which include emotional burden, regimen distress, interpersonal distress and physician distress. The total score obtained by an individual and the mean score is used to determine the severity of distress with little/no distress (0-2), moderate distress (2-2.9), and severe distress (3 and more) [11]. Beck Depression Inventory was used to assess the presence and degree of depressive symptoms. It consists of 21 items and a score of 0-13 is considered minimal, 14-19 is mild, 20-28 is moderate, and 29-63 is severe depression. It has excellent internal consistency reliability [12]. To assess the level of coping in our sample, the 13 item coping scale was used. The response items of the scale range from 1 (not true about me) to 4 (mostly true about me). The score ranges between 13-52 and higher scores indicate better coping [13]. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Convener, research Ethics, Institutional Review Board of Lahore College for Women University vide letter no. ORIC/LCW/447. Approval was also obtained from the hospital authorities for collecting data from the patients visiting the diabetes units. The consent to use the scales was taken from the authors of the scales. Complete briefing was given to the participants about the purpose and nature of the study. Informed consent was taken from the participants and they were assured that the

information would remain confidential and be used for research purpose only. Confidentiality, anonymity and privacy was assured. Next according to inclusive criteria, the study participants of interest were approached. The questionnaires were given to the participants to be filled and instructions were given. The participants had to pick any one option according to his/her choice on the basis of previous experiences. The maximum time taken by each participant for filling the form was 10-15 minutes. After data collection, data were entered into SPSS software and was analyzed by using SPSS version 22.0. In the first step, frequencies and percentages were computed for socio demographic and clinical characteristics of sample to get clear information about the characteristics of sample. Pearson product moment correlation was applied to gauge the relationship between main study variables (diabetes distress, depression, coping strategies) as well as with the demographics (gender, age, marital status, duration of disease) in the study. In the next step, multiple linear regression analysis was applied for diabetes distress and coping to predict depression in adults with diabetes.

#### RESULTS

The demographic and clinical characteristics of the sample have been numerically represented in the table 1. Sample consisted of both genders with 46.4% males and 53.6% females. Most of the patients were married that made 76.8% while 23.2% were single. 55.2% were unemployed while 44.8% were employed. The mean duration of illness was 3.85 and standard deviation was 2.06. The percentages of patients falling into various categories of diabetes distress and depression are also given in table 1. Almost 46% of the patients had moderate distress and 40% were experiencing severe distress. For depression, 26% patients had mild, 33% moderate and 7% had severe depression.

**Table 1:** Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of the Sample (N=125)

| Characteristics  | F (%)        |  |  |  |  |
|------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Age              |              |  |  |  |  |
| Mean ± SD        | 49.94 ± 4.21 |  |  |  |  |
| Gender           |              |  |  |  |  |
| Male             | 58(46.4)     |  |  |  |  |
| Female           | 67(53.6)     |  |  |  |  |
| Marital Status   |              |  |  |  |  |
| Married          | 96 (76.8)    |  |  |  |  |
| Single           | 29(23.2)     |  |  |  |  |
| Occupation       |              |  |  |  |  |
| Employed         | 56(44.8)     |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployed       | 69(55.2)     |  |  |  |  |
| Duration of Diab | etes         |  |  |  |  |
| Mean ± SD        | 3.85 ± 2.06  |  |  |  |  |

| Depression Level  |              |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| No or Minimal     | 52 (41.6)    |  |  |  |  |
| Mild              | 43(34.4)     |  |  |  |  |
| Moderate          | 21(16.8)     |  |  |  |  |
| Severe            | 09(7.2)      |  |  |  |  |
| Diabetes Distress |              |  |  |  |  |
| No Distress       | 28(22.4)     |  |  |  |  |
| Moderate Distress | 57(45.6)     |  |  |  |  |
| High Distress     | 40(32)       |  |  |  |  |
| Coping            |              |  |  |  |  |
| Mean ± SD         | 26.18 ± 3.11 |  |  |  |  |

Results in table 2 revealed that there was a strong positive correlation between age and duration of disease ( $r=.51^{**}$ ) and moderate negative correlation between age and diabetes distress ( $r=-.16^{*}$ ) indicating higher distress in younger age. There was a significant moderate relationship between duration of disease and diabetes distress ( $r=.146^{*}$ ) which indicated that distress increased with more duration of diabetes. Distress also had a strong relationship with coping strategies ( $r=-.239^{**}$ ) and depression ( $r=.427^{**}$ ) which showed that with higher levels of distress there was less coping and higher depression levels. There was a significant relationship between coping strategies and depression( $r=.458^{**}$ ).

 Table 2: Bivariate Correlations among Main Study Variables

 (N=125)

| Variables                | 1 | 2    | 3    | 4      | 5     | 6     | 7      |
|--------------------------|---|------|------|--------|-------|-------|--------|
| Gender                   | - | .085 | 135  | 038    | .053  | .104  | .056   |
| Age                      | - | -    | 177* | .509** | 161*  | 067   | .127   |
| Marital Status           | - | -    | -    | 042    | 043   | 099   | 172    |
| Duration of Disease      | - | -    | -    | -      | .146* | 129   | .045   |
| <b>Diabetes Distress</b> | - | -    | -    | -      | -     | 239** | .427** |
| Coping                   | - | -    | -    | -      | -     | -     | 458**  |
| Depression               | - | -    | -    | -      | -     | -     | -      |

Note: \*\*Correlations is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)

\* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)

Table 3 reports the results of multiple linear regression. It was hypothesized that diabetes distress and use of coping will predict depression in individuals with diabetes. The results showed that diabetes distress ( $\beta$ =.33\*\*) and coping methods ( $\beta$ =.29\*) were significant predictors of depression. The overall model was also significant [F=10.38; p<.05] and the predictor variables contributed to 35% variance in depression.

 Table 3: Multiple Linear Regression Analysis for Depression (N=125)

| Verieblee            | Depression |      |       |  |  |
|----------------------|------------|------|-------|--|--|
| variables            | В          | SEB  | β     |  |  |
| Duration of Diabetes | .10        | .021 | .21   |  |  |
| Diabetes Distress    | .13        | .024 | .33** |  |  |
| Coping               | .11        | .01  | .29*  |  |  |
| R <sup>2</sup>       | .35        |      |       |  |  |
| F                    | 10.38**    |      |       |  |  |

#### DISCUSSION

This study identified the level of diabetes distress and depression in individuals with Type 2 diabetes and examined its association with coping strategies. The study found a significant number of individuals reporting a moderate(46%) to high(32%) level of distress. The findings are consistent with previous studies conducted in Pakistan. A study conducted in Islamabad, Pakistan also reported similar statistics with 76.2% patients to experience diabetes distress with 47% having moderate and 29% having high level distress. The findings are also comparable to that of studies conducted elsewhere which have reported high levels of distress in these patients. Findings from other countries have reported diabetes distress prevalence of 64% in China [14], 37% in Iran [15] and 42% in India [16]. Our study found a substantial number of patients reporting moderate (17%) to severe (7%) symptoms of depression. This presence of depression symptoms observed in our study is quite similar with other studies which have indicated both diabetes distress and depression to be prevalent among these patients. However, the rate of depression found in our study was lower as compared to studies conducted elsewhere which have reported a prevalence of 28% to 44% depression in diabetic patients. Two other studies reported depressive symptoms to be present in 22% [17] and 58% [18] of the diabetic patients. The prevalence of depression was assessed in Kuwait and the results indicated that there is high prevalence of depression in individuals with diabetes distress with a rate of comorbid depression and diabetes distress to be 29% and 14% respectively [19]. Diabetes distress is an important condition which involves worries and concerns of the patients about their disease management, emotional burden and access to healthcare resources [4]. Our study found a significant correlation between diabetes distress, depression and coping in adults with diabetes indicating that increase in diabetes distress and depressive symptoms were associated with decrease in coping skills and vice versa. Studies conducted on role of coping mechanisms in diabetes have also supported this finding [20]. Another study found active coping skills to be associated with better health outcomes and maladaptive coping to be associated with low quality of life, and more

depressive symptoms and distress [21]. Our study found significantly higher distress levels in younger adults as compared to older ones. Consistent with other studies, this could be due to the reason that diabetes needs a lot of lifestyle adjustments and is stressful for them to cope with a chronic disease demands. This suggests that these individuals could benefit from clinical attention to lower their distress. Our study found diabetes distress and level of coping to be significant predictors of depression. This finding supports the existing literature and is comparable to other studies that signifies not only the co-occurrence of depression and diabetes distress in patients with diabetes but also indicates diabetes distress as a predictor of depression [22]. This could be due to the reason that diabetes is a health condition that requires lifetime management and could place emotional and psychological burden on the patient. They experience setbacks with diabetes self-care and management and consequently may avoid dealing with their diabetes and experience setbacks, such as hypoglycemia, hyperglycemia contributing further to their feelings of distress and depression [23]. The findings of the study could be implicated in health settings. As high levels of diabetes distress can also lead to poor diabetes management and lack of self-care behaviors, hence, health psychologists and clinical psychologists may help patients by devising proper management plans for their health and wellbeing.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The study concluded that diabetes distress and depression (mild to severe) were prevalent in patients with Type 2 diabetes. Also, higher levels of diabetes distress and poor coping strategies predicted depressive symptoms in these patients. However, diabetes and depression can be managed by diabetes self-management programs to help patients manage diabetes distress and depression through effective coping and improve their quality of life.

#### Authors Contribution

Conceptualization: NM, AM Methodology: NM, MA Formal analysis: NM, AM, MA Writing-review and editing: NM

All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

#### Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### Source of Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas, 10th ed. Brussels,Belgium: 2021. [Last cited: 5th May 2024]. Available at:https://www.diabetes atlas.org.
- [2] The News. Pakistan ranks 3rd in prevalence of diabetes in world after China and India. 2021. [Last cited: 5th May 2024]. Available at: https://www. thenews.com.pk/print/899124-pakistan-ranks-3rdin-prevalence-of-diabetes-in-world-after-chinaand-india.
- [3] Fisher L, Gonzalez JS, Polonsky WH. The confusing tale of depression and distress in patients with diabetes: a call for greater clarity and precision. Diabetic Medicine. 2014 Jul; 31(7): 764-72. doi: 10.1111/ dme.12428.
- [4] Hoogendoorn CJ, Shapira A, Roy JF, Kane NS, Gonzalez JS. Diabetes distress and quality of life in adults with diabetes. Behavioral Diabetes: Social Ecological Perspectives for Pediatric and Adult Populations. 2020: 303-28. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-33286-0\_20.
- [5] Berry E, Lockhart S, Davies M, Lindsay JR, Dempster M. Diabetes distress: understanding the hidden struggles of living with diabetes and exploring intervention strategies. Postgraduate Medical Journal. 2015 May; 91(1075): 278-83. doi: 10.1136/post gradmedj-2014-133017.
- [6] Kalra B, Kalra S, Balhara YP, Verma K, Azam AA, Shaikh FA. The GlucoCoper-An Exploratory Study to Assess Coping Mechanisms of Women Diagnosed with Diabetes Mellitus. European Endocrinology. 2019 Apr; 15(1): 53. doi: 10.17925/EE.2019.15.1.53.
- [7] Bădescu SV, Tătaru C, Kobylinska L, Georgescu EL, Zahiu DM, Zăgrean AM et al. The association between diabetes mellitus and depression. Journal of Medicine and Life. 2016 Apr; 9(2): 120.
- [8] Manigault KR. The bidirectional relationship between depression and diabetes. U.S. Pharmacist – The Leading Journal in Pharmacy. 2016 Nov; 41(11): 26-9.
- [9] Halliday JA, Hendrieckx C, Busija L, Browne JL, Nefs G, Pouwer F et al. Validation of the WHO-5 as a firststep screening instrument for depression in adults with diabetes: Results from Diabetes MILES-Australia. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice. 2017 Oct; 132: 27-35. doi: 10.1016/j. diabres. 2017.07.005.
- [10] Fenwick EK, Rees G, Holmes-Truscott E, Browne JL, Pouwer F, Speight J. What is the best measure for assessing diabetes distress? A comparison of the Problem Areas in Diabetes and Diabetes Distress Scale: results from Diabetes MILES-Australia.

Journal of Health Psychology. 2018 Apr; 23(5): 667-80. doi: 10.1177/1359105316642006.

- [11] Fisher L, Hessler DM, Polonsky WH, Mullan J. When is diabetes distress clinically meaningful? Establishing cut points for the Diabetes Distress Scale. Diabetes Care. 2012 Feb; 35(2): 259-64. doi: 10.2337/dc11-1572.
- [12] Wang YP and Gorenstein C. The Beck depression inventory: Uses and applications. In: The neuroscience of depression. Academic Press; 2021: 165-74. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-817933-8.00020-7.
- [13] Hamby S, Grych JH, Banyard V. Coping Scale. Research Gate. 2015: 3–5. doi: 10.13140/RG.2.1.3094. 0001.
- [14] Tang MF, Guo MX, Zhang ML, Yuan ML, Gan MT, Wang MM et al. The prevalence of Diabetes Distress in Chinese patients with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice. 2023 Nov: 110996. doi: 10.1016/j.dia bres.2023.110996.
- [15] Niroomand M, Babaniamansour S, Aliniagerdroudbari E, Golshaian A, Meibodi AM, Absalan A. Distress and depression among patients with diabetes mellitus: prevalence and associated factors: a cross-sectional study. Journal of Diabetes & Metabolic Disorders. 2021 Jun; 20: 141-51. doi: 10.1007/s40200-020-00721y.
- [16] Patra S, Patro BK, Padhy SK, Mantri J. Prevalence of diabetes distress and its relationship with selfmanagement in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Industrial Psychiatry Journal. 2021 Jul; 30(2): 234-9. doi: 10.4103/ipj.ipj\_60\_19.
- [17] Hamdan-Mansour AM, Al Badawi T, Haourani E, Marmash LR. Depression, psychological distress and coping skills among patients diagnosed with type-II Diabetes Mellitus. Life Sciences Journal. 2013; 10(4): 3044-48.
- [18] De Groot M, Anderson R, Freedland KE, Clouse RE, Lustman PJ. Association of depression and diabetes complications: a meta-analysis. Psychosomatic Medicine. 2001 Jul; 63(4): 619-30. doi: 10.1097/00006 842-200107000-00015.
- [19] Geleta B, Dingata S, Emanu M, Kebede E, Eba L, Abera K. Prevalence of depression and associated factors among type 2 diabetes patients attending hospitals in Ilu AbaBor and Bunno Bedelle Zones, South West Ethiopia, 2020: a cross sectional study. Journal of Depression and Anxiety. 2021; 9: 388. doi: 10.2147/ PROM.S290412.
- [20] Basuki PI. Analysis of Psychosocial Factors Affecting Physical Activity Behaviour of People with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in Indonesia. Nursing and Health Sciences Journal. 2023 Dec; 3(4): 435-42. doi: 10.537

13/nhsj.v3i4.299.

- [21] Hapunda G. Coping strategies and their association with diabetes specific distress, depression and diabetes self-care among people living with diabetes in Zambia. BMC Endocrine Disorders. 2022 Aug; 22(1): 215. doi: 10.1186/s12902-022-01131-2.
- [22] Wojujutari AK, Idemudia ES, Ugwu LE. Psychological resilience mediates the relationship between diabetes distress and depression among persons with diabetes in a multi-group analysis. Scientific Reports. 2024 Mar; 14(1): 6510. doi: 10.1038/s41598-024-57212-w.
- [23] Hu Y, Li L, Zhang J. Diabetes distress in young adults with type 2 diabetes: a cross-sectional survey in China. Journal of Diabetes Research. 2020 Jun; 2020. doi: 10.1155/2020/4814378.



# PAKISTAN BIOMEDICAL JOURNAL

https://www.pakistanbmj.com/journal/index.php/pbmj/index ISSN (P): 2709-2798, (E): 2709-278X Volume 7, Issue 4 (April 2024)



#### **Original Article**

Gratitude, Self-Efficacy and Self-Care Behaviors among Patients with Cardiovascular Diseases

#### Sadia Khan<sup>1</sup>, Nudra Malik<sup>1\*</sup> and Raumish Masud Khan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Applied Psychology, Lahore College for Women University, Lahore, Pakistan <sup>2</sup>Department of Applied Psychology, Kinnaird College for Women, Lahore, Pakistan

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### Keywords:

Gratitude, Self-Care, Cardiovascular Diseases, Inclusive Healthcare, Self-Efficacy

#### How to Cite:

Khan, S., Malik, N., & Masud Khan, R. (2024). Gratitude, Self-Efficacy and Self-Care Behaviors among Patients with Cardiovascular Diseases: Gratitude, Self-Efficacy and Self-Care Behavior. Pakistan BioMedical Journal, 7(04). https://doi.org/1 0.54393/pbmj.v7i04.1078

#### \*Corresponding Author:

Nudra Malik

Department of Applied Psychology, Lahore College for Women University, Lahore, Pakistan nudra.malik@lcwu.edu.pk

Received Date: 23<sup>rd</sup> March, 2024 Acceptance Date: 28<sup>th</sup> April, 2024 Published Date: 30<sup>th</sup> April, 2024

#### INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular diseases (CVD) are a leading cause of mortality all over the world affecting millions of individuals and imposing a significant burden on the healthcare systems. Approximately 17.9 million individuals suffered from CVDs in 2019 only and accounted for one-third of mortalities globally. However, more alarming is the fact that about three quarters of these deaths occurred in lowand middle-income countries [1]. This calls for a critical need to devise strategies aimed at reducing prevalence and/or adverse impact of CVDs on people. Pakistan also struggles with the rising numbers of CVD cases and lacks a policy to prevent and manage it effectively. A lot of research literature has examined the role of psychological conditions like depression, anxiety, stress and hostility on

ABSTRACT

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is a complex disease with persistent course of treatment having serious consequences for the patients' wellbeing. Positive psychological factors can play a significant role in improving CVD outcomes. **Objectives:** To better understand the intricate relationships among gratitude, self-efficacy, and self-care behaviors in individuals diagnosed with cardiovascular diseases. **Methods:** A quantitative cross-sectional research design was employed for the study. 160 patients with CVD aged between 40 to 60 years (M=49.79, SD=5.59) were selected for the study through purposive sampling. The Gratitude Questionnaire, Self-Care Behavior Scale-9 and General Self Efficacy Scale were used to measure gratitude, self-care behaviors, and self-efficacy respectively. **Results:** Results revealed a significant relationship between gratitude, self-care behaviors and self-efficacy. The findings also indicated self-efficacy to be a significant predictor of self-care behaviors. **Conclusions:** The study advocates for inclusive healthcare interventions that emphasize the role of gratitude and self-efficacy on self-care behaviors for enhancing wellbeing of CVD patients. It contributes to the understanding of the psychological dynamics within CVD patients emphasizing the role of interventions that promote holistic well-being.

the onset, progression and outcomes of CVD[2]. However, more recently, an increasing number of studies are also focusing on the positive psychological traits that lead to better health and influence positive self-care behaviors in CVD patients [3]. These traits are observed to be associated with lower CVD risk factors and conditions. A heightened sense of wellbeing is also associated with reduced risk of secondary cardiovascular events and mortality rates [4]. Psychological traits that have positive impact on mental and physical health include optimism, life satisfaction, hope, gratitude and emotional vitality. Amongst these traits, gratitude interventions are the ones observed to be most effective in cardiology practice. Gratitude is a positive emotional response that is

characterized by an appreciation of positive aspects of life and a feeling of thankfulness for the received life benefits [5]. Growing gratitude research has demonstrated a wide range of benefits for CVD including better immunological and cardiovascular health. It is also associated with greater self-care and reduced disease discomfort [6]. Understanding the profound impact of gratitude on cardiovascular health is pivotal, yet studies investigating this relationship remain limited. Early research has indicated that individuals who attributed their heart attack to external factors are more likely to experience subsequent incidents, emphasizing the psychological dimension of cardiovascular health [7]. Further comprehensive reviews have underscored gratitude's potential in improving biomarkers associated with cardiovascular diseases. These studies collectively suggest that integrating gratitude into the self-care practices of cardiovascular disease patients may hold significant promise in enhancing their overall well-being and health outcomes [8]. Self-efficacy is an individual's confidence in his/her capability to achieve certain actions or behaviors necessary to accomplish desired results in managing their cardiovascular health. In the realm of cardiovascular health, self-efficacy emerges as a pivotal determinant of self-care behaviors among patients grappling with heart-related conditions. A series of studies have highlighted the profound impact of self-efficacy on various facets of cardiovascular management [9]. Research has indicated that higher levels of gratitude in individuals influence their self-efficacy and consequently reinforces treatment regimen specifically medication adherence in asymptomatic heart failure patients [10]. Similarly, studies emphasize that increased self-efficacy and understanding of one's condition significantly increases treatment compliance in patients with CVD. Literature has strongly highlighted the essential role of self-efficacy in practicing adherence to recommended treatment regimens and overall disease management in chronic diseases. All these findings demonstrate selfefficacy as an indispensable factor in shaping self-care behaviors and serve as a critical tool in enhancing the wellbeing and health outcomes in CVD patients [11]. Such valuable insights serve as a basis for holistic approach to cardiovascular care that includes not only medical interventions but also reinforcing self-efficacy to empower patients on their journey to better heart health. Self-care behaviors are a range of deliberate and proactive behaviors that people undertake for effective management of their condition and enhance their wellbeing. They include monitoring of vital functions, regular exercise, prescribed medication adherence, dietary modifications and timely interventions and medical care when needed [12]. As per recent empirical evidence, adherence to prescribed treatment regimen in CVD patients is largely influenced by perceived control, self-care confidence and disease knowledge in shaping adherence to recommended practices [13]. Strengthening of self-care behaviors for CVD patients is required for enhancing their quality of life and treatment outcomes [14]. Adherence to treatment regimen and self-care not only helps in stabilization of their condition but also lowers down the probability of repeated hospitalizations and complications. Moreover, self-care practices also empower the individuals in taking a proactive role in their health and adopting self-control in managing their disease. This underscores the need to effectively identify, promote and support self-care behaviors and make them a part of the care plan for CVD patients.

The current study aimed to examine the relationship between gratitude, self-efficacy and self-care behaviors in individuals having CVD. Furthermore, the study endeavored to uncover the predictive role of both selfefficacy and gratitude in influencing self-care behaviors within this context.

The current study aimed to examine the relationship between gratitude, self-efficacy and self-care behaviors in individuals having CVD. Furthermore, the study endeavored to uncover the predictive role of both selfefficacy and gratitude in influencing self-care behaviors within this context.

#### METHODS

The current study adopted a cross-sectional research design. Participants were selected based on specific variables of interest. The sample selection followed the non-probability purposive sampling approach. The total number of participants included in the study were 160 with age range of 40 to 60 years (M=49.79, SD=5.59). The sample size was determined by using the G power formula. The participants were recruited from the OPD of the hospital settings. The participants were taken from the District Head Quarter Hospital, Mianwali, Pakistan. The sample was drawn from the population of CVD patients who were having the disease for at least 6 months or above. The participants who were diagnosed with any psychological illness or refused to participate in the research or did not meet the inclusion criteria were excluded from the sample. After seeking consent, the participants were asked to fill the demographic sheet in which demographical information was required from the participants for instance age, gender, education, marital status, socioeconomic class, occupation, duration of disease and type of disease. The Gratitude Questionnaire Six Item form is a short self-report questionnaire which is used to assess the level of gratitude that people experience in their routine life activities. Individuals are asked to answer each

of the six statements on a 7-point Likert scale ranging from (1= "strongly agree", 7= "strongly disagree"). To ensure appropriate responses, two of the six items are reversely scored. It has internal reliability between .82 and .87 [15]. The European Heart Failure Self-Care Behavior Scale (EHFScBs) consisting of nine items is a self-report standardized scale that assesses self-care behavior of patients with CVDs. The participants mark their response on a five point Likert scale from totally agree to totally disagree. Initially the EHFScBS consisted of 19-item which are now reduced to 12-item and 9-item version. It has good internal consistency reliability of .77 [16]. The General selfefficacy scale is a self-administered scale which is designed to assess perceived self-efficacy in the individuals while facing challenging daily life problems and stressful life events. It consists of 10-items on which the responses are made on a 4-point scale. It has the reliability ranging from .76 to .90 [17]. The study adhered to ethical standards throughout the execution. All participants provided informed consent, and we obtained necessary permissions to use the assessment tools. The study received ethical approval from the Convener, Research Ethics, Institutional Review Board of Lahore College for Women University vide letter no. ORIC/LCWU/19. The hospital authorities also granted approval for data collection on their premises. Before administering the scales, participants received a clear explanation of the study's purpose and nature. We assured them of complete confidentiality and explained that the acquired information would only be used for research purposes and informed consent was obtained. These steps were taken to maintain the ethical guidelines and integrity of the study and protect the rights of the participants. The data were analyzed using SPSS version 26. Frequencies and percentages were calculated for socio demographic and clinical characteristics of sample. Next, internal consistency reliability of the measures used in the study was done. Bivariate correlation was applied to examine the relationship among study variables and last, multiple linear regression was run to determine the predictive role of gratitude and self-efficacy on self-care behaviors.

#### RESULTS

Table 1 consists of details of demographic characteristics of the participants. The sample consisted of 160 cardiovascular patients including males (N = 79) and females (N = 81). The mean age of patients was 49.79. All of the participants were married (100%). Maximum number of participants' education level was primary (32.5%), and then matriculation (18.8%), graduate (18.8%), intermediate (16.3%), and middle (13.8%). Most of the participants belongs to middle class (136%), lower class (10%) and upper class (5%). 36.9% participants were working, 45.6% nonworking, and 17.5% self-employed. Most common type of cardiovascular disease among the patients was heart failure (33.1%), then ischemic heart disease (24.4%), hypertension (19.4%), other (14.4%), angina (8.8%). Almost no participant come up with stroke. The duration of disease of most of the patients was more than one year (73.1%), and 26.9% had the duration from 6 months to 1 year.

**Table 1:** Demographic Characteristics of Participants (N=160)

| Variables              | F (%)               |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Age                    |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean <u>+</u> SD       | 49.79 <u>+</u> 5.59 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender                 |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male                   | 79(49.4)            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female                 | 81(50.6)            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Education Le           | vel                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary                | 52 (32.5)           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Middle                 | 22(13.8)            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Matriculation          | 30 (18.8)           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intermediate           | 26(16.3)            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduation             | 30 (18.8)           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marital Statu          | IS                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married                | 160 (100)           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occupation             | I                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working                | 87(54.4)            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not Working            | 73(45.6)            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type of Disea          | se                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stroke                 | 14 (8.8)            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Angina                 | 53 (33.1)           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heart Failure          | 31(19.4)            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hypertension           | 39(24.4)            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ischemic Heart Disease | 23(14.4)            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duration of Disc       | ease                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 Months to 1 Year     | 43 (26.9)           |  |  |  |  |  |
| More than One Year     | 117 (73.1)          |  |  |  |  |  |

*Note:* F = frequency, % = Percentage, SD = Standard Deviation

Table 2 gives details of the scales used in the study including number of items, mean, standard deviation and Cronbach's Alpha values of the study scales. All the scales were observed to have good reliability.

**Table 2:** Descriptive Statistics and Cronbach's Alpha of Study

 Scales(N=160)

| Scales                      | N  | Mean ± SD    | α   |
|-----------------------------|----|--------------|-----|
| Gratitude Questionnaire     | 6  | 33.54 ± 5.92 | .85 |
| Self-care Behaviors Scale   | 9  | 28.67 ± 4.25 | .63 |
| General Self-Efficacy Scale | 10 | 30.33 ± 5.99 | .87 |

Note: N = No. of items,  $\alpha = Cronbach's$  alpha

It was hypothesized that there will be a significant relationship among self-efficacy, gratitude and self-care behaviors in individuals with cardiovascular disease. Results in table 3 show that there was a significant positive correlation between gratitude and self-efficacy (r=.69, p<.01), and self-care behaviors (r=.49, p<.01), which meant that the higher the gratitude among cardiovascular patients, the higher will be the self-efficacy and self-care behaviors among them. Moreover, there was a significant positive correlation between self-efficacy and self-care behaviors (r=.67, p<.01), which indicated that the higher the self-efficacy among cardiovascular patients, the more will they adhere to self-care behaviors. Furthermore, the result had shown a significant positive correlation between education and self-care behaviors among cardiovascular patients (r=.20, p<.05), which reflected that as the education increases, self-care behaviors also increases. It had also been shown that there was significant negative correlation between the duration of disease and self-care behaviors (r=-.17, p<.05), which indicated that as the duration of disease increases, the patients becomes less concerned about their self-care behaviors.

| Measures            | Mean ± SD    | 1     | 2     | 3    | 4  | 5  | 6 |
|---------------------|--------------|-------|-------|------|----|----|---|
| Gratitude           | 33.54 ± 5.92 | -     | -     | -    | -  | -  | - |
| Self-Efficacy       | 30.33± 5.99  | .69** | -     | -    | -  | -  | - |
| Self-Care Behaviors | 28.67 ± 4.25 | .49** | .67** | -    | -  | -  | - |
| Age                 | 49.79 ± 5.59 | .09   | 04    | .01  | -  | -  | - |
| Education           | 2.75 ± 1.52  | .07   | .12   | .20* | 04 | -  | - |
| Duration of Disease | 1.73 ± .44   | 17*   | 05    | 05   | 09 | 05 | - |

Table 3: Correlations among Study Variables(N=160)

\*\*p<.01, \*p<.05

It was hypothesized that self-efficacy and gratitude will predict self-care behaviors in the patients with cardiovascular disease. Results in table 4 show the impact of gratitude, self-efficacy, age education, occupation and duration of disease on self-care behaviors among cardiovascular patients. The R2 value of .49 revealed that the predictor variables explained 49% variance in the outcome variable with F(2877.44) = 21.06, p<.001. The findings revealed self-efficacy as a significant positive predictor of self-care behaviors ( $\beta$ =.65, p<.001) whereas gratitude had no significant effect on self-care behaviors  $(\beta=.04, p>.05)$ . It indicated that increase in self-efficacy will increase the self-care behaviors in cardiovascular patients. Moreover, education was seen to be the significant positive predictor of self-care behaviors ( $\beta$ =.52, p<.01), whereas age ( $\beta$ =, p>.05), occupation ( $\beta$ =, p>.05) and duration of disease ( $\beta$ =, p>.05) did not have any significant effect on self-care behaviors.

**Table 4:** Linear Regression on Gratitude and Self-Efficacy as

 Predictors of Self-Care Behaviors(N=160)

| Measures      | В   | SE   | β       | R <sup>2</sup> | F |
|---------------|-----|------|---------|----------------|---|
| Constant      | -   | 1.49 | 9.84*** |                |   |
| Gratitude     | .03 | .06  | .02     |                |   |
| Self-Efficacy | .65 | .06  | .46***  |                |   |

| Age                 | .04  | .04 | .03   |     |       |
|---------------------|------|-----|-------|-----|-------|
| Education           | .19  | .18 | .52** | 4.0 | 21.06 |
| Occupation          | .17  | .36 | .80   | .49 | 21.00 |
| Duration of Disease | .001 | .57 | .01   |     |       |

Note: B = Unstandardized Beta, SE = Standard Error,  $\beta$  = Standardized Beta \*\*\*p<.001, \*\*p<.01

- - - - - - - - -

#### DISCUSSION

The study aimed at finding the relationships between selfefficacy, gratitude, and self-care behaviors in individuals diagnosed with cardiovascular conditions. The study's findings revealed significant relationships among self-care behaviors, gratitude and self-efficacy. This finding is in line with similar studies and showed a relationship between gratitude and self-care behaviors like treatment adherence through self-efficacy [18]. Additionally, selfefficacy had a stronger relationship to self-care behaviors as compared to gratitude, emphasizing its importance in motivating individuals to engage in proactive health practices, a principle well-established in existing research [19]. Notably, self-efficacy also emerged as a significant predictor of self-care behaviors, underscoring its pivotal role in motivating proactive health practices. However, the relationship of gratitude with self-care behaviors was insignificant. This finding is inconsistent with most of the recent literature which have indicated a significant influence of gratitude on self-care in CVD [20]. However, there have been studies which didn't find any relationship between the two emphasizing the need for further exploration into potential moderating factors [21]. Our results indicate that perceived efficacy plays a significant role in shaping self-care behaviors related to CVD management. Specifically, it was found that individuals who had higher levels of efficacy beliefs engaged more in proactive self-care behaviors like dietary adjustments, medicine adherence, inclusion of physical activity in daily routine, and regular checking of vital functions [22]. This finding strengthens the previous literature that one's belief in one's ability to manage their disease efficiently is a significant factor of self-care behaviors in CVD. Selfefficacy beliefs served as a stronger predictor of behaviors related to self-care than gratitude or demographic variables [23]. This emphasizes the significance of psychological factors in influencing health-related behaviors and outcomes among individuals with CVD. Education was also found to be a significant predictor of self-care behaviors in CVD patients in our study. This is consistent with previous studies and indicate that higher levels of education are more likely to make the patients adopt better lifestyle and indulge in proactive behaviors like taking healthy diet, adhering to medication and other treatment regimen. It suggests that education has its

Note: SD = Standard Deviation

significance in promoting health literacy, and facilitate informed decisions about positive health outcomes [24]. The results highlight the need for addressing self-efficacy beliefs for promotion of self-care behaviors among patients with CVD. Strategies like skills training and education that improve individuals' confidence in their ability to manage their condition should be incorporated by healthcare professionals. Our study also stresses the need to tailor interventions that could address barriers to selfcare and facilitate positive health outcomes for patients with CVD. Empowering the individuals to manage their condition effectively would lead to reduced hospitalizations and utilization of healthcare services.

#### CONCLUSIONS

This study has advanced our understanding of the relationships between self-efficacy, gratitude and selfcare behaviors in individuals with cardiovascular conditions. It indicated a significant relation among these variables and revealed self-efficacy to be a significant predictor of self-care behaviors in CVD. By shedding light on these intricate dynamics, the study contributes to the effectiveness of positive attributes and suggests use of more positive psychology interventions in this population for enhancing their overall health and well-being.

#### Authors Contribution

Conceptualization: SK, NM Methodology: SK, NM, RMK Formal analysis: NM, RMK Writing-review and editing: SK, NM, RMK

All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

#### Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### Source of Funding

The author received no financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

#### $\mathsf{R} \to \mathsf{F} \to \mathsf{R} \to$

- [1] World Health Organization. Health topics: Cardiovascular diseases. Fact Sheet. 2013 [Last cited: 25th Mar 2024]. Available at: http://www.who. int/cardiovascular\_diseases/en/.
- [2] Kubzansky LD, Huffman JC, Boehm JK, Hernandez R, Kim ES, Koga HK et al. Positive psychological wellbeing and cardiovascular disease: JACC health promotion series. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2018 Sep; 72(12): 1382–96. doi: 10.1016/j.ja cc.2018.07.042.
- [3] DuBois CM, Beach SR, Kashdan TB, Nyer MB, Park ER, Celano CM *et al.* Positive psychological attributes and

cardiac outcomes: associations, mechanisms, and interventions. Psychosomatics. 2012 Jul; 53(4): 303-18. doi: 10.1016/j.psym.2012.04.004.

- [4] DuBois CM, Lopez OV, Beale EE, Healy BC, Boehm JK, Huffman JC. Relationships between positive psychological constructs and health outcomes in patients with cardiovascular disease: A systematic review. International Journal of Cardiology. 2015 Sep; 195: 265-80. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2015.05.121.
- [5] Emmons RA and McCullough ME. Counting blessings versus burdens: an experimental investigation of gratitude and subjective well-being in daily life. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology. 2003 Feb; 84(2): 377. doi: 10.1037//0022-3514.84.2.377.
- [6] Wang X and Song C. The impact of gratitude interventions on patients with cardiovascular disease: a systematic review. Frontiers in Psychology. 2023 Sep; 14: 1243598. doi: 10.3389/fpsy g.2023.1243598.
- [7] Tennen H, Urrows S, Higgins P. Person and contextual features of daily stress reactivity: Individual differences in relations of undesirable daily events with mood disturbance and chronic pain intensity. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology. 1994 Feb; 66(2): 329-40. doi: 10.1037//0022-3514.66.2.329.
- [8] Cousin L, Redwine L, Bricker C, Kip K, Buck H. Effect of gratitude on cardiovascular health outcomes: a state-of-the-science review. The Journal of Positive Psychology. 2021 May; 16(3): 348-55. doi: 10.1080/1743 9760.2020.1716054.
- [9] Hatef M, Sharif Nia H, Mousavinasab N, Esmaeili R, Shafipour V. Self-efficacy and prediction of associated factors in patients with chronic diseases. Journal of Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences. 2018 Jul; 28(162): 86-94.
- [10] Gallagher S, Solano AC, Liporace MF. State, but not trait gratitude is associated with cardiovascular responses to acute psychological stress. Physiology & Behavior. 2020 Jul; 221: 112896. doi: 10.1016/j.physb eh.2020.112896.
- [11] Mobini S, Allahbakhshian A, Shabanloei R, Sarbakhsh P. Illness perception, self-efficacy, and medication adherence in patients with coronary artery disease: A path analysis of conceptual model. SAGE Open Nursing. 2023 Jun; 9: 23779608231171772. doi: 10.1177/23779608231171772.
- [12] Riegel B, Moser DK, Buck HG, Dickson VV, Dunbar SB, Lee CS et al. Self-care for the prevention and management of cardiovascular disease and stroke: A scientific statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association. Journal of the American Heart Association. 2017 Aug; 6(9): e006997.

doi: 10.1161/JAHA.117.006997.

- [13] Heo S, Moser DK, Lennie TA, Riegel B, Chung ML. Gender differences in and factors related to self-care behaviors: a cross-sectional, correlational study of patients with heart failure. International Journal of Nursing Studies. 2008 Dec; 45(12): 1807-15. doi: 10.101 6/j.ijnurstu.2008.05.008.
- [14] Bagheri-Saweh MI, Lotfi A, Salawati Ghasemi S. Selfcare behaviors and related factors in chronic heart failure patients. International Journal of Biomedicine and Public Health. 2018 Jan; 1(1): 42-7. doi: 10.22631/I jbmph.2018.56100.
- [15] McCullough ME, Emmons RA, Tsang JA. The grateful disposition: a conceptual and empirical topography. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology. 2002 Jan; 82(1): 112. doi: 10.1037//0022-3514.82.1.112.
- [16] Jaarsma T, Strömberg A, Mårtensson J, Dracup K. Development and testing of the European heart failure self-care behaviour scale. European Journal of Heart Failure. 2003 Jun; 5(3): 363-70. doi: 10.1016/ S1388-9842(02)00253-2.
- [17] Schwarzer R and Jerusalem M. Generalized selfefficacy scale. In: Weinman J, Wright S, Johnston M. Measures in health psychology: A user's portfolio. Causal and control beliefs. Windsor, UK: NFER-NELSON. 1995: 35-7.
- [18] Cousin L, Buck H, Benitez B, Mills P, Redwine L. A structural equation model of gratitude, self-efficacy, and medication adherence in patients with stage B heart failure. Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing. 2020 Nov; 35(6): E18-24. doi: 10.1097/JCN.00000000 00000721.
- [19] Peyman N, Shahedi F, Abdollahi M, Doosti H, Zadehahmad Z. Impact of self-efficacy strategies education on self-care behaviors among heart failure patients. The Journal of Tehran University Heart Center. 2020 Jan; 15(1): 6. doi: 10.18502/jthc.v15i1.333 2.
- [20] Celano CM, Beale EE, Beach SR, Belcher AM, Suarez L, Motiwala SR et al. Associations between psychological constructs and cardiac biomarkers after acute coronary syndrome. Psychosomatic Medicine. 2017 Apr; 79(3): 318-26. doi: 10.1097/PSY.00 0000000000404.
- [21] Moieni M, Irwin MR, Haltom KE, Jevtic I, Meyer ML, Breen EC *et al.* Exploring the role of gratitude and support-giving on inflammatory outcomes. Emotion. 2019 Sep; 19(6): 939. doi: 10.1037/emo0000472.
- [22] Nuraeni A, Sugiharto F, Anna A, Sari E, Mirwanti R, Trisyani Y et al. Self-efficacy in self-care and its related factors among patients with coronary heart disease in Indonesia: a rasch analysis. Vascular

Health and Risk Management. 2023 Dec: 583-93. doi: 10.2147/VHRM.S427488.

Sugiharto F, Nuraeni A, Trisyani Y, Putri AM,

[23] Armansyah NA, Zamroni AH. A scoping review of predictors associated with self-efficacy among patients with coronary heart disease. Vascular Health and Risk Management. 2023 Dec: 719-31. doi: 10.2147/VHRM.S435288.

González B, Lupón J, Domingo MD, Cano L, Cabanes

[24] R, De Antonio M et al. Educational level and self-care behaviour in patients with heart failure before and after nurse educational intervention. European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing. 2014 Oct; 13(5): 459-65. doi: 10.1177/1474515113510810.



# PAKISTAN BIOMEDICAL JOURNAL

https://www.pakistanbmj.com/journal/index.php/pbmj/index ISSN(P): 2709-2798, (E): 2709-278X Volume 7, Issue 4 (April 2024)



#### **Original Article**

#### Prevalence of Anemia in Community-Acquired Pneumonia Patients

#### Samina Saeed<sup>°</sup>, Muhammad Usman Yaqub², Aysha Ghayyur¹, Shazia Siddique¹, Muhammad Anwar³ and Ayesha Afzal'

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine, Allama Iqbal Medical College, Lahore, Pakistan <sup>2</sup>Avicenna Medical College and Hospital, Lahore, Pakistan <sup>3</sup>Department of Paediatrics Medicine, Rashid Latif Medical College, Lahore, Pakistan

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### ABSTRACT

#### Keywords:

Anemia, Diabetes Mellitus, Pneumonia, Prevalence

#### How to Cite:

Saeed, S., Yaqub, M. U., Ghayyur, A., Siddique, S., Anwar, M., & Afzal, A. (2024). Prevalence of Anemia in Community-Acquired Pneumonia Patients: Anemia Prevalence in Hospitalized Pneumonia Patients. Pakistan BioMedical Journal, 7(04). https://doi.org/ 10.54393/pbmj.v7i04.1088

#### \*Corresponding Author:

Samina Saeed Department of Medicine, Allama Iqbal Medical College, Lahore, Pakistan saminasaeedsaeed1@gmail.com

Received Date: 8th April, 2024 Acceptance Date: 28th April, 2024 Published Date: 30<sup>th</sup> April, 2024

# The frequency of anemia in intensive care units is well documented. Less is known, however,

about the prevalence of anaemia in hospitalised patients with community-acquired pneumonia, which is one of the common reasons for hospitalisation, affecting both healthy and co-morbid individuals and is usually not accompanied with acute blood loss. Objective: To determine the frequency of anemia in patients presenting with pneumonia. Methods: This descriptive crosssectional study was conducted with pneumonia patients at Department of Medicine Pulmonology in HDU/ICU Jinnah Hospital, Lahore curing thr period of three months. The 345 subjects with mean age 44.4 ± 9.4 (range: 20 - 60) years, male to female ratio 1:1.12, diagnosed with pneumonia underwent assessment of hemoglobin levels at presentation. Relationship between anemia and other co-morbidities has been evaluated that included duration of pneumonia, obesity, diabetes mellitus and hypertension. Results: The mean duration of pneumonia symptoms was 8.7 ± 6.9 (range: 2-25) days whereas the mean hemoglobin concentration was found  $12.3 \pm 6.9$  g/dL ranged from 7.1 –14.6 g/dL. The prevalence of anemia was found to be 37.7% and 215(62.3%) of the 345 patients have shown normal hemoglobin levels. Female gender, higher age, greater duration of pneumonia symptoms and presence of diabetes mellitus significantly correlated with anemia in pneumonia (p-value < 0.05). Whereas, obesity did not have any significant effects on anemia in pneumonia (p-value > 0.05). Conclusions: Anemia was present in significant number of patients with pneumonia and was associated with female gender, Diabetes, Hypertension and prolonged duration of pneumonia.

#### INTRODUCTION

Pneumonia is the most common cause of infectious disease-related morbidity and mortality despite advancements in microbiological diagnostic testing, antibiotic therapy, and preventive measures [1]. The main reasons behind the rise in global mortality are the effects of pneumonia on chronic illnesses, the ageing of the population, and the virulence features of the causing bacterium Other then iron, zinc and vitamin d deficiency also play role in weakening of human defence mechanisms [2].The most common cause of pneumonia is pnemococcal pneumonia. When selecting an antibiotic medication, clinicians face numerous obstacles due to the increasing prevalence of multidrug-resistant bacteria, hard-to-treat pathogens, and the emergence of novel diseases [3]. In developing nations, Acute Respiratory

Tract (ARI) infections account for one in five childhood deaths; pneumonia causes 90% of these deaths. Severe Community Acquired Pneumonia (CAP) is more common in patients with underlying cardiac disease, lung diseases or previous hospitalization for pneumonia and the overall state of patients even get more worse when these patients became anemic. In vitro decresased red cell mass is associated with impaired phagocytic capacity and decreases cell mediated imunity [4]. Thirty percent of patients with Community Acquired Pneumonia (CAP) or pneumococcal pneumonia have been shown to have low haemoglobin levels [5]. Risk factors( clinical / laboratrical) associated with nosocomial pneumonia development in adult hospitalized patients are severe anemia, severe hypoalbuminemia, altered consciousness, and previous

use of antibiotics [6]. Anemia's unexplained feature could indicate an underlying ailment or put a person at risk for infection. In the Pneumonia Severity Index (PSI), low hematocrit has been associated with a poor outcome for community-acquired pneumonia. According to the pneumonia prognosis, inpatient CAP patients frequently have low hematocrit and haemoglobin levels, associated with longer stay at hospital [7]. Han *et al.*, reported anemia (hemolytic type) in a patient with Mycoplasma pneumonia, after receiving antimicrobial therapy, lung lesions healed and haemoglobin levels increased [8]. The prevalenceof anaemia among hospitalised patients with less severe conditions or no organ dysfunction is less welldocumented.

The current study aims to ascertain the prevalence of anemia and its potential function as a risk factor among hospitalised pneumonia patients.

#### METHODS

A descriptive cross-sectional study was carried out at the Medical floor, Pulmonology and HDU/ICU of Jinnah Hospital Lahore for a period of three months, after approval from the Ethical Review Board (ERB). The sample size of 345 cases was calculated using WHO Sample size calculator at 5% level of significance and 5% margin of error and 33.9% (97) expected percentage of anemia in pneumonia patients. The sampling was nonprobability, consecutive sampling. Male and female patients between the age of 20-60 years having pneumonia (as per operational definition) were included in the study. The study excludes cases of Cystic fibrosis, bronchiectasis, patients with comorbidities like Coronary Artery Disease (CAD), Chronic Liver Disease(CLD), Chronic Renal Failure (CRF) and bleeding disorders, previously diagnosed cases of brain tumor, tuberculous meningitis, viral or bacterial encephalitis or multiple sclerosis (based on history and medical record), Patients taking iron supplementation, Pregnant ladies and Patients not giving consent of participation. The patients admitted to the medical floor were selected as per inclusion and exclusion criteria. Confidentiality and anonymity-related issues were ensured. The data was collected on a self-designed preform formally approved by the Intuitional Ethical Review Committee. After informed consent the collected venous blood 3 ml samples were sent to the central laboratory of Jinnah Hospital Lahore to determine the hemoglobin levels to diagnose anemia within 24 hours of admission in hospital. The data was collected, compiled and analyzed statistically using SPSS. In order to determine the mean and standard deviation quantitative variables like age, symptom durations, and haemoglobin levels were used. For qualitative variables such as gender, diabetes, hypertension, anaemia (yes/no), frequencies and

percentages were computed. Stratification was used to control effect modifiers like age, gender, diabetes, hypertension, aetiology, residential status, and length of symptoms. To investigate the impact on result, the poststratification chi-square test was implemented. A p-value equal or less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

#### RESULTS

The table 1 represents the age distribution of population (345 subjects) in the study with average age 44.4  $\pm$  9.4 (range: 20 – 60) years. The highest number of patients were in the age group 41 – 50 years i.e., 165 (47.8%) with male to female ratio 1:1.12.

Table 1: Age Distribution of The Study Population

| Age Groups (Years)                             | Number Of Subjects N (%) |  |  |
|--|--------------------------|--|--|
| 21 - 30  | 21(3.1%)                 |  |  |
| 31 - 40  | 69(20%)                  |  |  |
| 41 - 50  | 165(47.8%)               |  |  |
| 51 - 60  | 90 (26.1%)               |  |  |
| Total  | 345(100%)                |  |  |
| Mean Age: 44.4 ± 9.4 years, Range: 20-60 years |                          |  |  |

The mean duration of pneumonia symptoms in under investigation population was  $8.7 \pm 6.9$  (full range 2 - 25) days. Majority (29.9%) of the patients fell in the duration of symptom group from 6 -10 days. Forty patients (11.6%) presented to us with pneumonia symptoms prevailing for more than 21 days. Table 2 represents the stratification of patients on basis of presence and absence of obesity, diabetes mellitus and hypertension. The 130 (37.7%) patients were identified to be obese whereas 215 (62.3%) did not fulfill the criteria of being obese. Similarly, 160 (46.3%) of the patients suffered from diabetes mellitus whereas 185 (53.7%) gave negative history about diabetes mellitus. In case of hypertension, 153 (44.3%) of the patients were hypertensive whereas 192 (55.7%) were normotensives.

**Table 2:** Stratification of Patients Based on Presence or Absenceof Obesity, Diabetes Mellitus and Hypertension

| Presence (Yes/No) | N (%)       |  |  |  |
|-------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Obesity           |             |  |  |  |
| Yes               | 130 (37.7%) |  |  |  |
| No                | 215(62.3%)  |  |  |  |
| Diabetes Mellitus |             |  |  |  |
| Yes               | 160 (46.3%) |  |  |  |
| No                | 185(53.7%)  |  |  |  |
| Hypertension      |             |  |  |  |
| Yes               | 153 (44.3%) |  |  |  |
| No                | 192 (55.7%) |  |  |  |

The average hemoglobin concentration value  $12.3 \pm 6.9$  g/dL was observed for the patients under observation. The details readings are listed in Table 3.

**Table 3:** Hemoglobin Concentration of our Study Population

| Hemoglobin (g/dL) | Number of Patients N (%) |
|-------------------|--------------------------|
| ≤ 10              | 10 (2.9%)                |
| 10.1 – 11.0       | 22(6.4%)                 |
| 11.1 – 12.0       | 98(28.4%)                |
| 12.1 - 13.0       | 150(43.5%)               |
| > 13.0            | 65(18.8%)                |

Mean Hemoglobin Levels:  $12.3 \pm 6.9$  g/dL, Range: 7.1 - 14.6 g/dL The prevalence of anemia was found 37.7% whereas 215 (62.3%) of the patients shown normal hemoglobin levels. The stratification of pneumonia patients with anemia diagnosis in context of age group are listed in Table 4. The data in Table 4 presents the effect of age to the prevalence of anemia. Maximum numbers of patients with anemia were in the age group 51-60 years. The 55 (61.1%) pneumonia patients were suffering from anemia in this age group. As far as effect of gender is concerned, the female population showed significantly higher prevalence of anemia than male population 80 (42.6%) in females versus 50 (31.8%) in males and the effect was statistically significant(p-value < 0.05).

**Table 4:** Relationship Between Anemia and age of The PneumoniaPatients

| Age Groups | Anemia      |             | Number of Subjects | p-     |
|------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|--------|
| (Years)    | Yes N (%)   | No N (%)    | (n = 345) N (%)    | Value  |
| 20 - 30    | 5(23.80)    | 16 (76.10)  | 21(6.1%)           |        |
| 31 - 40    | 21(30.00)   | 48(70.00)   | 69(20%)            |        |
| 41 – 50    | 49(29.00)   | 116 (71.00) | 165(47.8%)         | 0.0589 |
| 51 - 60    | 55 (61.00)  | 45 (39.00)  | 90 (26.1%)         |        |
| Total      | 130 (37.00) | 215 (63.00) | 345(100%)          |        |

Similarly, another statistical significance was observed in the relationship between anemia, in pneumonia patients, and duration of pneumonia symptoms. With increasing duration of pneumonia, lower values of hemoglobin were recorded giving a p-value of 0.00031 as depicted in Table 5.

**Table 5:** Relationship Between Duration of Symptoms of

 Pneumonia with Anemia

| Age Groups Anemia |             | Number of Subjects | p-              |         |
|-------------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------|
| (Years)           | Yes N (%)   | No N (%)           | (n = 345) N (%) | Value   |
| 0 - 5             | 9 (10.00)   | 75 (90.00)         | 84(24.3%)       |         |
| 6 - 10            | 40 (38.00)  | 63(62.00)          | 103(29.9%)      |         |
| 11 – 15           | 30(46.00)   | 35 (54.00)         | 65(18.8%)       | 0 00071 |
| 16 - 20           | 26(49.00)   | 27 (51.00)         | 53 (15.4%)      | 0.00031 |
| >20               | 25(62.00)   | 15 (38.00)         | 40(11.6%)       |         |
| Total             | 130 (37.00) | 215 (63.00)        | 345(100%)       |         |

Amongst diabetes, hypertension and obesity, diabetes and hypertension has shown significantly higher prevalence of anemia in pneumonia patients whereas obesity did not reveal any statistically significant relationship with anemia in pneumonia patients as represented in Table 6. **Table 6:** Stratification of Anemia in Patients with Respect toObesity, Diabetes Mellitus and Hypertension

| Diseases             | Presence | Anemia        |              | p-      |
|----------------------|----------|---------------|--------------|---------|
|                      | (Yes/No) | Present N (%) | Absent N (%) | Value   |
| Obesity              | Yes      | 37.00 (37.7%) | 63.00        | 0 0709  |
|                      | No       | 38.00(62.3%)  | 62.00        | 0.0708  |
| Diabetes<br>Mellitus | Yes      | 31.00 (69%)   | 69.00        | 0.004.2 |
|                      | No       | 43.00 (57.7%) | 57.00        | 0.0042  |
| Hypertension         | Yes      | 36.00(64%)    | 64.00        | 0.02/1  |
|                      | No       | 38.00 (55.7%) | 62.00        | 0.0241  |

#### DISCUSSION

Community Acquired Pneumonia is a leading cause of admissions on medical floor [9]. Anemia can hamper the recovery of pneumonia patients and can be fetal at times. Low hemoglobin concentration has been linked to mortality risk in studies involving CAP patients [10]. There is growing intrest in hemoglobin levels of a person and its deficiency in nutrition and clinical medicine because of its crucial role in celluar function, energy metabolism and innate immunity [11]. it has been observed that a few studies have evaluated impact of baseline hemoglobin levels on different infection or overall imunity of humans.in a meta - analysis. [12] said that hemoglobin levels decrease with increasing age, diabetes, hypertension and with comorbidities and all these are risk factors for developing community acquired pneumonia. According to WHO criteria (Hb <12g/dl in females and <13g/dl in males), the prevalence of anaemia in the general population is 2.9% in men and 7.5% in women; among the elderly, the overall prevalence of anemia was 15.2% (15). Using an optimistic threshold of 12 g/dL for each gender, the results of our study discovered a significantly higher prevalence of anaemia than the overall population. The prevalence of anemia in community acquired pneumoniain our study is 37.7% Taking into account that anaemia is known to be linked to diminished mental and physical capacities as well as an increased risk of death, with each 1 g/dL rise in hemoglobin leads to 6% decrease in frailty [13]. Another study showed that 7-12% of patients had anaemia at presentation [7]. This ratio does not match those found in our investigation. Although Doshi et al., in his study noted low hemoglobin in upto 30% of patients with CAP pnemococal pneumonia [4]. In another study Yanjun et al., says that anemia wih low albumin is associated with severe community acquired pneumonia in pregnant ladies [14]. According to another study, the rapid decrease in haemoglobin levels that took place during the initial few days of the patient's hospital stay is consistent with reported values for intensive care unit patients [15, 16]. When these individuals are not bleeding, their haemoglobin levels can decrease by more than 0.5 g/dL/day. In addition to the dilutional effects of fluids and repeated blood draws,

there are a number of other possible causes of these low readings, including gastrointestinal stress haemorrhage, surgical procedures, inflammatory cytokine effects, insufficient red cell production, and excessive red cell death [16]. Similar to our research, a study conducted by Michael C et al., in 2010 [17] affirms their conclusion that anaemia was prevalent in hospitalized cases of community-acquired pneumonia (CAP). This was the case not only in patients with severe illness or risk factors for anaemia but also in patients with mild illness and a lack of risk factors. They also state that the development of anaemia was independently related to higher mortality after 90 days in patients with moderate to severe anaemia. However, further research has to be done to determine whether or not the treatment or prevention of CAPassociated anaemia might result in better clinical results. In line with our findings, where patients with diabetes mellitus had a significantly higher prevalence of anaemia in pneumonia, a study conducted by Sijun et al., in September 2020 concluded that the parameter of diabetes mellitus and other comorbidities should be recognized in clinical practice, with active interventions to improve treatment success rates and clinical decision-making guidance [18]. According to a similar study conducted in 2021 by Dong et al., individuals with Type-2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) who have Severe Community-Acquired Pneumonia (SCAP) had different clinical features including anmia and a greater death rate compared to people without diabetes [19].Another study on the prevalence of anaemia in children, conducted in Bangladesh in 2022 by Mohammod et al., reveals that 1712 (49.4%) of the 3,468 children who were diagnosed with pneumonia also had anaemia [20]. This finding supports the notion that anaemia is not exclusively prevalent among adults with pneumonia;

#### CONCLUSIONS

minors also exhibit a similar pattern

Anemia is significantly prevalent in patients with community acquired pneumonia. The presence of anemia is more related to female gender, hypertension, duration of symptoms of pneumonia and presence of diabetes mellitus. Health policies on anemia screening should be employed to all pneumonia patients to avoid the adverse outcomes associated with anemia in pneumonia. Patients. Recognition, assessment, and management of anemia amongst this vulnerable population should me implemented.

#### Authors Contribution

Conceptualization: SS Methodology: MUY Formal analysis: SS<sup>1</sup>, AG, SS<sup>2</sup>, AA, MA Writing, review and editing: SS, MUY All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

#### Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### Source of Funding

The author received no financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Lin CJ, Chang YC, Tsou MT, Chan HL, Chen YJ, Hwang LC et al. Factors associated with hospitalization for community-acquired pneumonia in home health care patients in Taiwan. Aging Clinical and Experimental Research. 2020 Jan; 32: 149-55. doi: 10.1007/s40520 -019-01169-8.
- [2] Alsharkawy AA and Rezk AR. Role of vitamin D, serum zinc, and serum iron deficiency in communityacquired pneumonia in children. Egyptian Pediatric Association Gazette. 2021 Dec; 69: 1-7. doi: 10.1186/s 43054-021-00065-z.
- [3] Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, Carmeli Y, Falagas ME, Giske CG et al. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clinical Microbiology and Infection. 2012 Mar; 18(3): 268-81. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03570.x.
- [4] Doshi SM, Rueda AM, Corrales-Medina VF, Musher DM. Anemia and community-acquired pneumococcal pneumonia. Infection. 2011 Aug; 39: 379-83. doi: 10.10 07/s15010-011-0122-8.
- [5] De Porto AP, Lammers AJ, Bennink RJ, Ten Berge IJ, Speelman P, Hoekstra JB et al. Assessment of splenic function. European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases. 2010 Dec; 29: 1465-73. doi: 10.1007/s10096-010-1049-1.
- [6] Huaman Junco G, La Cruz-Vargas D, Jhony A. Clinical and laboratory factors associated with nosocomial pneumonia in adult patients in the internal medicine department of a national hospital in Peru: A casecontrol study. Medwave. 2021 Oct; 21(09): e8482. doi: 10.5867/medwave.2021.09.8482.
- [7] Enright MC, Day NP, Davies CE, Peacock SJ, Spratt BG. Multilocus sequence typing for characterization of methicillin-resistant and methicillin-susceptible clones of Staphylococcus aureus. Journal of Clinical Microbiology. 2000 Mar; 38(3): 1008-15. doi: 10.1128/J CM.38.3.1008-1015.2000.
- [8] Han X, He B, Wang F. Mycoplasma pneumonia associated with hemolytic anemia: case report and literature review. Zhonghua jie he he hu xi za zhi= Zhonghua Jiehe he Huxi Zazhi= Chinese Journal of

Tuberculosis and Respiratory Diseases. 2011 Nov; 34(11): 832-6.

- [9] Ticona JH, Zaccone VM, McFarlane IM. Communityacquired pneumonia: A focused review. American Journal of Medical Case Reports. 2021 Nov; 9(1): 45-52. doi: 10.12691/ajmcr-9-1-12.
- [10] Chang IF, Shih WL, Liu YC, Ho TW, Yen TY, Chang HH et al. The association of anemia with the clinical outcomes of community-acquired pneumonia in children. Pediatric Pulmonology. 2022 Jun; 57(6): 1416-24. doi: 10.1002/ppul.25892.
- Bozza MT and Jeney V. Pro-inflammatory actions of heme and other hemoglobin-derived DAMPs. Frontiers in Immunology. 2020 Jun; 11: 548128. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.01323.
- [12] Taneri PE, Gómez-Ochoa SA, Llanaj E, Raguindin PF, Rojas LZ, Roa-Díaz ZM et al. Anemia and iron metabolism in COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. European Journal of Epidemiology. 2020 Aug; 35: 763-73. doi: 10.1007/s10654-020-00678-5.
- [13] Lee CT, Chen MZ, Yip CY, Yap ES, Lee SY, Merchant RA et al. Prevalence of anemia and its association with frailty, physical function and cognition in communitydwelling older adults: findings from the HOPE study. The Journal of Nutrition, Health and Aging. 2021 May; 25(5): 679-87. doi: 10.1007/s12603-021-1625-3.
- [14] He Y, Li M, Mai C, Chen L, Zhang X, Zhou J et al. Anemia and low albumin levels are associated with severe community-acquired pneumonia in pregnancy: a case-control study. The Tohoku Journal of Experimental Medicine. 2019 Aug; 248(4): 297-305. doi: 10.1620/tjem.248.297.
- [15] Falagas ME, Kasiakou SK, Saravolatz LD. Colistin: the revival of polymyxins for the management of multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacterial infections. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2005 May; 40(9): 1333-41. doi: 10.1086/429323.
- Black S, Kushner I, Samols D. C-reactive protein. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2004 Nov; 279(47): 48487-90. doi: 10.1074/jbc.R400025200.
- [17] Reade MC, Weissfeld L, Angus DC, Kellum JA, Milbrandt EB. The prevalence of anemia and its association with 90-day mortality in hospitalized community-acquired pneumonia. BMC Pulmonary Medicine. 2010 Dec; 10: 1-0. doi: 10.1186/1471-2466-10-15.
- [18] Cheng S, Hou G, Liu Z, Lu Y, Liang S, Cang L, Zhang X, Zou C, Kang J, Chen Y. Risk prediction of in-hospital mortality among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and concomitant community-acquired pneumonia. Annals of Palliative Medicine. 2020 Sep;

9(5): 3313-3325. doi:10.21037/apm-20-1489. doi: 10.21037/apm-20-1489.

- [19] Huang D, He D, Gong L, Wang W, Yang L, Zhang Z et al. Clinical characteristics and risk factors associated with mortality in patients with severe communityacquired pneumonia and type 2 diabetes mellitus. Critical Care. 2021 Dec; 25: 1-4. doi: 10.1186/s13054-021-03841-w.
- [20] Chisti MJ, Kawser CA, Rahman AS, Shahid AS, Afroze F, Shahunja KM et al. Prevalence and outcome of anemia among children hospitalized for pneumonia and their risk of mortality in a developing country. Scientific Reports. 2022 Jun; 12(1): 10741. doi: 10.1038 /s41598-022-14818-2.